CS 1124 Media Computation

Steve Harrison Lecture 3.2 (September 10, 2008)

Today ...

Reflecting on Modularity ...
 One and only one thing
 Hierarchical decomposition
 Modifying pixels in a range
 mirroring
 mirrorTemple

Questions on functions

- How can we reuse variable names like **picture** in both a function and in the Command Area?
- Why do we write the functions like this? Would other ways be just as good?
- Is there such a thing as a better or worse function?
- Why don't we just build in calls to pickAFile and makePicture?

Modularity heuristic: One and only one thing

- We write functions as we do to make them *general* and *reusable*
 - Programmers hate to have to re-write something they've written before
 - They write functions in a general way so that they can be used in many circumstances.
- What makes a function *general* and thus *reusable*?
 - A reusable function does One and Only One Thing

Compare these two programs

def makeSunset(picture):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getBlue(p)
 setBlue(p,value*0.7)
 value=getGreen(p)
 setGreen(p,value*0.7)

Yes, they do exactly the same thing!

makeSunset(somepict) has the same effect in both cases def makeSunset(picture):
 reduceBlue(picture)
 reduceGreen(picture)

def reduceBlue(picture):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getBlue(p)
 setBlue(p,value*0.7)

def reduceGreen(picture):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getGreen(p)
 setGreen(p,value*0.7)

Observations on the new makeSunset

- It's okay to have more than one function in the same Program Area (and file)
- makeSunset in this one is somewhat easier to read.
 - It's clear what it does "reduceBlue" and "reduceGreen"
 - That's important!

def makeSunset(picture):
 reduceBlue(picture)
 reduceGreen(picture)

def reduceBlue(picture):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getBlue(p)
 setBlue(p,value*0.7)

def reduceGreen(picture):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getGreen(p)
 setGreen(p,value*0.7)

Programs are read by people, not computers!

Considering variations

- We can only do this because reduceBlue and reduceGreen, do one and only one thing.
- If we put pickAFile and makePicture in them, we'd have to pick a file twice (better be the same file), make the picture—then save the picture so that the next one could get it!

def makeSunset(picture):
 reduceBlue(picture)
 reduceGreen(picture)

def reduceBlue(picture):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getBlue(p)
 setBlue(p,value*0.7)

def reduceGreen(picture):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getGreen(p)
 setGreen(p,value*0.7)

Does makeSunset do one and only one thing?

- ■Yes, but it's a higher-level, *more abstract* thing.
 - \Box It's built on lower-level one and only one thing
- We call this *hierarchical decomposition*.
 - You have some thing that you want the computer to do?
 - Redefine that thing in terms of smaller things
 - Repeat until you know how to write the smaller things
 - Then write the larger things in terms of the smaller things.

Are all these pictures the same?

 What if we use this like this in the Command Area:
 >> file=pickAFile()
 >> picture=makePicture(file)
 >> makeSunset(picture)
 >> show(picture) def makeSunset(picture):
 reduceBlue(picture)
 reduceGreen(picture)

def reduceBlue(picture):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getBlue(p)
 setBlue(p,value*0.7)

def reduceGreen(picture):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getGreen(p)
 setGreen(p,value*0.?)

What happens when we use a function

When we type in the Command Area>>makeSunset(picture)

Whatever object that is in the *Command Area* variable **picture** becomes the value of the *placeholder (input) variable* **picture** in

def makeSunset(picture):
 reduceBlue(picture)
 reduceGreen(picture)

makeSunset's picture is then passed as input to **reduceBlue** and **reduceGreen**, but their input variables are completely different from **makeSunset**'s picture.

For the life of the functions, they are the same values (picture objects)

Names have contexts

- In natural language, the same word has different meanings depending on *context*.
 - □ Time <u>flies</u> like an arrow
 - Fruit <u>flies</u> like a banana
- A function is its *own* context.
 - Input variables (placeholders) take on the value of the input values only for the life of the function
 - Only while it's executing
 - Variables defined within a function also only exist within the context of that function
 - □ The context of a function is also called its scope

Input variables are placeholders

Think of the input variable as a placeholder

It takes the place of the input object

- During the time that the function is executing, the placeholder variable *stands for* the input object.
- When we modify the placeholder by changing its pixels with setRed, we actually change the input object.

Input variables as placeholders (example)

Imagine we have a wedding computer

def marry(husband, wife):
 sayVows(husband)
 sayVows(wife)
 pronounce(husband, wife)
 kiss(husband, wife)

def sayVows(speaker):
 print "I, " + speaker + " blah blah"

def pronounce(man, woman): print "I now pronounce you..."

def kiss(pl, p2):
 if pl == p2:
 print "narcissism!"
 if pl <> p2:
 print pl + " kisses " + p2

So, how do we marry Ben and J.Lo?

Input variables as placeholders (example)

Imagine we have a wedding computer

def marry(husband, wife): sayVows(husband) sayVows(wife) pronounce(husband, wife) kiss(husband, wife) def sayVows(speaker):
 print "I, " + speaker + " blah blah"

def pronounce(man, woman): print "I now pronounce you..."

def kiss(pl, p2):
 if pl == p2:
 print "narcissism!"
 if pl <> p2:
 print pl + " kisses " + p2

Input variables as placeholders (example)

Imagine we have a wedding computer

def sayVows(speaker):
 print "I, " + speaker + " blah blah"

def pronounce(man, woman): print "I now pronounce you..."

def marry(husband, wife): sayVows(husband) sayVows(wife) pronounce(husband, wife kiss(husband, wife)

def kiss(p1, p2):
 if p1 == p2:
 print "narcissism!"
 if p1 <> p2:
 print p1 + "kiggog " + p2

print pl + "kisses " + p2

Variables within functions stay within functions

- The variable value in decreaseRed is created within the scope of decreaseRed
 - That means that it only exists while decreaseRed is executing
- If we tried to *print value* after running decreaseRed, it would work ONLY if we already had a variable defined in the Command Area
 - The name value within decreaseRed doesn't exist outside of that function
 - \Box We call that a local variable

def decreaseRed(picture):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getRed(p)
 setRed(p,value*0.5)

Writing real functions

Functions in the mathematics sense take input and usually return *output*.

Like ord(character) or makePicture(file)

- What if you create something inside a function that you *do* want to get back to the Command Area?
 - □You can return it.
 - We'll talk more about return later—that's how functions output something

Consider these two functions

def decreaseRed(picture):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getRed(p)
 setRed(p,value*0.5)

def decreaseRed(picture, amount):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getRed(p)
 setRed(p,value*amount)

- First, it's perfectly okay to have *multiple* inputs to a function.
- The new **decreaseRed** now takes an input of the multiplier for the red value.
 - decreaseRed(picture,0.5) would do the same thing
 - decreaseRed(picture,1.25) would *increase* red 25%

Names are important

- This function should probably be called changeRed because that's what it does.
- Is it more general?
 Yes.
- But is it the one and only one thing that you need done?
 - If not, then it may be less understandable.
 - You can be too general

def decreaseRed (picture, amount):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getRed(p)
 setRed(p,value*amount)

def changeRed (picture, amount):
 for p in getPixels(picture):
 value=getRed(p)
 setRed(p,value*amount)

Understandability comes first

Consider these two functions

They do the same thing!

- The first one *looks like* the other increase/decrease functions we've written.
 - That may make it more understandable for you to write first.
- But later, it doesn't make much sense to you
 - Why multiply by zero? The result is always zero!
 - Clearing is a special case of decreasing, so a special function is called for.

def clearBlue(pic):
 for p in getPixels(pic):
 value = getBlue(p)
 setBlue(p,value*0)

Trying to be too general

Short and sweet, but specific

def clearBlue(pic):
 for p in getPixels(pic):
 setBlue(p,0)

Understandability comes first

- A couple of other ways to make it understandable
 - "0" can sometimes be mistaken for "O"
 - so writing out "zero" would remind you that you are setting the value to 0
 - calling the value "noBlue" would reinforce the idea that you are setting the value of blue to 0 so that there is no blue.

def clearBlue(pic):
 zero = 0
 for p in getPixels(pic):
 setBlue(p,zero)

def clearBlue(pic):
 noBlue = 0
 for p in getPixels(pic):
 setBlue(p,noBlue)

Steps to success heuristic: first make the program easy to understand

• Write your functions so that *you* can understand them *first*

Get your program running

• *ONLY THEN* should you try to make them better

\Box Make them more understandable to other people

- E.g. set to zero rather than multiply by zero
- Another programmer (or you in six months) may not remember or be thinking about increase/decrease functions

Make them more efficient

- The new version of makeSunset (I.e. the one with reduceBlue and reduceGreen) takes twice as long as the first version, because it changes all the pixels *twice*
- But it's easier to understand and to get working in the first place

Today ...

Reflecting on Modularity ...
 One and only one thing
 Hierarchical decomposition
 Modifying pixels in a range
 mirroring
 mirrorTemple

MirrorVertical

```
def mirrorVertical( source ) :
   mirrorPoint = getWidth(source) / 2
   for y in range(1, getHeight(source)+1 ):
      for xOffset in range(1, mirrorPoint):
        pRight = getPixel(source, xOffset+mirrorPoint, y )
        pLeft = getPixel(source, mirrorPoint-xOffset, y )
        c = getColor(pLeft)
        setColor(pRight, c )
```

•Which side is seen and which side is covered up by the mirroring effect?

Lets change mirrorVertical to mirrorHorizontal

Transform

- vertical -> horizontal
- □width -> height
- height -> width
- □x -> y
- □y -> x
- □left -> upper

□right -> lower

Since they look so similar is there a way to write a single *general* function that would mirror either horizontally or vertically?
25

Class projects

- Mostly do in lab section and at home
- Do in groups
- Need some extra credit?

short report on "abstraction"

- ■what is it?
- •What is relation of abstraction in art, poetry, math, computer science?
- show an example

□post to forum

- □5 minute presentation in Lab with slides
- worth ONE quiz

Coming Attractions

For Friday

- Project 2 due
- Extra credit reports on "abstraction" (OPTIONAL)

For Monday

- □ (re)Read Chapter 4
- □quiz due 10:0 AM