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I. Design Representation

Use the following partial Structure Chart diagrams and responses below as answers for the next 2 questions:

(1)        (2)            (3)    (4)

(5) 1 & 2  (6)  2 & 3 (7)  3 & 4 (8)  1 & 3 (9)  2 & 4 (10) None of the above

Do not make any assumption about variables that are not shown on the chart. Given the following variable definitions:

```
int Dogbert, Wally, Alice, Boss;
```

#1 Which of the above structure chart diagrams for Dilbert() correctly models the code segment below?

```
Dilbert(Dogbert, Wally, Alice, Boss);
if (Wally)
    //code under control of if
```

```
void Dilbert(int& Dogbert, int& Wally, int Alice, int Boss) {
    if (Boss < 1)
        //code under control of if
```

#2 Which of the above structure chart diagrams for Dilbert() correctly models the code segment below?

```
if (Dogbert)
    Dilbert(Dogbert, Wally, Alice, Boss);
```

```
void Dilbert(int& Dogbert, int& Wally, int Alice, int& Boss) {
    if (Alice)
        //code under control of if
```

Analysis:
The reference parameters, Dogbert & Wally, could be either output or input/output parameters. The value parameters, Alice & Boss, can only be input parameters. Since Wally is used for control in the calling and Boss is used for control in Dilbert, they must be control parameters and the other parameters, (Dogbert and Alice) must be data parameters.

Given those observations, only structure chart above that satisfies the analysis is (1).

Analysis:
The reference parameters, Dogbert, Wally & Boss could be either output or input/output parameters there is no way to tell from the partial code segment. The value parameter, Alice, can only be input parameter. Since Dogbert and Alice are used for control the other parameters must be data parameters.

Given those observations, only structure chart above that satisfies the analysis is (4).
II. Pointers

Assume the following declarations:

```c
const int CAPACITY = 100;
int r = 0, w[CAPACITY]=(0);

int* p; int* q;
```

Use the responses:

(1) Valid (2) Invalid

for the next 6 questions (#3 - #9). Considering each statement below independently, determine whether each statement would compile (not link) without errors after the statement:

```
p = new int[CAPACITY];
```

#3  
```
delete [] w;
```

(2) Invalid (Static Arrays cannot be deallocated.)

#4  
```
q = &p[ -1 + CAPACITY];
```

(1) Valid (q = address of last element of p array)

#5  
```
*p = *(&w[CAPACITY - 1]);
```

(1) Valid (equivalent to `p[0] = w[99];`)  

#6  
```
p[1] = w*2+1;
```

(2) Invalid (Pointer multiplication is not defined.)

#7  
```
p[CAPACITY-1] = w[CAPACITY-1];
```

(1) Valid (equivalent to `*(p+99) = *(w+99);`)  

#8  
```
*(w+1) = *(p+1);
```

(1) Valid (equivalent to `w[1] = p[1];`)  

#9 Identify the most serious logical error that best identifies what occurs in the code fragment:

(1) Alias pointer exists  
(2) Dangling pointer exists  
(3) Illegal memory address reference  
(4) Memory garbage exists  
(5) Undefined pointer dereferenced  
(6) No logical error occurs

```
char *c = new char[4];
char *d = &c[0];
delete [] c;
d[0]= 'W'; d[1]= 'X';
```

#10 Identify the most serious logical error that best identifies what occurs in the code fragment:

(1) Alias pointer exists  
(2) Dangling Reference exists  
(3) Illegal memory address reference  
(4) Memory garbage exists  
(5) Undefined pointer dereferenced  
(6) No logical error occurs

```
char *c = new char[2];
*c = 'A'; *(c+1) = '\0';
delete c;
*c = 'B';
```

Only the [0] position would be deleted, but regardless after any delete the pointer is
#11 What value is printed by the code fragment below?

```c++
const int SIZE = 5;
float* r; float * f;
r = new float [SIZE]; // assume allocation starts at address 00010000
for (int i = 0; i < SIZE; i++)
    r[i] = float(i);
f = r;
f = f + 1;
cout << "f =" << f << endl;
```

(1) 00010000  (2) 00010001  (3) 00010004  (4) 00010008
(5) 0.0         (6) 1.0         (7) 2.0         (8) None of the above

---

Consider the following code:

```c++
void DelMem (int arr[], int deinit, int dim);
const int DIM = 5;
void main() {
    int* a = new(nothrow) int[DIM];
    //use array
    DelMem( a, 0, DIM);
    delete [] arr; //delete array
}

void DelMem( int arr[], int deinit, int dim)
{
    //zero memory for safety
    for (int* i=arr; dim >0; i++, dim--)
        *i = deinit; //deinitialize
}
```

#12 In the code above, how is the array int pointer variable `a` being passed to the `DelMem()` function?

(1) by value  (2) by reference  (3) by const reference  (4) a const pointer  (5) a pointer to a const target  (6) a const pointer to a const target  (7) none of the above

#13 Unfortunately the above call to `DelMem()` does not function as intended. Select the statement below that best describes how to fix the problem.

(1) the `dim` parameter must not be decremented and used for loop control termination, a temporary local variable should be defined and used for this purpose.

(2) the integer array parameter, `a`, must be passed as a pointer to a const target parameter to allow the dynamic array memory to be deallocated by `delete`.

(3) the integer array parameter, `a`, must be passed as a const pointer parameter to prevent the for loop in `DelMem()` from accidentally resetting the array dimension when `dim` is decremented.

(4) the integer array parameter, `a`, must be passed as a reference pointer parameter to allow the changes made by `DelMem()` to take effect and prevent a compilation error from occurring.

(5) none of the above
Use the responses:

(1) Valid  
(2) Invalid

for the next 6 questions (#14 - #19). Considering each numbered question statement in the function below separately, determine whether each statement would be valid or invalid:

Assume the following function declarations:

```c
void FN1(const int* const FormalParm);  
void FN2(int* const FormalParm);  
void FN3(const int* FormalParm);  
void G(int*& IntArrayPtr) {
    int *a = IntArrayPtr;
    int *b = IntArrayPtr;
    int *c = IntArrayPtr;
    FN1(a);
    FN2(b);
    FN3(c);
}
```

// QUESTIONS

```c
void FN1(const int* const FormalParm) {
    int arr[6] = {0, -1, -2, -3, -4, -5};  
    Formal Parm = arr;  // #14: (1) Valid or (2) Invalid ?
    Formal Parm[0] = 6;  // #15: (1) Valid or (2) Invalid ?
}

void FN2(int* const FormalParm) {
    int arr[6] = {0, -1, -2, -3, -4, -5};  
    Formal Parm = arr;  // #16: (1) Valid or (2) Invalid ?
    Formal Parm[0] = 6;  // #17: (1) Valid or (2) Invalid ?
}

void FN3(const int* FormalParm) {
    int arr[6] = {0, -1, -2, -3, -4, -5};  
    Formal Parm = arr;  // #18: (1) Valid or (2) Invalid ?
    Formal Parm[0] = 6;  // #19: (1) Valid or (2) Invalid ?
}
```

- Formal Parm is a constant pointer to a constant target neither it nor its target can be re-assigned.
- Formal Parm is a constant pointer to an int target thus its pointer value can NOT be re-assigned.
- Formal Parm is a pointer to a constant, its target can NOT be re-assigned.
### III. Class Basics

Assume the following class declaration and implementation:

```cpp
class FloorLamp {
private:
    bool light; // true: light is on
    int watts; // brightness: 0..100
public:
    FloorLamp();
    FloorLamp(bool button, int level);
    void on();
    void off();
    bool onoff();
    void dim(int delta);
    int brightness();
};

FloorLamp::FloorLamp() {
    light = false; // light off
    watts = 50; // half intensity
}

FloorLamp::FloorLamp(bool button, int level) {
    light = button;
    watts = level;
}

void FloorLamp::on() {
    light = true;
}

void FloorLamp::off() {
    light = false;
}

bool FloorLamp::onoff() {
    return light;
}

void FloorLamp::dim(int delta) {
    watts += delta; // positive || negative
}

int FloorLamp::brightness() {
    return watts;
}
```

For arrays of objects the default constructor is automatically invoked upon each object in the array.

Circle the number of the best answer to each question:

#### #20
How many function invocation(s), (i.e. function executions), does the following statement cause:

```
FloorLamp Lamps[10];
```

1. 1  
2. 2  
3. 9  
4. 10  
5. 11  
6. 12  
7. 20  
8. Zero

#### #21
Given the object definitions at the right, which of the following object statements are valid?

```
FloorLamp Table(true, 25), Desk;
```

1. bool SameLampState = ( Desk == Table );  
2. FloorLamp Room = Table;
3. Desk = Table;  
4. Table->dim(-25);  
5. cout << Desk;
6. 1 & 2  
7. 2 & 3  
8. 3 & 4  
9. 4 & 5  
10. All are valid

For objects C++ does not provide for equality comparison or output automagically. C++ does provide for object assignment and initialization, (shallow copying), of objects.
#22  Which of the member functions in the **FloorLamp** class should have been declared as `const` member functions?:

(1) `FloorLamp();`  (2) `FloorLamp(bool button, int level);`
(3) `void on();`  (4) `void off();`  (5) `bool onoff();`
(6) `void dim(int delta);`  (7) `int brightness();`
(8) `1 & 2`  (9) `3, 4 & 5`  (10) `5 & 7`

Only the `onoff` and `brightness` member functions do not change any of the private data.

#23  Given the object definition at the right, which of the following object statements would cut power to the lamp?

```cpp
FloorLamp Banker(true, 75);
```

(1) `Banker.light = false;`
(2) `Banker.watts = 0;`
(3) `Banker.dim(-100);`
(4) `Banker.off();`
(5) None of the above

The `off` member function turns the light off.

The `light` member function is not a valid accessor for a boolean value.

#23  How many mutator member functions does the **FloorLamp** class declaration contain?

(1) 1  (2) 2  (3) 3 (4) 4  (5) 0  (6) None of the above

//see #22 above for explanation.

#25  Given the function definition at the right, what do the following statements accomplish:

```cpp
bool TooHot (FloorLamp lamp) {
  return(lamp.watts > 100 );
} // TooHot
```

```cpp
bool TooHot (FloorLamp lamp) {
  return(lamp.watts > 100 );
} // TooHot
```

(1) causes `main()` to display a warning message.
(2) causes an execution error when the `dim()` member function is invoked.
(3) **causes a compilation error.**
(4) contains a logic error by setting the lamp `watts` above 100.
(5) None of these

TooHot() is NOT a class function member. It CANNOT access private class members.