You will submit your solution to this assignment to the Curator System (as HW2). Your solution must be either a plain text file (e.g., NotePad) or a MS Word document; submissions in other formats will not be graded.

Except as noted, credit will only be given if you show relevant work.

1. [20 points] Using the rules given in the course notes, perform an exact count complexity analysis, for the worst case, of the body of the following function. (Take the cost of list.length to be 2.)
```
int part(int[] list, int barrierIdx) {
    int barrier, maxIdx, temp;
    barrier = list[barrierIdx]; // 1 2
    maxIdx = list.length - 1; // 2 4
    temp = list[barrierIdx]; // 3 2
    list[barrierIdx] = list[maxIdx]; // 4 3
    list[maxIdx] = temp; // 5 2
    barrierIdx = 0; // 6 1
    for (int i = 0; i < maxIdx; i++) { // 7 1 before, 2 per pass, 1 to exit
        if ( list[i] < barrier ) { // 8 2
            temp = list[barrierIdx]; // 9 2
            list[barrierIdx] = list[i]; //10 3
            list[i] = temp; //11 2
            barrierIdx++; //12 1
        }
    }
    temp = list[maxIdx]; //13 2
    list[maxIdx] = list[barrierIdx]; //14 3
    list[barrierIdx] = temp; //15 2
    return barrierIdx; //16 1
}
```

The input size is obviously the number of elements to be processed in the list, so $\mathbf{N}==$ maxIdx.

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(N) & =2+4+2+3+2+1+1+\sum_{i=0}^{N-2}(2+2+2+3+2+1)+1+2+3+2+1 \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{N-2}(12)+24 \\
& =12(N-1)+24 \\
& =12 N+12
\end{aligned}
$$

2. [35 points] For each part, determine the simplest possible function $g(n)$ such that the given function is $\Theta(g)$. No justification is necessary.
a) $a(n)=3+14 n+47 n^{2}$
$n^{2}$
(by Theorem 13)
b) $b(n)=14 n^{2}+3 n \log n \quad n^{2}$
(by Theorem 13)
Hint: the last three take a little analysis.
c) $c(n)=n^{0.9}+\log n$
$n^{0.9}$

Just apply Theorem 8 (guessing one way or the other):

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{0.9}+\log n}{n^{0.9}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+\frac{\log n}{n^{0.9}}\right)=1+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 / n \ln 2}{0.9 n^{-0.1}}=1+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln 2}{0.9 n^{0.9}}=1+0=1
$$

d) $d(n)=3 n^{2} \log n+n^{3}$
$n^{3}$

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3 n^{2} \log n+n^{3}}{n^{3}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{3 \log n}{n}+1\right)=1+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3 / n \ln 2}{1}=1+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3}{n \ln 2}=1+0=1
$$

e) $e(n)=\frac{1+n}{5 n}$

1

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1+n}{5 n}}{1}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1+n}{5 n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{5 n}+\frac{1}{5}\right)=\frac{1}{5}
$$

3. Suppose that $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ are non-negative functions and that $f(n)$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ and $g(n)$ is $\mathrm{O}(n)$.
a) [10 points] Define a function $S(n)$ by $S(n)=f(n)+g(n)$. What's the "smallest" function $M(n)$ such that $S(n)$ is guaranteed to be $\mathrm{O}(M(n))$ ? Justify your conclusion with a proof! (If you want to make your argument with limits, you may assume that any related limits do exist.)

It should seem reasonable that this would be $n^{2}$ since that's the larger of the two bounds; you can check that by applying the limit theorem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(n)}{n^{2}} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)+g(n)}{n^{2}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{f(n)}{n^{2}}+\frac{1}{n} \frac{g(n)}{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^{2}}+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \times \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n)}{n} \\
& =L_{1}+0 \times L_{2}=L_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Taking $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ to be the respective limits from the given big-O facts. Note that we are not told that the facts are strict bounds, so it's entirely possible that $L_{1}$ and/or $L_{2}$ is zero. However, the conclusion still follows even in that case.)

Alternatively, you can apply the definition of big-O. From the given facts, we know that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exists C_{1}>0 \text { and } N_{1}>0 \text { such that for all } n>N_{1}, f(n) \leq C_{1} n^{2} \\
& \exists C_{2}>0 \text { and } N_{2}>0 \text { such that for all } n>N_{2}, g(n) \leq C_{2} n
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if we let $N=\max \left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)$ and $C=\max \left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$ then it follows from above that

$$
\text { for all } n>N, f(n)+g(n) \leq C n^{2}+C n=C\left(n^{2}+n\right)
$$

Now from the theorems in the notes, $n^{2}+n$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ and therefore by transitivity, $S(n)$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$.
b) [10 points] Define a function $P(n)$ by $P(n)=f(n) \cdot g(n)$. What's the "smallest" function $Z(n)$ such that $P(n)$ is guaranteed to be $\mathrm{O}(Z(n))$ ? Justify your conclusion with a proof! (If you want to make your argument with limits, you may assume that any related limits do exist.)

It should seem reasonable that this would be $n^{3}$ since that's the product of the two bounds; you can check that by applying the limit theorem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(n)}{n^{3}} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n) \cdot g(n)}{n^{3}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{f(n)}{n^{2}} \frac{g(n)}{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^{2}} \times \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(n)}{n} \\
& =L_{1} \times L_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Alternatively, you can apply the definition of big-O. From the given facts, we know that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exists C_{1}>0 \text { and } N_{1}>0 \text { such that for all } n>N_{1}, f(n) \leq C_{1} n^{2} \\
& \exists C_{2}>0 \text { and } N_{2}>0 \text { such that for all } n>N_{2}, g(n) \leq C_{2} n
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if we let $N=\max \left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right)$ and $C=C_{1} \cdot C_{2}$ then it follows from above that

$$
\text { for all } n>N, f(n) \cdot g(n) \leq C n^{2} \cdot n=C n^{3}
$$

Therefore, $P(n)$ is $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$.
c) [5 points] What can you conclude about the relationship between $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ ? For example, can you conclude that $f(n)$ is $\mathrm{O}(g(n))$ ? or that $g(n)$ is $\mathrm{O}(f(n))$ ? or some other relationship? Explain your conclusion.

Actually, we can't conclude anything. The given facts leave the possibility that $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ could be any two functions that are loosely (or tightly) bounded by $n^{2}$ and $n$, respectively. For example, it's possible that

$$
f(n)=n^{2} \text { and } g(n)=n
$$

or that

$$
f(n)=n \text { and } g(n)=n
$$

or that

$$
f(n)=1 \text { and } g(n)=n
$$

None of those cases would yield the same conclusions about how the two functions are related.
4. [20 points] Suppose that executing an algorithm on input of size N requires executing $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{N})=\mathrm{N} \log \mathrm{N}+16 \mathrm{~N}$ instructions. How long would it take to execute this algorithm on hardware capable of carrying out $2^{24}$ instructions per second if $\mathrm{N}=2^{28}$ ? (Give your answer in hours, minutes and seconds, to the nearest second.)

The number of operations required would be given by

$$
T\left(2^{28}\right)=2^{28} \log 2^{28}+16 \cdot 2^{28}=2^{28} \cdot 28+16 \cdot 2^{28}=44 \cdot 2^{28}
$$

So, the number of seconds required would be given by

$$
\frac{T\left(2^{28}\right)}{2^{24}}=\frac{44 \cdot 2^{28}}{2^{24}}=44 \cdot 2^{4}=704
$$

This is $\mathbf{1 1}$ minutes and 44 seconds.

