Cloud Computing, Containers and VMs

Dan Williams (based on prior material from Godmar Back)

Virginia Tech

December 6, 2022



- "X as a service"
- Infrastructure as a Service
 - user manages software from kernel to applications
 - cloud manages "infrastructure" (power, cooling, etc.)
 - Ex: Amazon EC2
- Platform as a Service
 - user manages application and specifies software configuration (e.g., which runtime to use) on cloud
 - Ex: Heroku
- Software as a Service
 - application runs on servers in the cloud
 - Ex: Google Docs



- Cloud
- Hybrid Cloud
- On-prem cloud



Defining characteristics

Elasticity

- scale up and down number of instances (often automatically)
- only pay for what you use
- Multi-tenant
 - ensure resources are highly utilized (statistical multiplexing)
- Isolation
 - each user has "private view" of system
 - tenants should not interfere with each other
 - may be mutually distrusting



How to run user workloads?

- Process?
 - private address space..
- How to package application dependencies?
 - executable built on different system
 - will target system have the right shared libraries?
- Will processes interfere with each other?
 - Yes because so much of the system is shared:
 - shared filesystem what if different needs for /etc/config?
 - shared port space what if multiple on port 80?
 - shared pids
 - others...

Bottom Line

Processes are an ill-suited abstraction for a multi-tenant cloud scenario.

VIRGINIA TECH

How to run user workloads? Solutions:

Bare Metal

- each user workload gets its own physical machine
- Virtual Machines
 - each user workload gets its own virtual machine
 - everything application needs is in a virtual disk image
 - guest kernels: entire kernel is not shared
 - hypervisor/virtual machine monitor multiplexes physical machine
- Containers
 - private filesystem (chroot/layered)
 - kernel mechanisms provide "private view" of resources (namespaces, cgroups)



Virtual Machines in the 70s

- Goldberg 1972, 1974
- Some reasons:
 - improving and testing OS software
 - running H/W diagnostic software
 - running different OSes or versions
 - running with a virtual hardware configuration different than physical machine
 - etc.
- Popek/Goldberg Requirements (1974)
 - equivalence/fidelity
 - program should exhibit same behavior
 - resource control
 - VMM must have full control of resources
 - efficiency
 - most instructions should execute natively



- Direct Execution
- Basic idea: deprivilege (run OS as user instead of supervisor)
- "trap and emulate"
- question: will all instructions trap?
 - IBM/360 (70's) yes
 - x86 (prior to VT extensions) no...



• Dynamic binary translation

- replace supervisor instructions in guest or force them to trap for trap-and-emulate
- VMware workstation released in 1999
- Modify guest: paravirtualization
 - Xen (open source 2002)
 - Amazon EC2 was originally based on Xen
- Hardware extensions
 - 2005/2006 Intel VT-x AMD-V



Memory management in a VM

- Extra layer of translation
 - \bullet guest virtual \rightarrow guest physical \rightarrow host physical (machine)
- Approaches:
 - Shadow page table
 - hypervisor makes copy of page table, installs copy in MMU
 - Paravirtualization
 - cooperation of guest
 - Extended/nested page tables
 - hardware performs additional translation



Resource management for virtual machines

- OSes are used to fixed/dedicated RAM/CPU/devices
 - can we get better efficiency?
- Virtual CPUs
- Memory
 - Page sharing
 - Memory ballooning
- I/O devices
 - virtio
 - passthrough
 - "smart devices"

Resource management

Lots of classic mechanisms to rethink for VMs!

VIRGINIA TECH

OS kernel is already good at resource management...



Kernel mechanisms for Containers

- chroot
 - "chroot jail"
 - use some other directory as "/" for this process and all children
- Namespaces
 - private filesystem (chroot/layered)
 - UTS hostname
 - mount filesystem
 - PID pids (ps only shows pids in namespace)
 - IPC shmem, semaphores
 - user users, groups, etc.
 - network ports, devices, etc.
- Cgroups
 - limit resource consumption
 - CPU, mem

- Recall fork and pthread_create use clone under the covers
- Flags to *clone* control sharing (e.g., CLONE_VM), including namespaces!
- Can also unshare after the fact (e.g., CLONE_NEWPID)



• a set of processes sharing dedicated chroot, namespaces, cgroups



• Dockerfile - defacto standard for building containers

- filesystem image for container
- build from other images: layering



Building containers: Dockerfile

Pull base image.
FROM dockerfile/ubuntu

```
# Install Nginx.
RUN \
add-apt-repository -y ppa:nginx/stable && \
apt-get update && \
apt-get install -y nginx && \
rm -rf /var/lib/apt/lists/* && \
echo "\ndaemon off;" >> /etc/nginx/nginx.conf && \
chown -R www-data.yww-data /var/lib/nginx
```

Define mountable directories. VOLUME ["/etc/nginx/sites-enabled", "/etc/nginx/certs", "/etc/nginx/conf.d", "/var/log/nginx", "/var/www/html"]

```
# Define working directory.
WORKDIR /etc/nginx
```

```
# Define default command.
CMD ["nginx"]
```

```
# Expose ports.
EXPOSE 80
EXPOSE 443
```



- CNCF cloud native computing foundation
- Kubernetes
- Service Mesh
- Pods and sharing
 - containers that share some namespaces
 - e.g., share filesystem, network



threads

- processes
- containers
- virtual machines
- physical machines

- higher density, lower overhead, ease of sharing
- ۲
- ٩
- ۲
- better isolation, protection, more user control



- Attack surface through system call interface
- Same as processes!
- How to reduce it:
 - filter system calls (ex: seccomp)
 - use virtualization !?



- Large, unwieldy images
- Slow to boot
 - problem for serverless



- function as a service
- user only writes/supplies function in supported language
- event-based: attach to event
 - thumbnail example
- "stateless"
- charged on ms granularity



- run container in a lightweight VM
 - AWS Firecracker
 - Kata containers
- Integrated with container orchestration systems (e.g., Kubernetes)



References

