

# Event Ordering


# Time and Ordering

The two critical differences between centralized and distributed systems are:

- absence of shared memory
- absence of a global clock

We will study:

- how programming mechanisms change as a result of these differences
- algorithms that operate in the absence of a global clock
- algorithms that create a sense of a shared, global time
- algorithms that capture a consistent state of a system in the absence of shared memory



How can the events on P be related to the events on Q?

Which events of P “happened before” which events of Q?


Partial answer: events on P and Q are strictly ordered. So:

$$P_1 \dashrightarrow P_2 \dashrightarrow P_3$$

and

$$Q_1 \dashrightarrow Q_2 \dashrightarrow Q_3$$


## Event Ordering



Realization: the only events on P that can causally affect events on Q are those that involve communication between P and Q.

If P<sub>1</sub> is a send event and Q<sub>2</sub> is the corresponding receive event then it must be the case that:

$$P_1 \dashrightarrow Q_2$$



“Happened Before” relation:

If  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  are two events of the same process, then

$$E_i \dashrightarrow E_j \text{ if } i < j.$$

If  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  are two events of different processes, then

$$E_i \dashrightarrow E_j$$

if  $E_i$  is a message send event and  $E_j$  is the corresponding message receive event.

The relation is transitive.

## Lamport's Algorithm

Lamport's algorithm is based on two implementation rules that define how each process's local clock is incremented.

Notation:

- the processes are named  $P_i$ ,
- each process has a local clock,  $C_i$
- the clock time for an event  $a$  on process  $P_i$  is denoted by  $C_i(a)$ .

Rule 1:


If  $a$  and  $b$  are two successive events in  $P_i$  and  $a \rightarrow b$   
then  $C_i(b) = C_i(a) + d$  where  $d > 0$ .

Rule 2:

If  $a$  is a message send event on  $P_i$  and  $b$  is the message receive event on  $P_j$  then:

- the message is assigned the timestamp  $t_m = C_i(a)$
- $C_j(b) = \max(C_j, t_m + d)$

## Example of Lamport's Algorithm



## Limitation of Lamport's Algorithm

In Lamport's algorithm two events that are causally related will be related through their clock times. That is:

If  $a \rightarrow b$  then  $C(a) < C(b)$

However, the clock times alone do not reveal which events are causally related. That is, if  $C(a) < C(b)$  then it is not known if  $a \rightarrow b$  or not. All that is known is:

if  $C(a) < C(b)$  then  $b \not\rightarrow a$

It would be useful to have a stronger property - one that guarantees that

$a \rightarrow b$  iff  $C(a) < C(b)$

This property is guaranteed by Vector Clocks.

## Vector Clock Rules

Each process  $P_i$  is equipped with a clock  $C_i$  which is an integer vector of length  $n$ .

$C_i(a)$  is referred to as the timestamp event  $a$  at  $P_i$

$C_i[i]$ , the  $i$ th entry of  $C_i$  corresponds to  $P_i$ 's on logical time.

$C_i[j]$ ,  $j \neq i$  is  $P_i$ 's best guess of the logical time at  $P_j$

### Implementation rules for vector clocks:


**[IR1]** Clock  $C_i$  is incremented between any two successive events in process  $P_i$

$$C_i[i] := C_i[i] + d \quad (d > 0)$$


**[IR2]** If event  $a$  is the sending of the message  $m$  by process  $P_i$ , then message  $m$  is assigned a vector timestamp  $t_m = C_i(a)$ ; on receiving the same message  $m$  by process  $P_j$ ,  $C_j$  is updated as follows:

$$\forall k, C_j[k] := \max(C_j[k], t_m[k])$$

## Vector Clocks



## Causal Ordering of Messages



## Birman-Schiper-Stephenson Protocol

1. Before broadcasting a message  $m$ , a process  $P_i$  increments the vector time  $VT_{P_i}[i]$  and timestamps  $m$ . Note that  $(VT_{P_i}[i] - 1)$  indicates how many messages from  $P_i$  precede  $m$ .
2. A process  $P_j \neq P_i$ , upon receiving message  $m$  timestamped  $VT_m$  from  $P_i$ , delays its delivery until both the following conditions are satisfied.

- a.  $VT_{P_j}[i] = VT_m[i] - 1$

- b.  $VT_{P_j}[k] \geq VT_m[k] \forall k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} - \{i\}$

where  $n$  is the total number of processes.

Delayed messages are queued at each process in a queue that is sorted by vector time of the messages. Concurrent messages are ordered by the time of their receipt.

3. When a message is delivered at a process  $P_j$ ,  $VT_{P_j}$  is updated according to the vector clocks rule [IR2]