

Outline

- Semantics:
 - Attribute grammars (static semantics)
 - Operational
 - Axiomatic
 - Denotational

Static Semantics

- CFGs cannot describe all of the syntax of programming languages—context-specific parts are left out
- Static semantics refers to type checking and resolving declarations; has nothing to do with "meaning" in the sense of run-time behavior
- Often described using an attribute grammar (AG) (Knuth, 1968)
- Basic idea: add to CFG by carrying some semantic information along inside parse tree nodes
- Primary value of AGs:
 - Static semantics specification
 - Compiler design (static semantics checking)

Chapter 3: Syntax and Semantics



Operational Semantics Gives a program's meaning in terms of its implementation on a real or virtual machine Change in the state of the machine (memory, registers, etc.) defines the meaning of the statement To use operational semantics for a high-level language, a virtual machine in needed A pure hardware interpreter is too expensive A pure software interpreter also has problems: machine-dependent Difficult to understand A better alternative: A complete computer simulation Chapter 3: Syntax and Semantics 5

Operational Semantics (cont.)

The process:

- Identify a virtual machine (an idealized computer)
- Build a translator (translates source code to the machine code of an idealized computer)
- Build a simulator for the idealized computer
- Operational semantics is sometimes called translational semantics, if an existing PL is used in place of the virtual machine

Operational Semantics Example

Pascal	Operational Semantics
for i := x to y do begin	i := x loop: if i>y goto out
end	i := i + 1 goto loop out:

Operational semantics could be much lower level: mov i,r1 mov y,r2 jmpifless(r2,r1,out)

out: ...

Evaluation of Operational Semantics

Advantages:

- May be simple, intuitive for small examples
- Good if used informally
- Useful for implementation
- Disadvantages
 - Very complex for large programs
 - Lacks mathematical rigor
- Uses:
 - Vienna Definition Language (VDL) used to define PL/I (Wegner 1972)
 - Compiler work

Axiomatic Semantics

- Based on formal logic (first order predicate calculus)
- Original purpose: formal program verification
- Approach: Define axioms or inference rules for each statement type in the language
- Such an inference rule allows one to transform expressions to other expressions
- The expressions are called assertions, and state the relationships and constraints among variables that are true at a specific point in execution
- An assertion before a statement is called a precondition
- An assertion following a statement is a postcondition



Program Proofs Program proof process: The postcondition for the whole program is the desired results Work back through the program to the first statement If the precondition on the first statement is the same as the program spec, the program is correct

An Axiom for Assignment

• An axiom for assignment statements:

 $\{Q_{X^{-}>E}\}\ X := E\ \{Q\}$

- Substitute E for every x in Q $\{P?\} x := y+1 \{x > 0\}$ $P = x > 0_{x \to y+1}$ P = y+1 > 0 $P = y \ge 0$
- Basically, "undoing" the assignment and solving for y



Chapter 3: Syntax and Semantics



Loop Invariant Characteristicss

- I must meet the following conditions:
- 1. $P \Rightarrow I$ (the loop invariant must be true initially)
- 2. {I} B {I} (evaluation of the Boolean must not change the validity of I)
- 3. {I and B} S {I} (I is not changed by executing the body of the loop)
- 4. (I and (not B)) \Rightarrow Q (if I is true and B is false, Q is implied)
- 5. The loop terminates (can be difficult to prove)

More on Loop Invariants The loop invariant I is: A weakened version of the loop postcondition, and Also the loop's precondition I must be: Weak enough to be satisfied prior to the beginning of the loop, but when combined with the loop exit condition, it must be strong enough to force the truth of the postcondition



 $\blacksquare \mathsf{P} = \mathsf{I} = \{ \mathsf{y} \le \mathsf{x} \}$

Is I a Loop Invariant?

- Does {y ≤ x} satisfy the 5 conditions?
- (1) $\{y \le x\} \Rightarrow \{y \le x\}$?
- (2) if $\{y \le x\}$ and y <> x is then evaluated, is $\{y \le x\}$ still true?
- (3) if $\{y \le x\}$ and y <> x are true and then y := y+1 is executed, is $\{y \le x\}$ true?
- (4) does $\{y \le x\}$ and $\{y = x\} \Rightarrow \{y = x\}$?
- Can you argue convincingly that the program segment terminates?

A Harder Loop Invariant Example

{P} while
$$y < x + 1$$
 do $y := y + 1$ {y>5}
{ $y > 5$ }_{y -> y + 1} $\Rightarrow y > 4$
{ $y > 4$ }_{y -> y + 1} $\Rightarrow y > 3$
etc.

- Tells us nothing about x because x is not in
 Q = {y > 5}
- What else can we do?

Using Loop Criterion 4

- Try guessing invariant using criterion
 4:
- {I and (not B)} \Rightarrow Q
- I? and $y \ge x + 1 \Rightarrow y > 5$
- $\blacksquare I? and y > x \Rightarrow y > 5$
- any $x \ge 5$ satisfies implication
- so . . . let $I = \{x \ge 5\}$
- Do the 4 Axioms hold?

Evaluation of Axiomatic Semantics

Advantages

- Can be very abstract
- May be useful in proofs of correctness
- Solid theoretical foundations
- Disadvantages
 - Predicate transformers are hard to define
 - Hard to give complete meaning
 - Does not suggest implementation
- Uses of Axiomatic Semantics
 - Semantics of Pascal
 - Reasoning about correctness



Denotational vs. Operational

- Denotational semantics is similar to highlevel operational semantics, except:
 - Machine is gone
 - Language is mathematics (lamda calculus)
- The difference between denotational and operational semantics:
 - In operational semantics, the state changes are defined by coded algorithms for a virtual machine
 - In denotational semantics, they are defined by rigorous mathematical functions

Denotational Specification Process

- 1. Define a mathematical object for each language entity
- 2. Define a function that maps instances of the language entities onto instances of the corresponding mathematical objects

Program State

- The meaning of language constructs are defined only by the values of the program's variables
- The state of a program is the values of all its current variables, plus input and output state

$$S = \{ \langle i_1, V_1 \rangle, \langle i_2, V_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle i_n, V_n \rangle \}$$

Let VARMAP be a function that, when given a variable name and a state, returns the current value of the variable:

$$VARMAP(i_{j'}, s) = v_{j}$$

Example: Decimal Numbers

<digit> -> 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9
<dec_num> -> <digit> | <dec_num> <digit>

 $M_{dec}('0') = 0, \ M_{dec}('1') = 1, ..., \ M_{dec}('9') = 9$ $M_{dec}(<dec_num>) \Delta =$ $case <dec_num> of$ $<digit> <math>\Rightarrow M_{dec}(<digit>)$ <dec_num><digit> \Rightarrow $10 \times M_{dec}(<dec_num>) + M_{dec}(<digit>)$

Expressions

```
• M_e(\langle expr \rangle, s) \Delta =
```

```
case <expr> of
```

```
<dec_num> \Rightarrow M_{dec}(<dec_num>, s)
```

```
\langle var \rangle \Rightarrow VARMAP(\langle var \rangle, s)
```

```
<binary_expr> ⇒
```

```
if (<binary_expr>.<operator> = '+') then
    Me(<binary_expr>.<left_expr>, s) +
    Me(<binary_expr>.<right_expr>, s)
```

else

```
Me(<binary_expr>.<left_expr>, s) x
Me(<binary_expr>.<right_expr>, s)
```



Assignment Statements

$$M_{a}(x := E, s) \Delta = s' = \{ \langle i_{1}', v_{1}' \rangle, \langle i_{2}', v_{2}' \rangle, \dots, \langle i_{n}', v_{n}' \rangle \}, \\ where for j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ v_{j}' = VARMAP(i_{j}, s) \text{ if } i_{j} \neq x \\ v_{j}' = M_{e}(E, s) \text{ if } i_{j} = x$$

Sequence of Statements

Initial state $s_0 = \langle mem_0, i_0, o_0 \rangle$

$$M_{stmt}(P, s) = M_{stmt}(P1, M_{stmt}(x := 5, s))$$

$$s_{1} = \langle mem_{1}, i_{1}, o_{1} \rangle \text{ where } s_{1}$$

$$VARMAP(x, s_{1}) = 5$$

$$VARMAP(z, s_{1}) = VARMAP(z, s_{0}) \text{ for all } z \neq x$$

$$i_{1} = i_{0}, o_{1} = o_{0}$$

Chapter 3: Syntax and Semantics

Sequence of Statements (cont.)

$$M_{stmt}(P1, S_1) = M_{stmt}(P2, M_{stmt}(y := x + 1, S_1))$$

$$s_2 = \langle mem_2, i_2, o_2 \rangle$$
 where
 $VARMAP(y, s_2) = M_e(x + 1, s_1) = 6$
 $VARMAP(z, s_2) = VARMAP(z, s_1)$ for all $z \neq y$
 $i_2 = i_1$
 $o_2 = o_1$

Sequence of Statements (cont.)

$$M_{stmt}(P2, s_2) = M_{stmt}(write (x * y), s_2) = s_3$$

 $s_3 = \langle mem_3, i_3, o_3 \rangle$ where
 $VARMAP(z, s_3) = VARMAP(z, s_2)$ for all z
 $i_3 = i_2$
 $o_3 = o_2 \bullet M_e(x * y, s_2) = o_2 \bullet 30$

Sequence of Statements (concl.)

So,

 $M_{stmt}(P, s_0) = s_3 = \langle mem_3, i_3, o_3 \rangle$ where $VARMAP(y, s_3) = 6$ $VARMAP(x, s_3) = 5$ $VARMAP(z, s_3) = VARMAP(z, s_0)$ for all $z \neq x, y$ $i_3 = i_0$ $o_3 = o_0 \bullet 30$

Logical Pretest Loops

- The meaning of the loop is the value of the program variables after the loop body has been executed the prescribed number of times, assuming there have been no errors
- In essence, the loop has been converted from iteration to recursion, where the recursive control is mathematically defined by other recursive state mapping functions
- Recursion, when compared to iteration, is easier to describe with mathematical rigor



Evaluation of Denotational Semantics

Advantages:

- Compact & precise, with solid mathematical foundation
- Provides a rigorous way to think about programs
- Can be used to prove the correctness of programs
- Can be an aid to language design
- Has been used in compiler generation systems
- Disadvantages
 - Requires mathematical sophistication
 - Hard for programmer to use
- Uses
 - Semantics for Algol-60, Pascal, etc.
 - Compiler generation and optimization

