

CS 4604: Introduction to Database Management Systems

B. Aditya Prakash Lecture #8: Storing data and Indexes



Annoucements

Extra office hours till midterm

– Check Piazza post

STORING DATA

퉳 Virginia Tech

DBMS Layers:



WirginiaTech Leverage OS for disk/file management?

Layers of abstraction are good ... but:

WirginiaTech Leverage OS for disk/file management?

- Layers of abstraction are good ... but:
 - Unfortunately, OS often gets in the way of DBMS

WirginiaTech Leverage OS for disk/file management?

- DBMS wants/needs to do things "its own way"
 - Specialized prefetching
 - Control over buffer replacement policy
 - LRU not always best (sometimes worst!!)
 - Control over thread/process scheduling
 - "Convoy problem"
 - Arises when OS scheduling conflicts with DBMS locking
 - Control over flushing data to disk
 - WAL protocol requires flushing log entries to disk

퉳 Virginia Tech

Disks and Files

- DBMS stores information on disks.
 - but: disks are (relatively) VERY slow!
- Major implications for DBMS design!







Disks and Files

- Major implications for DBMS design:
 - READ: disk -> main memory (RAM).
 - WRITE: reverse
 - Both are high-cost operations, relative to in-memory operations, so must be planned carefully!

WirginiaTech Why Not Store It All in Main Memory?

WirginiaTech

Why Not Store It All in Main Memory?

- Costs too much.
 - disk: ~\$1/Gb; memory: ~\$100/Gb
 - High-end Databases today in the 10-100 TB range.
 - Approx 60% of the cost of a production system is in the disks.
- Main memory is volatile.
- Note: some specialized systems do store entire database in main memory.



The Storage Hierarchy

Smaller, Faster

Bigger, Slower

The Storage Hierarchy

Smaller, Faster

- -Main memory (RAM) for currently used data.
- -Disk for the main database (secondary storage).

VirginiaTech

-Tapes for archiving older versions of the data (tertiary storage).



Bigger, Slower



WirginiaTech

Disks

- Secondary storage device of choice.
- Main advantage over tapes: <u>random access</u> vs. <u>sequential</u>.
- Data is stored and retrieved in units called disk blocks or pages.
- Unlike RAM, time to retrieve a disk page varies depending upon location on disk.

– relative placement of pages on disk is important!

Anatomy of a Disk





- .

Accessing a Disk Page

Time to access (read/write) a disk block:



Accessing a Disk Page

- Time to access (read/write) a disk block:
 - *seek time:* moving arms to position disk head on track
 - *rotational delay:* waiting for block to rotate under head
 - *transfer time:* actually moving data to/from disk surface



Accessing a Disk Page

- Relative times?
 - seek time:
 - rotational delay:
 - transfer time:



Accessing a Disk Page

Relative times?

- seek time: about 1 to 20msec
- rotational delay: 0 to 10msec
- transfer time: < 1msec per 4KB page</p>

Seek
Rotate
transfer

WirginiaTech Seek time & rotational delay dominate

- Key to lower I/O cost: reduce seek/rotation delays!
- Also note: For shared disks, much time spent waiting in queue for access to arm/controller

Seek
Rotate
transfer

*'*irginiaTech

Arranging Pages on Disk

- *Next* block concept:
 - blocks on same track, followed by
 - blocks on same cylinder, followed by
 - blocks on adjacent cylinder
- Accesing 'next' block is cheap
- A useful optimization: <u>pre-fetching</u>
 - See textbook page 323



Rules of thumb...

- Memory access <u>much</u> faster than disk I/O (~ 1000x)
- "Sequential" I/O faster than "random" I/O
 (~ 10x)



Conclusions---Storing

- Memory hierarchy
- Disks: (>1000x slower) thus
 - pack info in blocks
 - try to fetch nearby blocks (sequentially)

TREE INDEXES



Declaring Indexes

- No standard!
- Typical syntax:
- CREATE INDEX StudentsInd ON Students(ID);
- CREATE INDEX CoursesInd ON Courses(Number, DeptName);



Types of Indexes

- Primary: index on a key
 - Used to enforce constraints
- Secondary: index on non-key attribute
- Clustering: order of the rows in the data pages correspond to the order of the rows in the index
 - Only one clustered index can exist in a given table
 - Useful for range predicates
- Non-clustering: physical order not the same as index order



Using Indexes (1): Equality Searches

 Given a value v, the index takes us to only those tuples that have v in the attribute(s) of the index.

• E.g. (use CourseInd index)
SELECT Enrollment FROM Courses
WHERE Number = "4604" and
DeptName = "CS"



Using Indexes (1): Equality Searches

 Given a value v, the index takes us to only those tuples that have v in the attribute(s) of the index.

Can use Hashes, but see next

WirginiaTech

Using Indexes (2): Range Searches

- ``Find all students with gpa > 3.0' '
- may be slow, even on sorted file
- Hashes not a good idea!
- What to do?

			-		
Page 1	Page 2	Page 3		Page N	Data File



Range Searches

- ``Find all students with gpa > 3.0' '
- may be slow, even on sorted file
- Solution: Create an `index' file.





Range Searches

- More details:
- if index file is small, do binary search there
- Otherwise??





B-trees

- the most successful family of index schemes (B-trees, B+-trees, B*-trees)
- Can be used for primary/secondary, clustering/non-clustering index.
- balanced "n-way" search trees
- Original Paper: Rudolf Bayer and McCreight, E. M. Organization and Maintenance of Large Ordered Indexes. Acta Informatica 1, 173-189, 1972.



B-trees

Eg., B-tree of order d=1:





B - tree properties:

- each node, in a B-tree of order d:
 - Key order
 - at most n=2d keys
 - at least d keys (except root, which may have just 1 key)
 - all leaves at the same level
 - if number of pointers is k, then node has exactly k-1 keys
 - (leaves are empty)





Properties

- "block aware" nodes: each node is a disk page
- O(log (N)) for everything! (ins/del/search)
- typically, if d = 50 100, then 2 3 levels
- utilization >= 50%, guaranteed; on average
 69%






JAVA animation

http://slady.net/java/bt/



















- what about range queries? (eg., 5<salary<8)</p>
- Proximity/ nearest neighbor searches? (eg., salary ~ 8)



- what about range queries? (eg., 5<salary<8)</p>
- Proximity/ nearest neighbor searches? (eg., salary ~ 8)





- what about range queries? (eg., 5<salary<8)</p>
- Proximity/ nearest neighbor searches? (eg., salary ~ 8)





- what about range queries? (eg., 5<salary<8)</p>
- Proximity/ nearest neighbor searches? (eg., salary ~ 8)



VT CS 4604



- what about range queries? (eg., 5<salary<8)</p>
- Proximity/ nearest neighbor searches? (eg., salary ~ 8)





Variations

How could we do even better than the B-trees above?



B+ trees - Motivation

B-tree – print keys in sorted order:





B+ trees - Motivation

B-tree needs back-tracking – how to avoid it?





B+ trees - Motivation

 Stronger reason: for clustering index, data records are scattered:





Solution: B+ - trees

- facilitate sequential ops
- They string all leaf nodes together
- AND
- replicate keys from non-leaf nodes, to make sure every key appears at the leaf level
- (vital, for clustering index!)



B+ trees





B+ trees





B+ trees

- More details: next (and textbook)
- In short: on split
 - at leaf level: COPY middle key upstairs
 - at non-leaf level: push middle key upstairs (as in plain B-tree)



Example B+ Tree

 Search begins at root, and key comparisons direct it to a leaf



Based on the search for 15^{*}, we know it is not in the tree!



Inserting a Data Entry into a B+ Tree

- Find correct leaf L.
- Put data entry onto L.
 - If L has enough space, done!
 - Else, must split L (into L and a new node L2)
 - Redistribute entries evenly, copy up middle key.
- parent node may overflow
 - but then: push up middle key. Splits "grow" tree; root split increases height.

















WirginiaTech



WirginiaTech

Example B+ Tree - Inserting 8*





Prakash 2018

WirginiaTech























Example B+ Tree: Recursive split



• Notice that root was also split, increasing height.

Example: Data vs. Index Page Split

- leaf: 'copy'
- non-leaf: 'push'
- why not 'copy'@ non-leaves?



WirginiaTech Same Inserting 21*: The Deferred



Note this has free space. So...



Inserting 21*: The Deferred Split







Inserting 21*: The Deferred Split



Shorter, more / packed, faster tree





Insertion examples for you to try



Insert the following data entries (in order): 28*, 6*, 25*


Answer...



After inserting 25*



Answer...



WirginiaTech

Deleting a Data Entry from a B+ Tree

- Start at root, find leaf L where entry belongs.
- Remove the entry.
 - If L is at least half-full, done!
 - If L underflows
 - Try to re-distribute, borrowing from sibling (adjacent node with same parent as L).
 - If re-distribution fails, merge L and sibling.
 - update parent
 - and possibly merge, recursively



Deletion from B+Tree



WirginiaTech Example: Delete 19* & 20*





 Deleting 20* -> re-distribution (notice: 27 copied up)

Prakash 2018

퉳 Virginia Tech

... And Then Deleting 24*





• Must merge leaves: OPPOSITE of insert

퉳 Virginia Tech

... And Then Deleting 24*





• Must merge leaves: OPPOSITE of insert

WirginiaTech ... Merge Non-Leaf Nodes, Shrink







Example of Non-leaf Re-distribution

- Tree is shown below during deletion of 24*.
- Now, we can re-distribute keys





After Re-distribution

- need only re-distribute '20'; did '17', too
- why would we want to re-distribute more keys? Ans: reduces likelihood of split (see Book, pg. 356)





Main observations for deletion

- If a key value appears twice (leaf + nonleaf), the above algorithms delete it from the leaf, only
- why not non-leaf, too?

WirginiaTech

Main observations for deletion

- If a key value appears twice (leaf + nonleaf), the above algorithms delete it from the leaf, only
- why not non-leaf, too?
- 'lazy deletions' in fact, some vendors just mark entries as deleted (~ underflow),

– and reorganize/compact later



Recap: main ideas

on overflow, split (and 'push', or 'copy')
 – or consider deferred split

on underflow, borrow keys; or merge
 – or let it underflow...



B+ Trees in Practice

- Typical order: 100. Typical fill-factor: 67%.
 average fanout = 2*100*0.67 = 134
- Typical capacities:
 - Height 4: 1334 = 312,900,721 entries
 - Height 3: 1333 = 2,406,104 entries



B+ Trees in Practice

- Can often keep top levels in buffer pool:
 - -Level 1 = 1 page = 8 KB
 - Level 2 = 134 pages = 1 MB
 - Level 3 = 17,956 pages = 140 MB



Bulk Loading of a B+ Tree

- In an empty tree, insert many keys
- Why not one-at-a-time?
 - Too slow!



Bulk Loading of a B+ Tree

- Initialization: Sort all data entries
- scan list; whenever enough for a page, pack
- <repeat for upper level>



Bulk Loading of a B+ Tree







A Note on `Order'

- Order (d) concept replaced by physical space criterion in practice (`at least half-full').
- Many real systems are even sloppier than this: they allow underflow, and only reclaim space when a page is completely empty.
- (what are the benefits of such 'slopiness'?)



Conclusions

- B+tree is the prevailing indexing method
- Excellent, O(logN) worst-case performance for ins/del/search; (~3-4 disk accesses in practice)
- guaranteed 50% space utilization; avg 69%



Conclusions

- Can be used for any type of index: primary/ secondary, sparse (clustering), or dense (nonclustering)
- Several fine-extensions on the basic algorithm – deferred split;
 - bulk-loading