Control Structures

In Text: Chapter 8

Outline

- Control structures
- Selection
  - One-way
  - Two-way
  - Multi-way
- Iteration
  - Counter-controlled
  - Logically-controlled
- Gotos
- Guarded statements
Levels of Control Flow

- Within expressions
- Among program statements
- Among program units

Control Structures

- A control structure is a control statement and the statements whose execution it controls

Overall Design Question:
- What control statements should a language have, beyond selection and pretest logical loops?

- Single entry/single exit are highly desirable
  - a lesson learned from structured programming
Selection Statements

- Design Issues:
  - What is the form and type of the control expression?
  - What is the selectable segment form?
    - single statement, statement sequence, compound statement
  - How should the meaning of nested selectors be specified?

Single-Way Selection

- One-way “if” statement
- FORTRAN IF:
  ```fortran
  IF (boolean_expr) statement
  ```

- Problem: can select only a single statement; to select more, a goto must be used
  ```fortran
  IF (.NOT. condition) GOTO 20
  ...  
  ...  
  20 CONTINUE
  ```
Two-Way Selection

- “if-then-else” statement
- ALGOL 60 if:
  
  ```latex
  if (boolean_expr) then
  statement
  else
  statement
  ```

  The statements could be single or **compound**
  - `begin...end`
  - A **block** is a compound statement that can define a new scope (with local variables)

Nested Selectors

- Pascal:
  
  ```latex
  if ... then
  if ... then
  ...
  else ...
  ```

  Which “then” gets the “else”?
  - Pascal's rule: else goes with the nearest then
Disallowing Direct Nesting

- ALGOL 60’s solution—disallows direct nesting

```
if ... then
  if ... then
    begin
      if ... then
        ...
      else
        ...
    end
  else
    ...
end
```

Disallowing Direct Nesting

- FORTRAN 77, Ada, Modula-2 solution—closing special words
- In Ada:
  ```
  if ... then
    if ... then
      ...
    else
      ...
    end if
  else
    ...
  end if
  ```

Advantage: flexibility and readability
- Modula-2 uses END for all control structures
  - This results in poor readability

Closing Reserved Words
Multiple Selection Constructs

- Design Issues:
  - What is the form and type of the control expression?
  - What segments are selectable (single, compound, sequential)?
  - Is the entire construct encapsulated?
  - Is execution flow through the structure restricted to include just a single selectable segment?
  - What about unrepresented expression values?

Early Multiple Selectors:

- FORTRAN arithmetic IF (a three-way selector)
  IF (arithmetic expression) N1, N2, N3
  - Disadvantages:
    - Not encapsulated
    - Selectable segments could be anywhere

- FORTRAN computed GOTO
  GO TO (L1, L2, ..., Ln), exp
  - Goes to first label if exp=1, second if exp=2, etc.
  - If exp < 1 or exp > n, no effect
  - Must still jump out of segment
Modern Multiple Selectors

- Pascal case
  - from Hoare's contribution to ALGOL W

  ```
  case expression of
    constant_list_1 : statement_1;
    ...
    constant_list_n : statement_n
  end
  ```

Case: Pascal Design Choices

- Expression is any ordinal type
  - int, boolean, char, enum
- Segments can be single or compound
- Construct is encapsulated
- Only one segment can be executed per execution of the construct
- In Wirth's Pascal, result of an unrepresented control expression value is undefined
- Many dialects now have otherwise or else clause
C/C++ Switch

switch (expression) {
    constant_expression_1 : statement_1;
    ...
    constant_expression_n : statement_n;
    [default: statement_n+1]
}

■ Design Choices (for switch):
  ■ Control expression can be only an integer type
  ■ Selectable segments can be statement sequences or blocks
  ■ Construct is encapsulated
  ■ Any number of segments can be executed in one execution of the construct (reliability vs. flexibility)
  ■ Default clause for unrepresented values

Case: Ada Design Choices

case expression is
    when constant_list_1 => statement_1;
    ...
    when constant_list_n => statement_n;
end

■ Similar to Pascal, except ...
■ Constant lists can include:
  Subranges: 10..15
  Multiple choices: 1..5 | 7 | 15..20
■ Lists of constants must be exhaustive (more reliable)
■ Often accomplished with others clause
Multi-Way If Statements

- Multiple selectors can appear as direct extensions to two-way selectors, using else-if clauses
  - ALGOL 68, FORTRAN 77, Modula-2, Ada

- Ada:
  ```
  if ... then
  ... 
  elsif ... then 
  ... 
  elsif ... then 
  ... 
  else ... 
  end if 
  ```

- Far more readable than deeply nested if’s
- Allows a boolean gate on every selectable group

Iterative Statements

- The repeated execution of a statement or compound statement is accomplished either by iteration or recursion

- Here we look at iteration, because recursion is unit-level control

- General design issues for iteration control statements:
  - How is iteration controlled?
  - Where is the control mechanism in the loop?

- Two common strategies: counter-controlled, and logically-controlled
Counter-Controlled Loops

- Design Issues:
  - What is the type (ordinal vs. real) and scope of the loop variable?
  - What is the value of the loop variable at loop termination?
  - Should it be legal for the loop variable or loop parameters to be changed in the loop body?
  - If so, does the change affect loop control?
  - Should the loop parameters be evaluated only once, or once for every iteration?

FORTRAN DO Loops

- FORTRAN 77 and 90
- Syntax:
  DO label var = start, finish [, stepsize]
- Stepsize can be any value but zero
- Parameters can be expressions
- Design choices:
  - Loop var can be INTEGER, REAL, or DOUBLE
  - Loop var always has its last value
  - Loop parameters are evaluated only once
  - The loop var cannot be changed in the loop, but the parameters can; because they are evaluated only once, it does not affect loop control
FORTRAN 90’s Other DO

- Syntax:
  \[
  [\text{name:}] \text{DO} \text{ variable = initial, terminal [, stepsize]}
  \]
  ...
  END \text{DO} [\text{name}]

- Loop var must be an INTEGER

ALGOL 60 For Loop

- Syntax:
  
  \[
  \text{for} \text{ var := <list_of_stuff> do statement}
  \]

- where <list_of_stuff> can have:
  - list of expressions
  - expression step expression until expression
  - expression while boolean_expression

  for index := 1 step 2 until 50, 60, 70, 80, index + 1 until 100 do

- (index = 1, 3, 5, 7, ..., 49, 60, 70, 80, 81, 82, ..., 100)
ALGOL 60 For Design Choices

- Control expression can be `int` or `real`; its scope is whatever it is declared to be.
- Control var has its **last assigned value** after loop termination.
- The loop var **cannot be changed** in the loop, but the parameters can, and when they are, it affects loop control.
- Parameters are **evaluated with every iteration**, making it very complex and difficult to read.

Pascal For Loop

- **Syntax:**
  ```pascal
  for var := initial (to | downto) final do statement
  ```

- **Design Choices:**
  - Loop var must be an ordinal type of usual scope.
  - After normal termination, loop var is undefined.
  - The loop var cannot be changed in the loop.
  - The loop parameters can be changed, but they are evaluated just once, so it does not affect loop control.
Ada For Loop

- **Syntax:**
  
  ```plaintext
  for var in [reverse] discrete_range loop
  ...
  end loop
  ```

- **Design choices:**
  - Type of the loop var is that of the discrete range (e.g., [1..100]); its scope is the loop body (it is implicitly declared)
  - The loop var does not exist outside the loop
  - The loop var cannot be changed in the loop, but the discrete range can; it does not affect loop control
  - The discrete range is evaluated just once

C For Loop

- **Syntax:**
  
  ```plaintext
  for ([expr_1] ; [expr_2] ; [expr_3])
  statement
  ```

- The expressions can be whole statements, or even statement sequences, with the statements separated by commas

- The value of a multiple-statement expression is the value of the last statement in the expression

- If the second expression is absent, it is an infinite loop
C For Loop Design Choices

- There is no explicit loop variable
- Everything can be changed in the loop
- Pretest
- The first expression is evaluated once, but the other two are evaluated with each iteration
- This loop statement is the most flexible

C++ & Java For Loops

- Differs from C in two ways:
  - The control expression can also be Boolean
  - The initial expression can include variable definitions; scope is from the definition to the end of the body of the loop

- Java is the same, except the control expression must be Boolean
Logically-Controlled Loops

- Design Issues:
  - Pretest or post-test?
  - Should this be a special case of the counting loop statement, or a separate statement?

Logic Loops: Examples

- Pascal: separate pretest and posttest logical loop statements
  while-do  and  repeat-until
- C and C++: also have both
  while - do  and  do - while
- Java: like C, except the control expression must be Boolean (and the body can only be entered at the beginning—Java has no goto)
- Ada: a pretest version, but no post-test
- FORTRAN 77 and 90: have neither
User-Located Loop Controls

- Statements like `break` or `continue`

- Design issues:
  - Should the conditional be part of the exit?
  - Should the mechanism be allowed in logically- or counter-controlled loops?
  - Should control be transferable out of more than one loop?

User-Located Controls: Ada

- Can be conditional or unconditional; for any loop; any number of levels

  ```ada
  for ... loop
  ... exit when ...
  ... end loop;
  ```

  ```ada
  LOOP1:
  while ... loop
  ... LOOP2:
  for ... loop
  ... exit LOOP1 when ..
  ... end loop LOOP2;
  ```

  ```ada
  end loop LOOP1;
  ```
### User-Loc. Controls: More Examples

- **C, C++, Java:**
  - **Break:** unconditional; for any loop or switch; one level only (except Java)
  - **Continue:** skips the remainder of this iteration, but does not exit the loop

- **FORTRAN 90:**
  - **EXIT:** Unconditional; for any loop, any number of levels
  - **CYCLE:** same as C's continue

### Iteration Based on Data Structures

- Lets user specify range of values over which a loop iterates (CLU).
  - ```c
     for (ptr = root; ptr != nul; traverse(ptr)) {
         ...
     }
  ```

  ```bash
  for i in `ls *` do
      od
  ```
Unconditional Branching (GOTO)

- Problem: readability
- Some languages do not have them: e.g., Modula-2 and Java
- They require some kind of statement label
- Label forms:
  - Unsigned int constants:
    - Pascal (with colon),
    - FORTRAN (no colon)
  - Identifiers with colons: ALGOL 60, C, C++
  - Identifiers in << ... >>: Ada

Variables as labels: PL/I

- Can store a label value in a variable
- Can be assigned values and passed as parameters
- Highly flexible, but make programs impossible to read and difficult to implement
Guarded Commands (Dijkstra, 1975)

■ Purpose: to support a new programming methodology
  ■ verification during program development

■ Also useful for concurrency

■ Two guarded forms:
  ■ Selection (guarded if)
  ■ Iteration (guarded while)

Guarded Selection

if <boolean> -> <statement>
[] <boolean> -> <statement>
  ... 
[] <boolean> -> <statement>
fi

■ Semantics: when this construct is reached,
  ■ Evaluate all boolean expressions
  ■ If more than one are true, choose one nondeterministically
  ■ If none are true, it is a runtime error

■ Idea: if the order of evaluation is not important, the program should not specify one
■ See book examples (p. 343)
Guarded Iteration

```
do <boolean> -> <statement>
[ ] <boolean> -> <statement>
  ...
[ ] <boolean> -> <statement>
od
```

Semantics: For each iteration:
- Evaluate all boolean expressions
- If more than one are true, choose one nondeterministically; then start loop again
- If none are true, exit loop

See book example (p. 344)

Choice of Control Statements

Beyond selection and logical pretest loops, choice is a trade-off between:
- Language size
- Readability
- Writability