# Review of Mathematical Induction

Lenwood S. Heath

August 21, 2005

# **1** Principle of Mathematical Induction

Let **P** be some property of the natural numbers **N**, the set of non-negative integers. Alternately,  $\mathbf{P}(n)$  is a statement about a natural number  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  that is either true or false. The purpose of induction is to show that  $\mathbf{P}(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Here are three variants of the Principle of Mathematical Induction.

**Principle of Mathematical Induction (First Variant).** Suppose that we can prove these two statements:

- Base case. P(0) is true.
- Inductive step. If  $\mathbf{P}(k)$  is true for any  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , then  $\mathbf{P}(k+1)$  is also true.

Then, by the Principle of Mathematical Induction,  $\mathbf{P}(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

**Principle of Mathematical Induction (Second Variant).** Suppose that  $b \in \mathbf{N}$  and that we can prove these two statements:

- **Base case.**  $\mathbf{P}(k)$  is true for  $0 \le k \le b$ .
- Inductive step. If  $\mathbf{P}(k)$  is true for some  $k \ge b$ , then  $\mathbf{P}(k+1)$  is also true.

Then, by the Principle of Mathematical Induction,  $\mathbf{P}(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Principle of Mathematical Induction (Third Variant; Strong Induction). Suppose that  $b \in \mathbf{N}$  and that we can prove these two statements:

- Base case.  $\mathbf{P}(k)$  is true for  $0 \le k \le b$ .
- Inductive step. If  $k \ge b$  and  $\mathbf{P}(i)$  is true for all  $i \le k$ , then  $\mathbf{P}(k+1)$  is also true.

Then, by the Principle of Mathematical Induction,  $\mathbf{P}(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

# 2 Proof by Induction

An inductive argument to prove that a property  $\mathbf{P}$  of  $\mathbf{N}$  is true for all natural numbers is structured as follows:

**Basis.** Prove  $\mathbf{P}(0)$ .

**Inductive hypothesis.** Assume that  $\mathbf{P}(k)$  is true for an arbitrary  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ .

**Inductive step.** Prove that the inductive hypothesis implies P(k+1).

By the Principle of Mathematical Induction (First Variant),  $\mathbf{P}(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

### 3 Example of An Inductive Argument

Prove by induction on n that  $n^4 - 4n^2$  is divisible by 3, for all  $n \ge 0$ .

**Base case:** If n = 0, then  $n^4 - 4n^2 = 0$ , which is divisible by 3.

**Inductive hypothesis:** For some  $n \ge 0$ ,  $n^4 - 4n^2$  is divisible by 3.

**Inductive step:** Assume the inductive hypothesis is true for n. We need to show that  $(n+1)^4 - 4(n+1)^2$  is divisible by 3. By the inductive hypothesis, we know that  $n^4 - 4n^2$  is divisible by 3. Hence  $(n+1)^4 - 4(n+1)^2$  is divisible by 3 if  $(n+1)^4 - 4(n+1)^2 - (n^4 - 4n^2)$  is divisible by 3. Now

$$\begin{aligned} &(n+1)^4 - 4(n+1)^2 - (n^4 - 4n^2) \\ &= n^4 + 4n^3 + 6n^2 + 4n + 1 - 4n^2 - 8n - 4 - n^4 + 4n^2 \\ &= 4n^3 + 6n^2 - 4n - 3, \end{aligned}$$

which is divisible by 3 if  $4n^3 - 4n$  is. Since  $4n^3 - 4n = 4n(n+1)(n-1)$ , we see that  $4n^3 - 4n$  is always divisible by 3. Going backwards, we conclude that  $(n+1)^4 - 4(n+1)^2$  is divisible by 3, and that the inductive hypothesis holds for n+1.

By the Principle of Mathematical Induction,  $n^4 - 4n^2$  is divisible by 3, for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

## 4 Another Example

Define a set Y with a recursive definition.

- A. Basis:  $7 \in Y$ .
- **B.** Recursive step: If  $y \in Y$ , then  $y + 21 \in Y$  and  $y + 49 \in Y$ .
- C. Closure: The only elements of Y are those obtained from the basis and those obtained from the basis by a finite number of applications of the recursive step.

Prove by induction that every element of Y is divisible by 7.

**Base case:** The base case of the recursive definition is  $7 \in Y$  and 7 is divisible by 7. Hence the statement is true for the base case. August 21, 2005

**Inductive hypothesis:** For some  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , every element of Y obtained by k applications of the recursive step is divisible by 7.

**Inductive step:** Assume that  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and the inductive hypothesis holds for k. Let  $y \in Y$  be obtained by k + 1 applications of the recursive step. Then, there exists  $y' \in Y$  such that y' is obtained by k applications of the recursive step and y is obtained from y' by one application of the recursive step. By the inductive hypothesis, y' is divisible by 7. Either y = y' + 21 or y = y' + 49; in either case, y is divisible by 7, since y', 21, and 49 are divisible by 7. Hence, every element of Y obtained by k + 1 applications of the recursive step is divisible by 7.

By the Principle of Mathematical Induction, every element of Y obtained by a finite number of applications of the recursive step is divisible by 7; hence, all elements of Y are divisible by 7.

## 5 Exercise in Proof by Induction

Here are two definitions of the set of undirected trees.

First Definition of an undirected tree. An undirected tree is an undirected graph that is connected and that contains no cycle.

Second Definition of an undirected tree. The set Z of undirected trees is defined recursively by

- **A**. **Basis:** The basis set  $Z_0$  consists of every undirected graph having a single vertex and no edges.
- **B.** Recursive step: If T is a tree, then the addition of a new vertex v and an edge from v to any vertex of T results in a tree.
- C. Closure: The only elements of Z are those in  $Z_0$  and those obtained from  $Z_0$  by a finite number of applications of the recursive step.

**Exercise:** Show that the two definitions are equivalent (define the same set of graphs).