Chapter 9: Virtual Memory

Chapter 9: Virtual Memory

- Background
- Demand Paging
- Copy-on-Write
- Page Replacement
- Allocation of Frames
- Thrashing
- Memory-Mapped Files
- Allocating Kernel Memory
- Other Considerations
- Operating-System Examples

Objectives

- To describe the benefits of a virtual memory system
- To explain the concepts of demand paging, page-replacement algorithms, and allocation of page frames
- To discuss the principle of the working-set model

Background

- **Virtual memory** – separation of user logical memory from physical memory.
  - Only part of the program needs to be in memory for execution
  - Logical address space can therefore be much larger than physical address space
  - Allows address spaces to be shared by several processes
  - Allows for more efficient process creation
- Virtual memory can be implemented via:
  - Demand paging
  - Demand segmentation

Virtual Memory That is Larger Than Physical Memory



Virtual-address Space



Shared Library Using Virtual Memory



Demand Paging

- Bring a page into memory only when it is needed
  - Less I/O needed
  - Less memory needed
  - Faster response
  - More users
- Page is needed ⇒ reference to it
  - invalid reference ⇒ abort
  - not-in-memory ⇒ bring to memory
- **Lazy swapper** – never swaps a page into memory unless page will be needed
  - Swapper that deals with pages is a **pager**

Transfer of a Paged Memory to Contiguous Disk Space



Valid-Invalid Bit

- With each page table entry a valid–invalid bit is associated
(**v** ⇒ in-memory, **i** ⇒ not-in-memory)
- Initially valid–invalid bit is set to **i** on all entries
- Example of a page table snapshot:



- During address translation, if valid–invalid bit in page table entry
is **I** ⇒ page fault

Page Table When Some Pages Are Not in Main Memory



Page Fault

- If there is a reference to a page, first reference to that page will trap to operating system:

  page fault

1. Operating system looks at another table to decide:
   - Invalid reference ⇒ abort
   - Just not in memory
2. Get empty frame
3. Swap page into frame
4. Reset tables
5. Set validation bit = **v**
6. Restart the instruction that caused the page fault

Page Fault (Cont.)

- Restart instruction
  - block move



  - auto increment/decrement location

Steps in Handling a Page Fault



Performance of Demand Paging

- Page Fault Rate $0 \le p \le 1.0$
  - if $p = 0$ no page faults
  - if $p = 1$, every reference is a fault
- Effective Access Time (EAT)

  EAT = (1 – p) x memory access
  + p (page fault overhead
  + swap page out
  + swap page in
  + restart overhead
)

Demand Paging Example

- Memory access time = 200 nanoseconds
- Average page-fault service time = 8 milliseconds
- EAT = (1 – p) x 200 + p (8 milliseconds)
  = (1 – p x 200 + p x 8,000,000
  = 200 + p x 7,999,800
- If one access out of 1,000 causes a page fault, then
  EAT = 8.2 microseconds.
  This is a slowdown by a factor of 40!!

Process Creation

- Virtual memory allows other benefits during process creation:

  - Copy-on-Write

  - Memory-Mapped Files (later)

Copy-on-Write

- Copy-on-Write (COW) allows both parent and child processes to initially *share* the same pages in memory

  If either process modifies a shared page, only then is the page copied

- COW allows more efficient process creation as only modified pages are copied

- Free pages are allocated from a **pool** of zeroed-out pages

Before Process 1 Modifies Page C



After Process 1 Modifies Page C



What happens if there is no free frame?

- Page replacement – find some page in memory, but not really in use, swap it out
  - algorithm
  - performance – want an algorithm which will result in minimum number of page faults
- Same page may be brought into memory several times

Page Replacement

- Prevent over-allocation of memory by modifying page-fault service routine to include page replacement
- Use **modify (dirty) bit** to reduce overhead of page transfers – only modified pages are written to disk
- Page replacement completes separation between logical memory and physical memory – large virtual memory can be provided on a smaller physical memory

Need For Page Replacement



Basic Page Replacement

1. Find the location of the desired page on disk

2. Find a free frame:
   - If there is a free frame, use it
   - If there is no free frame, use a page replacement algorithm to select a **victim** frame

3. Bring the desired page into the (newly) free frame; update the page and frame tables

4. Restart the process

Page Replacement



Page Replacement Algorithms

- Want lowest page-fault rate
- Evaluate algorithm by running it on a particular string of memory references (reference string) and computing the number of page faults on that string
- In all our examples, the reference string is

1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

Graph of Page Faults Versus The Number of Frames



First-In-First-Out (FIFO) Algorithm

- Reference string: 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
- 3 frames (3 pages can be in memory at a time per process)

1	1	4	5	
2	2	1	3	9 page faults
3	3	2	4	

- 4 frames

1	1	5	4	
2	2	1	5	10 page faults
3	3	2		
4	4	3		

- Belady's Anomaly: more frames ⇒ more page faults

FIFO Page Replacement



FIFO Illustrating Belady's Anomaly



Optimal Algorithm

- Replace page that will not be used for longest period of time
- 4 frames example

1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5



- How do you know this?
- Used for measuring how well your algorithm performs

Optimal Page Replacement



Least Recently Used (LRU) Algorithm

- Reference string: 1, 2, 3, 4, 1, 2, **5**, 1, 2, **3**, **4**, **5**



- Counter implementation
  - Every page entry has a counter; every time page is referenced through this entry, copy the clock into the counter
  - When a page needs to be changed, look at the counters to determine which are to change

LRU Page Replacement



LRU Algorithm (Cont.)

- Stack implementation – keep a stack of page numbers in a double link form:
  - Page referenced:
    - move it to the top
    - requires 6 pointers to be changed
  - No search for replacement

Use Of A Stack to Record The Most Recent Page References



LRU Approximation Algorithms

- Reference bit
  - With each page associate a bit, initially = 0
  - When page is referenced bit set to 1
  - Replace the one which is 0 (if one exists)
    - We do not know the order, however
- Second chance
  - Need reference bit
  - Clock replacement
  - If page to be replaced (in clock order) has reference bit = 1 then:
    - set reference bit 0
    - leave page in memory
    - replace next page (in clock order), subject to same rules

Second-Chance (clock) Page-Replacement Algorithm



Counting Algorithms

- Keep a counter of the number of references that have been made to each page
- **LFU Algorithm**: replaces page with smallest count
- **MFU Algorithm**: based on the argument that the page with the smallest count was probably just brought in and has yet to be used

Allocation of Frames

- Each process needs *minimum* number of pages
- Example: IBM 370 – 6 pages to handle SS MOVE instruction:
  - instruction is 6 bytes, might span 2 pages
  - 2 pages to handle *from*
  - 2 pages to handle *to*
- Two major allocation schemes
  - fixed allocation
  - priority allocation

Fixed Allocation

- Equal allocation – For example, if there are 100 frames and 5 processes, give each process 20 frames.
- Proportional allocation – Allocate according to the size of process
  - $s_i = \text{size of process } p_i$
  - $S = \sum s_i$
  - $m = \text{total number of frames}$
  - $a_i = \text{allocation for } p_i = \frac{s_i}{S} \times m$

$$m = 64$$
$$s_i = 10$$
$$s_2 = 127$$
$$a_1 = \frac{10}{137} \times 64 \approx 5$$
$$a_2 = \frac{127}{137} \times 64 \approx 59$$

Priority Allocation

- Use a proportional allocation scheme using priorities rather than size
- If process P_i generates a page fault,
  - select for replacement one of its frames
  - select for replacement a frame from a process with lower priority number

Global vs. Local Allocation

- **Global replacement** – process selects a replacement frame from the set of all frames; one process can take a frame from another
- **Local replacement** – each process selects from only its own set of allocated frames

Thrashing

- If a process does not have "enough" pages, the page-fault rate is very high. This leads to:
  - low CPU utilization
  - operating system thinks that it needs to increase the degree of multiprogramming
  - another process added to the system
- **Thrashing** ≡ a process is busy swapping pages in and out

Thrashing (Cont.)



Demand Paging and Thrashing

- Why does demand paging work?
  Locality model
  - Process migrates from one locality to another
  - Localities may overlap
- Why does thrashing occur?
  Σ size of locality > total memory size

Locality In A Memory-Reference Pattern



Working-Set Model

- $\Delta \equiv$ working-set window $\equiv$ a fixed number of page references
  Example: 10,000 instruction
- WSS_i (working set of Process P_i) =
  total number of pages referenced in the most recent Δ (varies in time)
  - if Δ too small will not encompass entire locality
  - if Δ too large will encompass several localities
  - if $\Delta = \infty \Rightarrow$ will encompass entire program
- $D = \Sigma\, WSS_i \equiv$ total demand frames
- if $D > m \Rightarrow$ Thrashing
- Policy if D > m, then suspend one of the processes

Working-set model



Keeping Track of the Working Set

- Approximate with interval timer + a reference bit
- Example: $\Delta = 10{,}000$
  - Timer interrupts after every 5000 time units
  - Keep in memory 2 bits for each page
  - Whenever a timer interrupts copy and sets the values of all reference bits to 0
  - If one of the bits in memory = 1 $\Rightarrow$ page in working set
- Why is this not completely accurate?
- Improvement = 10 bits and interrupt every 1000 time units

Page-Fault Frequency Scheme

- Establish "acceptable" page-fault rate
  - If actual rate too low, process loses frame
  - If actual rate too high, process gains frame



Memory-Mapped Files

- Memory-mapped file I/O allows file I/O to be treated as routine memory access by **mapping** a disk block to a page in memory
- A file is initially read using demand paging. A page-sized portion of the file is read from the file system into a physical page. Subsequent reads/writes to/from the file are treated as ordinary memory accesses.
- Simplifies file access by treating file I/O through memory rather than `read()` `write()` system calls
- Also allows several processes to map the same file allowing the pages in memory to be shared

Memory Mapped Files



Memory-Mapped Shared Memory in Windows



Allocating Kernel Memory

- Treated differently from user memory
- Often allocated from a free-memory pool
  - Kernel requests memory for structures of varying sizes
  - Some kernel memory needs to be contiguous

Buddy System

- Allocates memory from fixed-size segment consisting of physically-contiguous pages
- Memory allocated using **power-of-2 allocator**
  - Satisfies requests in units sized as power of 2
  - Request rounded up to next highest power of 2
  - When smaller allocation needed than is available, current chunk split into two buddies of next-lower power of 2
    - Continue until appropriate sized chunk available

Buddy System Allocator



Slab Allocator

- Alternate strategy
- **Slab** is one or more physically contiguous pages
- **Cache** consists of one or more slabs
- Single cache for each unique kernel data structure
  - Each cache filled with **objects** – instantiations of the data structure
- When cache created, filled with objects marked as **free**
- When structures stored, objects marked as **used**
- If slab is full of used objects, next object allocated from empty slab
  - If no empty slabs, new slab allocated
- Benefits include no fragmentation, fast memory request satisfaction

Slab Allocation



Other Issues -- Prepaging

- Prepaging
  - To reduce the large number of page faults that occurs at process startup
  - Prepage all or some of the pages a process will need, before they are referenced
  - But if prepaged pages are unused, I/O and memory was wasted
  - Assume s pages are prepaged and α of the pages is used
    - Is cost of $s * \alpha$ save pages faults > or < than the cost of prepaging $s * (1- \alpha)$ unnecessary pages?
    - α near zero $\Rightarrow$ prepaging loses

Other Issues – Page Size

- Page size selection must take into consideration:
  - fragmentation
  - table size
  - I/O overhead
  - locality

Other Issues – TLB Reach

- TLB Reach - The amount of memory accessible from the TLB
- TLB Reach = (TLB Size) X (Page Size)
- Ideally, the working set of each process is stored in the TLB
  - Otherwise there is a high degree of page faults
- Increase the Page Size
  - This may lead to an increase in fragmentation as not all applications require a large page size
- Provide Multiple Page Sizes
  - This allows applications that require larger page sizes the opportunity to use them without an increase in fragmentation

Other Issues – Program Structure

- Program structure
  - `Int[128,128] data;`
  - Each row is stored in one page
  - Program 1

```
for (j = 0; j <128; j++)
    for (i = 0; i < 128; i++)
          data[i,j] = 0;
```

128 x 128 = 16,384 page faults

  - Program 2

```
for (i = 0; i < 128; i++)
    for (j = 0; j < 128; j++)
          data[i,j] = 0;
```

128 page faults

Other Issues – I/O interlock

- **I/O Interlock** – Pages must sometimes be locked into memory
- Consider I/O - Pages that are used for copying a file from a device must be locked from being selected for eviction by a page replacement algorithm

Reason Why Frames Used For I/O Must Be In Memory



Operating System Examples

- Windows XP
- Solaris

Windows XP

- Uses demand paging with **clustering**. Clustering brings in pages surrounding the faulting page.
- Processes are assigned **working set minimum** and **working set maximum**
- Working set minimum is the minimum number of pages the process is guaranteed to have in memory
- A process may be assigned as many pages up to its working set maximum
- When the amount of free memory in the system falls below a threshold, **automatic working set trimming** is performed to restore the amount of free memory
- Working set trimming removes pages from processes that have pages in excess of their working set minimum

Solaris

- Maintains a list of free pages to assign faulting processes
- *Lotsfree* – threshold parameter (amount of free memory) to begin paging
- *Desfree* – threshold parameter to increasing paging
- *Minfree* – threshold parameter to being swapping
- Paging is performed by *pageout* process
- Pageout scans pages using modified clock algorithm
- *Scanrate* is the rate at which pages are scanned. This ranges from *slowscan* to *fastscan*
- Pageout is called more frequently depending upon the amount of free memory available

Solaris 2 Page Scanner



End of Chapter 9