

Hashing Examples

CS 2604
Summer II 2003
JP Vergara

Hashing Example 1

- Classic Hashing with $M = 16$ slots
 - $h(K) = K \% 16$
 - Conflict Resolution Policy:
 $(\text{home} + 5i) \% M$ $p(K,i) = 5i$
- Probe Sequence for
 - $K = 35$: 3,8,13,2,7,12,1,6,11,0,5,10,15,4,9,14
 - $K = 87$:

Analyzing Probe Sequences

- Probe Sequence for
 - $K = 35$: 3,8,13,2,7,12,1,6,11,0,5,10,15,4,9,14
 - $K = 87$: 7,12,1,6,11,0,5,10,15,4,9,14, 3,8,13,2
- Ideally, when keys hash to different home positions, they should land in different slots
 - Above example OK except when slots 3,8,13, & 2 are all occupied (when else?)
- Note: clustering problem not really solved

Hashing Example 2

- $M = 7$ slots
 - $h(K) = K \% 7$
 - Conflict Resolution Policy:
 $(\text{home} + i^2) \% M$ $p(K,i) = i^2$
- Probe Sequence for
 - $K = 35$: 0,1,4,2,2,4,1,0,...
 - $K = 87$: 3,4,0,5,2,0,4,3,...
- Undesirable because no guarantee all slots will be in sequence

Hashing Example 3

- $M = 7$ slots
 - $h(K) = K \% 7$
 - Conflict Resolution Policy: $(\text{home} + \bar{3}) \% 7$ $p(K,i) = \bar{3}^i$
- Probe Sequence (assume i starts with 0) for
 - $K = 35$: 0,1,3,2,6,4,5
 - $K = 87$: 3,4,6,5,2,0,1
- Clustering does not happen
 - Look at probe sequences for other home positions

Hashing Example 4

- If $p(\cdot)$ uses K , not just i , secondary clustering can be avoided
- Example: $h(K) = K \% 11$; collision resolution: $\text{home} + (1+K\%10)i \% 11$
- Probe sequence for
 - $K = 100$: 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,...
 - $K = 122$: 1,4,7,10,2,5,8,...
 (same home position)

“Probe Sequence” for Bucket Hashing

- Suppose $h(K) = K \% 10^d$ and bucket size = s
- Absolute positions in hash table: $0, 1, 2, \dots, 10^d s - 1, \underbrace{10^d s, 10^d s + 1, \dots}_{\text{overflow space}}$
- If $s = 5$, and $d = 1$,
- “Probe sequence” for $K = 135$: 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 50, 51, 52, 53, ...

What you need to know

Given the hash function, collision resolution policy, and a key

- Compute for the home position of the key
- Determine its probe sequence
- Determine slot where element will land, given state of the hash table

Other considerations:

- Consequences on time complexity
- Distribution of elements in input and hash table