ABSTRACT DATA TYPES

- Based on the fundamental concept of ABSTRACTION:
 - → process abstraction
 - → data abstraction
- Both provide:
 - → information hiding
 - → reliability
 - → security
 - → reuse

ADT PROPERTIES

- Defines a collection of objects, and
- a Set of applicable operations

- Representation of objects is hidden
- Operations by outsiders is restricted to only those operations that are visible

COMMON FORMAT OF DESCRIPTION

• SPECIFICATION

- → Defines type name and parameters
- → Names visible operations and results types
- BODY
 - → Describes syntax of type objects
 - → Describes visible and hidden operations

IMPLEMENTATIONS

- SIMULA 67 first to introduce classes, retrospectively recognized to be ADTs
- CLU an experimental language that introduced ADTs
- Modula-2 first generally accessible implementations in a wdiely used language
- Smalltalk used ADTs as basis for objects
- Ada used ADTs in packages
- C++ added ADTs to C

ENCAPSULATION and INSTANTIATION

• ENCAPSULATION

- → the syntax of the specification as a separate module
- → builds a "fire-wall" around the type
- → provides a reusable, portable object
- → the development of the idea of a type as an object

• INSTANTIATION

- → the creation of an instance of the type
- → the operation of importing an ADT into another program unit
- → may include initialization actions
- → scope may be limited to the lifetime of the user module or may be specified

Abstract Data Types - details

- Type representation and operations on that type are defined together.
- Representation is hidden from user of the type -objects of type t can only be manipulated by operations defined for t.
- Advantages of user-defined ADTs
 - → encapsulation
 - → protection
 - → extensibility
- We'll look at three languages:
 - → Simula 67
 - → Ada
 - → Modula-2

- A class consists of:
 - → variable declarations
 - → procedure declarations
 - → code (for initialization)
- If C is a class with variables x₁...x_n and procedures p₁...p_k, an instance of C is a dynamically created object, say r.

Stack Example

class stack; begin integer array a(1 . . 100); integer top;

boolean procedure empty;

end;

. . .

procedure push (element);

•••

end;

procedure pop;

•••

end;

procedure look;

end;

initialization code

end stack;

top := 0;

Using the Stack Class

ref (stack) s1,s2; s1 :- new stack; s2 :- new stack; s1.pop; -- error s1.push(5); s1.look; -- 5 s2.look ; -- error

→ But no protection!

- If x is a subclass of y, then instances of x have all of x's attributes plus all of y's attributes.
 - \rightarrow x *inherits* the attributes of y.

• Example: defining a heterogeneous stack

class stack_mem

begin ref(stack_mem) next_mem

next_mem :- none

end stack_mem;

Example Continued: Define stack

class stack; begin ref (stack_mem) first; ref (stack_mem) procedure top top :- first; procedure pop; if not(empty) then first :- first.next_mem; boolean procedure empty; empty :- (first = = none); procedure push(e); ref(stack_mem) e; begin if first =/= none then e.next_mem :- first; first :- e; end first :- none; end stack;

Example Continued: Stackable Objects

Stackable objects must be instances of a subclass of stack_mem:

```
stack_mem class complex(. . .) -- declare complex as
subclass of stack_mem
```

end complex

• Another example:

. . .

class mammal;

mammal class dog;

mammal class cat;

dog class golden_retriever;

- Two parts:
 - → specification: provides interface, defines visibility.
 - → body: provides implementation
- Important:
 - → Support separate compilation so that if package p1 uses package p2, p1 can be compiled given only the specification part of p2.

Package Example

package stack is

-- the specification

type stacktype;

function empty (s: in stacktype)

return boolean;

procedure push (e: in integer;

s: in out stacktype);

procedure pop (s: in out stacktype);

function top(s: in stacktype)

return integer;

end stack;

package body stack is

-- the body

type stacktype is . . .

function empty (. . .) is . . .

• • •

Package example (continued)

- Does our separate compilation rule hold:
 - → No!
 - → Definition for stacktype must be in the interface too.
- Problem: We didn't want stacktype's definition to be exported.
 - → Solution: Divide the specification into a *public* part and a *private* part.

New Specification for stack

package stack is -- the visible part type stacktype is private; function empty(...)... procedure push private -- the private part type list_type is array (1..100) of int; type stacktype is record list : list_type; top : integer range 0..100 := 0 end record;

end stack;

Using Packages

.

with stack; procedure p is s:stack.stacktype; begin •• stack.push(4,s); ...stack.top(s)...; ... end OR... with stack; use stack; procedure p is s: stacktype; begin ... push(4,s); ...top(s)...; ... end

Ada Generic -- Abstract Package

| generic | |
|-------------|--|
| 0 | A private generic type means assignment and equality must be |
| | defined on that type |
| | type Elem is private ; |
| package Lis | st is |
| 1 8 | type T is private : |
| | Create operation is implicit. Lists created by declaration |
| | procedure Head (L. T. V. out Elem · Err. out ERROR INDICATOR) · |
| | Length can't fail so no need for error indicator |
| | function Length (L: T) return NATURAL. |
| | procedure $Tail (I : T : I T : out T : Err: out ERROR INDICATOR) :$ |
| | Cons can't fail so no need for error indicator |
| | function Cons (L, T, V, Elam) notation T: |
| • | iunction Cons (L. 1; v. Elem) return 1; |
| private | |
| | an Ada access type corresponds to a Pascal pointer |
| | the entity referenced by the pointer is defined in the package body |
| | In this case, it would be a record with one field pointing to the next |
| | list element |
| | type LISTREC ; |
| | type T is access LISTREC; |
| end List ; | •• |

• Very similar to Ada packages, but only pointer types can be exported.

| Definition module stack; | public | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| type stacktype; | | | |
| procedure empty | | | |
| | | | |
| end stack; | | | |
| | | | |
| Implementation module stack; | private | | |
| type stacktype = pointer to record | | | |
| list : | | | |
| topsub: | | | |

Modula-2 Modules (continued)

- What are the repercussions of this design decision?
 - → separate compilation is easy (+)
 - → module must supply a creation/initialization routine (-)
 - → extra use of pointers (-)