

The `Object` class implements a public `equals()` method that returns `true` iff the two objects are the same object.

That is:

$$x.equals(y) == \text{true} \text{ iff } x \text{ and } y \text{ are the same object}$$

For some subclasses, this is adequate, especially for types for which the notion of an equality comparison doesn't really make practical sense.

A deeper examination of the issue indicates there are two fundamentally distinct relationships at work, and that `Object equals()` conflates them:

identity

the relationship of being the same thing;

x is identical to y iff x and y are the same object;

in Java, this is tested by the operator `==`

equality

the relationship of having the same value;

x is equal to y iff x and y , in some useful sense, have equivalent content;

x and y may or may not be the same object;

in Java, this is tested by the `equals()` method

For many user-defined types, there are natural definitions of an equality relationship.

The equals method implements an *equivalence relation* on non-null object references, equals() is:

- *reflexive*: for any non-null reference value `x`, `x.equals(x)` should return `true`
- *symmetric*: for any non-null reference values `x` and `y`, `x.equals(y)` should return `true` if and only if `y.equals(x)` returns `true`
- *transitive*: for any non-null reference values `x`, `y`, and `z`, if `x.equals(y)` returns `true` and `y.equals(z)` returns `true`, then `x.equals(z)` should return `true`

In addition:

- it is *consistent*: for any non-null reference values `x` and `y`, multiple invocations of `x.equals(y)` consistently return `true` or consistently return `false`, provided no information used in equals comparisons on the objects is modified.
- for any non-null reference value `x`, `x.equals(null)` should return `false`.

```
public class FileEntry {  
  
    public Long    offset;    // offset of record in file  
    public String  record;    // record contents  
  
    public FileEntry(long offset, String data) {  
  
        this.offset = offset;  
        this.record = data;  
    }  
    . . .  
}
```

Here's a class that might be used in a program that accesses records from a file.

It's certainly possible we might create two different `FileEntry` objects from the same record, in which case the notion of equals is different from identity.

We need to satisfy the general contract:

```
public class FileEntry {  
  
    . . .  
  
    public boolean equals(Object other) {  
  
        // Make sure there really IS another object:  
        if ( other == null ) return false;  
  
        // Make sure it's of the correct type:  
        if ( !this.getClass().equals(other.getClass()) )  
            return false;  
  
        . . .  
    }  
}
```

We need to implement a sensible definition of what equality means for this type:

```
public class FileEntry {  
  
    . . .  
  
    public boolean equals(Object other) {  
  
        . . .  
        // Get a reference of the appropriate type:  
        FileEntry o = (FileEntry) other;  
  
        // Perform the type-specific test for equality:  
        return ( this.offset.equals(o.offset) );  
    }  
}
```

```
public class FileEntry {  
  
    . . .  
  
    public boolean equals(Object other) {  
  
        // Make sure there really IS another object:  
        if ( other == null ) return false;  
  
        // Make sure it's of the correct type:  
        if ( !this.getClass().equals(other.getClass()) )  
            return false;  
  
        // Get a reference of the appropriate type:  
        FileEntry handle = (FileEntry) other;  
  
        // Perform the type-specific test for equality:  
        return ( this.offset.equals(handle.offset) );  
    }  
}
```

Consider the following scenario:

```
public class  
    prQuadtree< T extends TwoDComparable<? super T> > {  
    . . .  
    // calls equals() on the generic objects it stores
```

```
public interface TwoDComparable<T> {  
    public long getX();  
    public long getY();  
}
```

The calls to `equals()` will bind to `Object equals()` because the Java compiler does not know what the actual type is going to be.

All that's known is that a `T` is-a-kind-of `TwoDComparable<?>` and that doesn't guarantee a specialized implementation of `equals()`.

And so, the tree's search logic will be broken...

If we add the `equals()` method to the interface that `T` must extend, all is well:

```
public interface TwoDComparable<T> {  
    public long getX();  
    public long getY();  
    public boolean equals(Object other);  
}
```

Now the compiler knows that whatever a `T` is, it must provide an `equals()` method.

And so, the tree's search logic will work...

A Debugging Hint

When in doubt, let your code talk to you:

```
public class FileEntry {  
    . . .  
    public boolean equals(Object other) {  
        System.out.println("Call made to FileEntry.equals()");  
        . . .  
    }  
}
```