## Recursion Underpinnings

- Every instance of a function execution (call) creates an Activation Record, (frame) for the function.
- Activation records hold required execution information for functions:
- Return value for the function
- Pointer to activation record of calling function
- Return memory address, (calling instruction address)
- Parameter storage
- Local variable storage


Storage Corruption

- Infinite regression results in a collision between the "run-time" stack \& heap termed a "run-time" stack overflow error.
- Illegal pointer dereferences (garbage, dangling-references)


The empirical approach


Should we use Program 1 or Program 2? Is Program 1 "fast"? "Fast enough"?

## Running Time Implications

- Processor speed differences are too great to be used as a basis for impartial algorithm comparisons.
- Overall system load may cause inconsistent timing results, even if the same compiler and hardware are used.
- Hardware characteristics, such as the amount of physical memory and the speed of virtual memory, can dominate timing results.
- In any case, those factors are irrelevant to the complexity of the algorithm.


## Analytical Approach

## - Primitive operations

$-x=4$ assignment
$-\ldots x+5 \ldots \quad$ arithmetic

- if $(x<y) \ldots$ comparison
$-x[4] \quad$ index an array
- *x dereference
-x.foo( ) calling a method
- Others
- new/malloc memory usage


## Rules for Analysis

1. We assume an arbitrary time unit.
2. Running of each of the following type of statement takes time $T(1)$ :
3. assignment statement
4. I/O statement
5. Boolean expression evaluation
6. function return
7. arithmetic operations
8. Running time of a selection statement (if, switch) is $\mathrm{T}(1)$ for the condition evaluation + the maximum of the running times for the individual clauses in the selection.

## More Rules

4. Loop execution time is the time for the loop setup (initialization \& setup) + the sum, over the number of times the loop is executed, of the body time + time for the loop check and update operations.
5. Always assume that the loop executes the maximum number of iterations possible
6. Running time of a function call is $T(1)$ for function setup + the time required for the execution of the function body.
7. Running time of a sequence of statements is the largest time of any statement in the sequence.

Summation Formulae


S2: separate summed terms
$\sum_{k=1}^{N}(f(k) \pm g(k))=\sum_{k=1}^{N} f(k) \pm \sum_{k=1}^{N} g(k)$
S4: sum of $\boldsymbol{k}$
S5: sum of $\boldsymbol{k}$ squared
$\sum_{k=1}^{N} k^{2}=\frac{N(N+1)(2 N+1)}{6}$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} k=\frac{N(N+1)}{2}
$$

for $(\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\mathrm{N} ;++\mathrm{j})\{$
foo( );
\}
$\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1=N$

How many foos?
for $(\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\mathrm{N} ;++\mathrm{j})$ \{
for ( $k=1 ; k<=M ;++k)\{$
foo( );
\}
\}
$\sum^{\mathrm{N}} \sum^{\mathrm{M}} 1=\mathrm{NM}$
$j=1 k=1$

How many foos?

$$
\mathrm{T}(0)=\mathrm{T}(1)=\mathrm{T}(2)=1
$$

void foo(int N) \{ $\quad \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n}) \quad=1+\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n} / 2)$ if $\mathrm{n}>2$
$\mathrm{if}(\mathrm{N}<=2) \quad \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n}) \quad=1+(1+\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n} / 4))$
$=2+T(n / 4)$
return;

$$
=3+\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n} / 8)
$$

foo( $\mathrm{N} / 2$ );

$$
=2+(1+\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n} / 8))
$$

$$
=3+(1+\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n} / 16))
$$

\}

$$
=4+\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n} / 16)
$$

$\approx \log _{2} n$

How many foos?
for ( $\mathrm{j}=1$; $\mathrm{j}<=\mathrm{N} ;++\mathrm{j}$ ) \{ for ( $k=1 ; k<=j ;++k)\{$ foo( ); \}
\}
$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{j} 1=\sum_{j=1}^{N} j=\frac{N(N+1)}{2}$

How many foos?
for $(\mathrm{j}=0 ; \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{N} ;++\mathrm{j})$ \{
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { for }(k=0 ; k<j ;++k)\{ \\ \text { foo( }) ;\end{array}\right\} N(N+1) / 2$
\}
\}
int $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{M}$;
for $(\mathrm{j}=0 ; \mathrm{j}<\mathrm{N} ;++\mathrm{j})$ \{
for $(\mathrm{k}=0 ; \mathrm{k}<\mathrm{M} ;++\mathrm{k})\left\} \quad \mathrm{N}^{2}\right.$
foo( );
\}
\}

Estimate: $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{n})=3 \mathrm{n}^{2}+5 \mathrm{n}+100$


Function Estimation

If $\mathrm{n} \geq 10$ then $\mathrm{n}^{2} \geq 100$
If $n \geq 5$ then $n^{2} \geq 5 n$
Therefore, if $n \geq 10$ then:
$f(n)=3 n^{2}+5 n+100<3 n^{2}+n^{2}+n^{2}=5 n^{2}$

- So $5 n^{\wedge} 2$ forms an "upper bound" on $f(n)$ if $n$ is 10 or larger (asymptotic bound). In other words, $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{n})$ doesn't grow any faster than $5 \mathrm{n}^{\wedge} 2$ "in the long run".


## Big-Oh Defined

- To say $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ is to say that $f(n)$ is "less than or equal to" $\mathrm{Cg}(n)$
More formally, Let $f$ and $g$ be functions from the set of integers (or the set of real numbers) to the set of real numbers. Then $f(x)$ is said to be $O(g(x))$, which is read as $f(x)$ is big-oh of $g(x)$, if and only if there are constants C and n 0 such that
$|f(x)|<=C|g(x)|$ whenever $x>n 0$.
Don't be confused ...
-" $f(n)$ is of Order $g(n)$ "


## The trick

$$
\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{~N}+1) / 2+\mathrm{N}^{2}
$$



## Example

- Assume:
-1 day $=100,000 \sec \left(10^{\wedge} 5\right)$
- (actually 86, 400)
- Input size $\mathrm{n}=10^{\wedge} 6$
- A computer that executes 1,000,000 (10^6) Instructions/sec
- C/C++ statement instructions


## Comparison

| Order: $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ | Order: $\mathrm{n} \log _{2} \mathrm{n}$ <br> $\left(10^{6}\right)^{2} \quad$ Instructions <br> $10^{12} \quad$ Instructions <br> $10^{12} / 10^{6}$ secs to run <br> $10^{6}$ secs to run |
| :--- | :--- |
| $20\left(10^{6}\right)=2\left(10^{6}\right)$ |  |
| $\left.10^{7}\right)$ |  |
| $2\left(10^{7}\right) / 10^{6}$ secs to run |  |
| 20 sec to run |  |

## Question?

- Does the fact that hardware is always becoming faster hardware mean that algorithm complexity doesn't really matter?
- Suppose we could obtain a machine that was capable of executing 10 times as many instructions per second (so roughly 10 times faster than the machine hypothesized on the previous slides).
- How long would the order $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ algorithm take on this machine with an input size of $10^{6}$ ?


## Doing the Numbers

| Order: $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| \# instructions: | $\left(10^{6}\right)^{2}=10^{12}$ |
| \# seconds to run: | $10^{12} / 10^{7}=10^{5}$ |
| \# days to run: | $10^{5} / 10^{5}=1$ |

- Impressed? You shouldn't be. That's still 1 (instead of 20 on the slower machine) day versus 20 seconds if an algorithm of order $n$ $\log (n)$ were used.
- What about 100 times faster hardware? 2.4 hours.


## Comparison

| Order: $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ |
| :--- |
| $\left(10^{6}\right)^{2} \quad$ Instructions |
| $10^{12} \quad$ Instructions |
| $10^{12} / 10^{6}$ secs to run |
| $10^{6}$ secs to run |
| $10^{6} / 10^{5}$ days to run |
| 10 days to run |

Order: $\mathrm{n} \log _{2} \mathrm{n}$
$10^{6} \log _{2} 10^{6}$ Instructions
$20\left(10^{6}\right)=2\left(10^{7}\right)$
$2\left(10^{7}\right) / 10^{6}$ secs to run
20 sec to run

What about $n^{2}+c$ ? What about $n^{2}+n \log _{2} n$ ?
What about $\mathrm{n}^{2}+\mathrm{n}$ ? What about $\mathrm{cn}^{2}$ ?

## Observations

- Within complexity classes the differences between algorithms due to constants of proportionality, (coefficients \& lesser terms), are not significant enough to warrant reporting
- Exception: certain (high usage) helper algorithms (e.g., sorting, searching)
- Because they are used many times
- Think about a trip to NOVA with cars that drive 60 and $70+\mathrm{mph}$ respectively, one trip vs. weekly trips


## Observations

■ Even for moderately small input sizes, Order $\mathrm{n}^{\wedge} 2$ algorithms will require FAR more time than Order $n \log (\mathrm{n})$ algorithms.

- Large problems with Order > $\mathrm{n} \log (\mathrm{n})$ cannot practically be executed
-For $\mathrm{n}=1000$ (medium problems) $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ algorithms can still be used


## General Rules

- A normal loop has big Oh, $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$
$■$ A doubly nested loop has big Oh, O( $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ )
- A triply nested loop has big Oh, O( $n^{3}$ )

■ You can get better times, e.g. O(log n)

- Binary Search is O(log n)
- Anytime anything is halved on each iteration, you usually get $O(\log n)$
■ Why isn't Merge Sort O(log $n$ )?


## Best Case Analysis

Assumes the input, data etc. are arranged in the most advantageous order for the algorithm, i.e. causes the execution of the fewest number of instructions.

- E.g., sorting - list is already sorted; searching - desired item is located at first accessed position.


## The trick

$$
\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{~N}+1) / 2+\mathrm{N}^{2}
$$



## Worst Case Analysis

Assumes the input, data etc. are arranged in the most disadvantageous order for the algorithm, i.e. causes the execution of the largest number of statements.

- E.g., sorting - list is in opposite order; searching-desired item is located at the last accessed position or is missing.


## Average Case Analysis

- Determines the average of the running times over all possible permutations of the input data.
- E.g., searching - desired item is located at every position, for each search), or is missing.


## Big-Omega

- Definition: Let f and g be functions from the set of integers (or the set of real numbers) to the set of real numbers. Then $f(x)$ is said to be $\Omega(g(x))$, which is read as $f(x)$ is big-omega of $g(x)$, if there are constants $C$ and $n 0$ such that $|f(x)|>=C|g(x)|$ whenever $x>n 0$.
$\square$ Finds order of "best case"


## Big-Theta

- Definition: Let $f$ and $g$ be functions from the set of integers (or the set of real numbers) to the set of real numbers.
Then $f(x)$ is said to be $\Theta(g(x))$, which is read as $f(x)$ is big-theta of $g(x)$, if $f(x)$ is $O(g(x))$, and $\Omega(g(x))$.
$■$ In other words, if Big Oh = Big Omega


## Example Of Big Theta

■ Consider the function $f(x)=5 x^{\wedge} 3+x^{\wedge} 2$ $+1 /\left(1+x^{\wedge} 2\right)$. Without going through the complete details on the proof, it's apparent that $f$ is $O\left(x^{\wedge} 3\right)$, since $f(x)<=$ $7 x^{\wedge} 3$ for $x>=1$
$\square f$ is $\Omega\left(x^{\wedge} 3\right)$, since $f(x)>=5 x^{\wedge} 3$ for $x>=1$
$\square$ Hence $f$ is both $O\left(x^{\wedge} 3\right)$ and $\Omega\left(x^{\wedge} 3\right)$, and thereby $f$ is $\Theta\left(x^{\wedge} 3\right)$ also.

## Big Oh?

//We know N>M
for $(\mathrm{j}=1 ; \mathrm{j}<=\mathrm{N} ;+\mathrm{j})$ \{
for ( $\mathrm{k}=1 ; \mathrm{k}<=\mathrm{M} ;++\mathrm{k}$ ) \{
foo( );
\}
\}
$\sum^{\mathrm{N}} \sum^{\mathrm{M}} 1=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NM})=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} 2\right)$
$\sum_{i=1} \sum_{k=1}$

## Big Oh?

for $(\mathrm{j}=1$; j <= $\mathrm{N} ;++\mathrm{j})$ \{
for ( $k=1 ; k<=j ;++k)\{$
foo( );
\}
\}
$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{j} 1=\sum_{j=1}^{N} j=\frac{N(N+1)}{2}=O\left(n^{\wedge} 2\right)$

## Big Oh?

```
for (j = 0; j < N; ++j) {
    for (k = 0; k < j; ++k) {
        foo( );
    }
}
    int N=M;
    for (j = 0; j < N; ++j) {
        for (k=0; k<M; ++k) {
        foo( );
    }
}
```

