

Splay Trees\* are self-adjusting binary search trees in which the shape of the tree is changed based upon the accesses performed upon the elements.

When an element of a splay tree is accessed the node with the element is percolated, (i.e. splayed), to the root by applying AVL rotations. The more frequently accessed items will conglomerate near the top of the tree. This results in excellent performance when a subset of the tree elements are accessed much more frequently than other elements.

If all elements of the tree have equal access probability than a balanced binary search tree should be used.

\*D. D. Sleator and R. E. Tarjan, 1985.

Amortized analysis: the complexity is analyzed based upon a sequence of operations instead of single individual operations.

Amortized analysis takes into account the impact of operations upon following operations. Infrequently occurring costly individual operations may not have much effect on the overall performance if they are far outweighed by the less inexpensive frequently executed operations.


Formally, if “a sequence of  $M$  operations has total worst-case running time  $O(M f(N))$ , we say that the amortized running time is  $O(f(N))$ ” [Weiss].

A series of splay tree  $M$  operations takes at most  $O(M \log N)$ . Thus a splay tree has an amortized cost of  $O(\log N)$ .

A single operation may take  $\Theta(N)$  time, but be outweighed by other operations in a series. The amortized bound of  $O(\log N)$  is not as strong of a bound as a worst-case  $O(\log N)$  per operation bound.


\*G. M. Adelson-Velskii and E. M. Landis, 1962.

Consider accessing the value 65 in the BST tree:




The zig-zag case occurs when the accessed item is the left child of its parent and the parent is a right child (or vice versa). The item is rotated with its parent, and then its grandparent. In this example the rotations are accomplished by a right rotation followed by a left rotation which are switched in the right child – left child symmetric case.

Right rotation with parent.




Left rotation with grandparent.




Splaying approximately halves the depth of other nodes on the access path.

Consider accessing the value 25 in the BST tree:




The zig-zig case occurs when the accessed item is the left child of its parent and the parent is also a left child (or vice versa). The parent is first rotated with the grandparent, and then the accessed item's node is rotated with its parent. In this example the rotations are accomplished by a right rotation followed by another right rotation which are switched in the left child – left child symmetric case.

Right rotation between parent and grandparent.



Right rotation with parent.



Splaying rotations continue until the root is reached. If the node is one level below the root only one rotation is performed.

### Deletion

- First access the node to force it to percolate to the root.
- Remove the root.
- Determine the largest item in the left subtree and rotate it to the root of the left subtree which results in the left subtree new root having no right child.
- The right subtree is then added as the right child of the new root.

### Insertion

- Starts the same as a BST with the new node created at the a leaf. The new leaf node is splayed until it becomes the root.

Splaying also occurs on unsuccessful searches. The last item on the access path is splayed in this case.

### Pros:

- Simpler coding than AVL trees
- Average amortized cost is roughly the same as a balanced BST.
- No balance bookkeeping information need be stored.
- Rotations on long access paths tend to reduce search cost in the future.
- Most accesses occur near the root minimizing the number of rotations.

### Cons:

- Height can degrade to be linear. (QTP: when would this occur?)
- Tree changes when only a search is performed. In a concurrent system multiple processes accessing the same splay tree can result in critical region problems.



## Bottom-Up Splaying

The previous splay tree description is known as a “bottom-up” splay tree since the tree restructuring is performed back up the access towards the root.


## Top-Down Splaying

An alternate implementation involves performing the tree restructuring as one proceeds down the access path.

Alleviates the need to maintain the parent pointers using the recursive runtime stack.


As the search down the access path occurs three trees are maintained: left, middle and right. The left subtree holds nodes in the tree that are less than the target, but not in the middle tree. The right subtree holds nodes that are greater than the target, but not in the middle tree. The middle tree holds the current root of the access path which contains the target if it exists in the tree.

There are three cases for maintaining the left, right and middle trees: zig, zig-zig, zig-zag. (There are also three symmetric cases: zag, zag-zag, zag-zig.)



Consider accessing the value 20 in the BST tree:


**Zig case:** when searching for an item smaller than the left subroot (in the middle tree) and the left subroot has no left child, but does have a right child.



The previous middle tree is connected to the right tree as the left child of the smallest item in R.

**Zig-Zig case:** when searching for an item smaller than the left subroot (in the middle tree) and the left subroot has a left child.

The example below starts from the initial position and with an initial insertion of item 20 into the tree.



**Zig-Zag case:** when searching for an item greater than the left subroot (in the middle tree).

Consider accessing the value 30 in the BST tree:

