

CS 5114
Solutions to Midterm Exam
March 2, 2000

[30] 1. Rank the following functions by order of growth; that is, name the functions g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 so that $g_1 = \omega(g_2)$, $g_2 = \omega(g_3)$, and $g_3 = \omega(g_4)$. (Note that the ranking is strict.)

$$\frac{5n^7+2.7n^3}{3.5n^4+17n} \quad 2^{3 \lg n \lg \lg n} \quad \lg(n!) \quad \frac{n^3}{(\lg n)^2}.$$

Prove your ranking.

First express each function asymptotically as a power of n :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{5n^7 + 2.7n^3}{3.5n^4 + 17n} &= \Theta(n^3) \\ 2^{3 \lg n \lg \lg n} &= n^{3 \lg \lg n} \\ \lg(n!) &= \Theta(n \lg n) \\ &= \Theta\left(n^{1+(\lg \lg n)/(\lg n)}\right) \\ \frac{n^3}{(\lg n)^2} &= \Theta\left(n^{3-2(\lg \lg n)/(\lg n)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the asymptotic result for $\lg(n!)$ was obtained in the solutions for Homework 1.

It is clear that the ranking should be

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(n) &= 2^{3 \lg n \lg \lg n} \\ g_2(n) &= \frac{5n^7 + 2.7n^3}{3.5n^4 + 17n} \\ g_3(n) &= \frac{n^3}{(\lg n)^2} \\ g_4(n) &= \lg(n!). \end{aligned}$$

The ranking is clear BECAUSE the previous asymptotic expressions are in similar forms (as powers of n).

If the rankings were just “guessed”, then a proof of the rankings might go as follows:

•

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_1(n)}{g_2(n)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{3 \lg n \lg \lg n} (3.5n^4 + 17n)}{5n^7 + 2.7n^3} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{3 \lg \lg n} (3.5n^4 + 17n)}{5n^7 + 2.7n^3} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{3 \lg \lg n - 3} (3.5n^7 + 17n^4)}{5n^7 + 2.7n^3} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (7/10)n^{3 \lg \lg n - 3} \\ &= \infty, \end{aligned}$$

because $3 \lg \lg n - 3 > 0$ for sufficiently large n .

•

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_2(n)}{g_3(n)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(5n^7 + 2.7n^3)(\lg n)^2}{(3.5n^4 + 17n)n^3} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(5n^7 + 2.7n^3)(\lg n)^2}{3.5n^7 + 17n^4} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (10/7)(\lg n)^2 \\ &= \infty, \end{aligned}$$

because $(\lg n)^2 \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

•

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_3(n)}{g_4(n)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^3}{(\lg n)^2 \lg(n!)} \\ &= \infty, \end{aligned}$$

because $(\lg n)^2 \lg(n!) = o(n^2)$.

[30] 2. Give asymptotic upper and lower bounds for $T(n)$ in each of the following recurrences. Make your bounds as tight as possible and prove them.

A. $T(n) = 4T(n/3) + n^{1/2}$

B. $T(n) = 27T(n/13) + 3^n$

A. We apply the Master Theorem with $a = 4$, $b = 3$, and $f(n) = n^{1/2}$. Since $\log_b a = \log_3 4 > 1$, we get that case 1 of the Master Theorem applies, from which we obtain

$$T(n) = \Theta\left(n^{\log_3 4}\right).$$

B. We apply the Master Theorem with $a = 27$, $b = 13$, and $f(n) = 3^n$. Since $f(n)$ is asymptotically greater than any polynomial in n , including $n^{\log_b a}$, we get that case 3 of the Master Theorem applies, from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= \Theta(f(n)) \\ &= \Theta(3^n). \end{aligned}$$

[40] **3.** Consider the following counting variation of the knapsack problem that counts the number of ways that some of the N items can fit *exactly* into a knapsack of size M .

KNAPSACK COUNTING PROBLEM

INSTANCE: N items $1, 2, \dots, N$ with positive integer sizes s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N ; a positive integer knapsack size M .

SOLUTION: The count C of the number of sets of items $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ such that $\sum_{i \in S} s_i = M$.

If we define a predicate f on sets of items by

$$f(S) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i \in S} s_i = M; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then the solution to the instance is $C = \sum_{S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}} f(S)$.

EXAMPLE. Given the instance with $N = 5$, $M = 7$, and

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= 1 \\ s_2 &= 7 \\ s_3 &= 4 \\ s_4 &= 3 \\ s_5 &= 3, \end{aligned}$$

we get $C = 4$, since the following subsets of items have sizes summing to M :

$$\{s_1, s_4, s_5\} \quad \{s_2\} \quad \{s_3, s_4\} \quad \{s_3, s_5\}.$$

- A. Use the dynamic programming paradigm to develop an algorithm to return C for an instance of the KNAPSACK COUNTING PROBLEM¹. Give pseudocode for your algorithm.
- B. Analyze the time and space complexity of your algorithm.
- C. Fill in the table of values for subproblems that result from executing your algorithm on the example above.

¹If you wish, you may take the subproblems to consist of items $1, 2, \dots, i$ and knapsack size j , where $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $0 \leq j \leq M$. The value to store for subproblem i, j is then $E[i, j]$, the count of subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, i\}$ that exactly fit in a knapsack of size j .

```

KCP( $N; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N; M$ )
1  ▷ Handle the base case,  $j = 0$ .
2  for  $i \leftarrow 1$  to  $N$ 
3      do  $E[i, 0] \leftarrow 1$ 
4  ▷ Handle the base case,  $i = 1$ .
5  for  $j \leftarrow 0$  to  $M$ 
6      do if  $s_i = j$ 
7          then  $E[i, 0] \leftarrow 1$ 
8          else  $E[i, 0] \leftarrow 0$ 
9  ▷ Handle the general case.
10 for  $i \leftarrow 2$  to  $N$ 
11     do for  $j \leftarrow 1$  to  $M$ 
12         do if  $s_i > j$ 
13             then  $E[i, j] \leftarrow E[i - 1, j]$ 
14             else  $E[i, j] \leftarrow E[i - 1, j] + E[i - 1, j - s_i]$ 
15 return  $E[N, M]$       ▷ Return  $C$ 

```

Figure 1: Dynamic programming algorithm for the Knapsack Counting Problem.

- A. Using the suggestion, we take the subproblems to consist of items $1, 2, \dots, i$ and knapsack size j , where $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $0 \leq j \leq M$. The value to store for subproblem i, j is then $E[i, j]$, the count of subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, i\}$ that exactly fit in a knapsack of size j .

The base cases occur when $j = 0$ and $E[i, 0] = 1$ (the empty set); and when $i = 1$ and

$$E[1, j] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s_1 = j; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The general case occurs when $2 \leq i \leq N$ and $1 \leq j \leq M$. We have

$$E[i, j] = \begin{cases} E[i - 1, j] & \text{if } s_i > j; \\ E[i - 1, j] + E[i - 1, j - s_i] & \text{if } s_i \leq j. \end{cases}$$

The pseudocode for the resulting dynamic programming algorithm KCP can be found in Figure 1.

- B. For each of $N(M + 1)$ subproblems, the algorithm remembers one integer. Hence the space complexity is $\Theta(NM)$. The time to compute each $E[i, j]$ is $\Theta(1)$. Hence the time complexity is also $\Theta(NM)$.

C. Here is the table

		j							
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
i	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	3	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
	4	1	1	0	1	2	1	0	2
	5	1	1	0	2	3	1	1	4
