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Virtualization approaches

- **Full virtualization**
  - OS sees exact h/w
  - OS runs unmodified
  - Requires virtualizable architecture or work around
  - Example: Vmware

- **Para Virtualization**
  - OS knows about VMM
  - Requires porting (source code)
  - Execution overhead
  - Example Xen, denali
The Xen approach

- Support for unmodified binaries (but not OS) essential
  - Important for app developers
  - Virtualized system exports has same Application Binary Interface (ABI)
- Modify guest OS to be aware of virtualization
  - Gets around problems of x86 architecture
  - Allows better performance to be achieved
- Expose some effects of virtualization
  - Translucent VM OS can be used to optimize for performance
- Keep hypervisor layer as small and simple as possible
  - Resource management, Device drivers run in privileged VMM
  - Enhances security, resource isolation
Paravirtualization

- Solution to issues with x86 instruction set
  - Don’t allow guest OS to issue sensitive instructions
  - Replace those sensitive instructions that don’t trap to ones that will trap

- Guest OS makes “hypercalls” (like system calls) to interact with system resources
  - Allows hypervisor to provide protection between VMs

- Exceptions handled by registering handler table with Xen
  - Fast handler for OS system calls invoked directly
  - Page fault handler modified to read address from replica location

- Guest OS changes largely confined to arch-specific code
  - Compile for ARCH=xen instead of ARCH=i686
  - Original port of Linux required only 1.36% of OS to be modified
Para-Virtualization in Xen

- Arch xen_x86: like x86, but Xen hypercalls required for privileged operations
  - Avoids binary rewriting
  - Minimize number of privilege transitions into Xen
  - Modifications relatively simple and self-contained
- Modify kernel to understand virtualized environment.
  - Wall-clock time vs. virtual processor time
    - Xen provides both types of alarm timer
  - Expose real resource availability
    - Enables OS to optimise behaviour
**x86 CPU virtualization**

- Xen runs in ring 0 (most privileged)
- Ring 1/2 for guest OS, 3 for user-space
  - General Processor Fault if guest attempts to use privileged instruction
- Xen lives in top 64MB of linear address space
  - Segmentation used to protect Xen as switching page tables too slow on standard x86
- Hypercalls jump to Xen in ring 0
- Guest OS may install ‘fast trap’ handler
  - Direct user-space to guest OS system calls
- MMU virtualisation: shadow vs. direct-mode
- Xen reserves top of VA space
- Segmentation protects Xen from kernel
- System call speed unchanged
- Xen 3.0 now supports >4GB mem with Processor Address Extension (64 bit etc)
Xen VM interface: CPU

- CPU
  - Guest runs at lower privilege than VMM
  - Exception handlers must be registered with VMM
  - Fast system call handler can be serviced without trapping to VMM
  - Hardware interrupts replaced by lightweight event notification system
  - Timer interface: both real and virtual time
Xen virtualizing CPU

- Many processor architectures provide only 2 levels (0/1)
- Guest and apps in 1, VMM in 0
- Run Guest and app as separate processes
- Guest OS can use the VMM to pass control between address spaces
- Use of software TLB with address space tags to minimize CS overhead
XEN: virtualizing CPU in x86

- x86 provides 4 rings (even VAX processor provided 4)
- Leverages availability of multiple “rings”
  - Intermediate rings have not been used in practice since OS/2; x86-specific
  - An O/S written to only use rings 0 and 3 can be ported; needs to modify kernel to run in ring 1
CPU virtualization

- Exceptions that are called often:
  - Software interrupts for system calls
  - Page faults
- Improve: Allow “guest” to register a ‘fast’ exception handler for system calls that can be accessed directly by CPU in ring 1, without switching to ring-0/Xen
  - Handler is validated before installing in hardware exception table: To make sure nothing executed in Ring 0 privilege.
  - Doesn’t work for Page Fault
  - Only code in ring 0 can read the faulting address from register
Figure 1: The structure of a machine running the Xen hypervisor, hosting a number of different guest operating systems, including Domain0 running control software in a XenoLinux environment.
Some Xen hypercalls

- See [http://lxr.xensource.com/lxr/source/xen/include/public/xen.h](http://lxr.xensource.com/lxr/source/xen/include/public/xen.h)

```c
#define __HYPERVISOR_set_trap_table 0
#define __HYPERVISOR_mmu_update 1
#define __HYPERVISOR_sysctl 35
#define __HYPERVISOR_domctl 36
```
Xen VM interface: Memory

- Memory management
  - Guest cannot install highest privilege level segment descriptors; top end of linear address space is not accessible
  - Guest has direct (not trapped) read access to hardware page tables; writes are trapped and handled by the VMM
  - Physical memory presented to guest is not necessarily contiguous
Memory virtualization choices

- TLB: challenging
  - Software TLB can be virtualized without flushing TLB entries between VM switches
  - Hardware TLBs tagged with address space identifiers can also be leveraged to avoid flushing TLB between switches
  - x86 is hardware-managed and has no tags...

- Decisions:
  - Guest O/Ss allocate and manage their own hardware page tables with minimal involvement of Xen for better safety and isolation
  - Xen VMM exists in a 64MB section at the top of a VM’s address space that is not accessible from the guest
Xen memory management

- x86 TLB not tagged
  - Must optimise context switches: allow VM to see physical addresses
  - Xen mapped in each VM’s address space
- PV: Guest OS manages own page tables
  - Allocates new page tables and registers with Xen
  - Can read directly
  - Updates batched, then validated, applied by Xen
Memory virtualization

- Guest O/S has direct read access to hardware page tables, but updates are validated by the VMM
  - Through “hypervcalls” into Xen
  - Also for segment descriptor tables
  - VMM must ensure access to the Xen 64MB section not allowed
  - Guest O/S may “batch” update requests to amortize cost of entering hypervisor
- Xen reserves top of VA space
- Segmentation protects Xen from kernel
- System call speed unchanged
- Xen 3.0 now supports >4GB mem with Processor Address Extension (64 bit etc)
Virtualized memory management

- Each process in each VM has its own VAS
- Guest OS deals with real (pseudo-physical) pages, Xen maps physical to machine
- For PV, guest OS uses hypercalls to interact with memory
- For HVM, Xen has shadow page tables (VT instructions help)
## TLB when VM1 is running

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VP</th>
<th>PID</th>
<th>PN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MMU Virtualization: shadow mode
Shadow page table

- Hypervisor responsible for trapping access to virtual page table
- Updates need to be propagate back and forth between Guest OS and VMM
- Increases cost of managing page table flags (modified, accessed bits)
- Can view physical memory as contiguous
- Needed for full virtualization
MMU virtualization: direct mode

- Take advantage of Paravirtualization
- OS can be modified to be involved only in page table updates
- Restrict guest OSes to read only access
- Classify Page frames into frames that holds page table
- Once registered as page table frame, make the page frame R_ONLY
- Can avoid the use of shadow page tables
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Bulk update

- Useful when creating new Virtual address spaces
- New Process via fork and Context switch
- Requires creation of several PTEs
- Multipurpose hypercall
  - Update PTEs
  - Update virtual to Machine mapping
  - Flush TLB
  - Install new PTBR
Batched Update Interface
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Physical memory

- Memory allocation for each VM specified at boot
  - Statically partitioned
  - No overlap in machine memory
  - Strong isolation

- Non-contiguous (Sparse allocation)
  - Balloon driver
  - Add or remove machine memory from guest OS
Xen memory management

- Xen does not swap out memory allocated to domains
  - Provides consistent performance for domains
  - By itself would create inflexible system (static memory allocation)
- Balloon driver allows guest memory to grow/shrink
  - Memory target set as value in the XenStore
  - If guest above target, free/swap out, then release to Xen
  - If guest below target, can increase usage
- Hypercalls allow guests to see/change state of memory
  - Physical-real mappings
  - “Defragment” allocated memory
Xen VM interface: I/O

- I/O
  - Virtual devices (device descriptors) exposed as asynchronous I/O rings to guests
  - Event notification is by means of an upcall as opposed to interrupts
I/O

- Handle interrupts
- Data transfer
- Data written to I/O buffer pools in each domain
- These Page frames pinned by Xen
Details: I/O

I/O Descriptor Ring:

Request Consumer
Private pointer in Xen

Request Producer
Shared pointer updated by guest OS

Response Producer
Shared pointer updated by Xen

Response Consumer
Private pointer in guest OS

- Request queue - Descriptors queued by the VM but not yet accepted by Xen
- Outstanding descriptors - Descriptor slots awaiting a response from Xen
- Response queue - Descriptors returned by Xen in response to serviced requests
- Unused descriptors
I/O rings

REQUEST CONSUMER (XEN) -> REQUEST PRODUCER (GUEST) -> RESPONSE CONSUMER (GUEST) -> RES PRODUCER (XEN)
I/O virtualization

- Xen does not emulate hardware devices
  - Exposes device abstractions for simplicity and performance
  - I/O data transferred to/from guest via Xen using shared-memory buffers
  - Virtualized interrupts: light-weight event delivery mechanism from Xen-guest
    - Update a bitmap in shared memory
    - Optional call-back handlers registered by O/S
Network Virtualization

- Xen models a virtual firewall-router (VFR) to which one or more VIFs of each domain connect
- Two I/O rings: one for send and another for receive
- Policy enforced by a special domain
  - Each direction also has rules of the form (if <pattern> → <action>) that are inserted by domain 0 (management)
Network Virtualization

- Packet transmission:
  - Guest adds request to I/O ring
  - Xen copies packet header, applies matching filter rules
  - Round-robin packet scheduler
Network Virtualization

- Packet reception:
  - Xen applies pattern-matching rules to determine destination VIF
  - Guest O/S required to provide PM for copying packets received
    - If no receive frame is available, the packet is dropped
    - Avoids Xen-guest copies;
Disk Virtualization

- Uses Split driver approach
- Front end, back end drivers
- Front end
  - Guest OSes use a simple generic driver per class
- Domain 0 provides the actual driver per device
- Back end runs in own VM (domain 0)
Disk virtualization

- Domain0 has access to physical disks
  - Currently: SCSI and IDE
- All other domains are offered virtual block device (VBD) abstraction
  - Created & configured by management software at domain0
  - Accessed via I/O ring mechanism
  - Possible reordering by Xen based on knowledge about disk layout
Disk virtualization

- Xen maintains translation tables for each VBD
  - Used to map requests for VBD (ID, offset) to corresponding physical device and sector address
  - Zero-copy data transfers take place using DMA between memory pages pinned by requesting domain
- Scheduling: batches of requests in round-robin fashion across domains
Advanced features

- Support for HVM (hardware virtualisation support)
  - Very similar to “classic” VM scenario
  - Uses emulated devices, shadow page tables
  - Hypervisor (VMM) still has important role to play
  - “Hybrid” HVM paravirtualizes components (e.g. device drivers) to improve performance

- Migration of domains between machines
  - Daemon runs on each Dom0 to support this
  - Incremental copying used to for live migration (60ms downtime!)
Xen 2.0 Architecture

- **VM0**: Device Manager & Control s/w, GuestOS (XenLinux), Back-End, Native Device Driver
- **VM1**: Unmodified User Software, GuestOS (XenLinux), Back-End, Native Device Driver
- **VM2**: Unmodified User Software, GuestOS (XenLinux), Front-End Device Drivers
- **VM3**: Unmodified User Software, GuestOS (XenBSD), Front-End Device Drivers

- **Control IF**
- **Safe HW IF**
- **Event Channel**
- **Virtual CPU**
- **Virtual MMU**

Hardware (SMP, MMU, physical memory, Ethernet, SCSI/IDE)
Xen Today: 2.0 Features

- Secure isolation between VMs
- Resource control and QoS
- Only guest kernel needs to be ported
  - All user-level apps and libraries run unmodified
  - Linux 2.4/2.6, NetBSD, FreeBSD, Plan9
- Execution performance is close to native
- Supports the same hardware as Linux x86
- Live Relocation of VMs between Xen nodes
Xen 3.0 Architecture

- **VM0**: Device Manager & Control s/w
  - GuestOS (XenLinux)
  - Back-End
  - Native Device Driver

- **VM1**: Unmodified User Software
  - GuestOS (XenLinux)
  - Back-End
  - Native Device Driver

- **VM2**: Unmodified User Software
  - GuestOS (XenLinux)
  - Front-End Device Drivers

- **VM3**: Unmodified User Software
  - GuestOS (WinXP)
  - Front-End Device Drivers

**Hardware (SMP, MMU, physical memory, Ethernet, SCSI/IDE)**
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Xen 3.0 features

- Support for up to 32-way SMP guest
- Intel® VT-x and AMD Pacifica hardware virtualization support
- PAE support for 32 bit servers with over 4 GB memory
- x86/64 support for both AMD64 and EM64T
- New easy-to-use CPU scheduler including weights, caps and automatic load balancing
- Much enhanced support for unmodified ('hvm') guests including windows and legacy linux systems
- Support for sparse and copy-on-write disks
- High performance networking using segmentation off-load
Xen protection levels in PAE

- x86_64 removed rings 1,2
  - Xen in ring 0
  - Guest OS and apps in ring 3
Large VA space makes life a lot easier, but:

- No segment limit support

Need to use page-level protection to protect hypervisor
Run user-space and kernel in ring 3 using different pagetables

- Two PGD’s (PML4’s): one with user entries; one with user plus kernel entries

- System calls require an additional syscall/ret via Xen

- Per-CPU trampoline to avoid needing GS in Xen
Additional resources on Xen

- “Xen 3.0 and the art of virtualization”, Presentation by Ian Pratt
- Virtual machines by Jim Smith and ravi nair
- “The definitive guide to the Xen hypervisor” (Kindle Edition), David Chisnall
- The source code: http://lxr.xensource.com/lxr/source/xen/