Nabil S. Al Ramli

Rollback-Recovery Protocols I

Message Passing Systems



Messages

- Message Passing System
 Outside world
 - Messages
 - Processes

- Input messages
- Output messages



Outside World Process (OWP)

- A special process
 - Used to model how rollback recovery interacts with the outside world
 - Through messages
- P Requirements
 - Cannot fail
 - Cannot maintain state
 - Cannot participate in recovery
 - Cannot roll back



Messages to OWP

- OWP must perceive a consistent behavior of the system despite failures
 - Input messages from OWP may not be reproducible during recovery
 - Output messages cannot be reverted
- State that sent message to OWP must be recoverable
- Save each input message on stable storage before allowing the application program to process it



Checkpoints





CS 5204 - Fall, 2009

Stable Storage

- must store recovery data through failures
 - Checkpoints, event logs, other recovery info
- Implementation options
 - A system that tolerates only a single failure
 - Volatile memory
 - A system that tolerates transient failures
 - Local disk in each host
 - A system that tolerates non-transient failures
 - A replicated file system

Garbage Collection

- Checkpoints and event logs consume storage
- Some information may become useless
- Identify most recent consistent set of checkpoints
 - Recovery line
- Discard information before recovery line



Consistent System States

- Lost Messages
 - Sent but never received OK
- "Orphan Messages"
 - Received but never sent bad



Maximum Recoverable State





CS 5204 - Fall, 2009

The Domino Effect





CS 5204 - Fall, 2009





Checkpoint-Based Rollback Recovery

- restores the system state to the recovery line
- Does not rely on the PWD assumption
- less restrictive and simpler to implement
- Does not guarantee that prefailure execution can be deterministically regenerated after a rollback
- Not suited for interactions with the outside world
- Categories

rginia

Tech

- Uncoordinated checkpointing
- Coordinated checkpointing
- Communication-induced checkpointing

Uncoordinated Checkpointing

- Each process takes checkpoints independently
- Recovery line must be calculated after failure
- Disadvantages
 - susceptible to domino effect
 - can generate useless checkpoints
 - complicates storage/GC
 - not suitable for frequent output commits

Uncoordinated Checkpointing



CS 5204 - Fall, 2009

Virginia

Tech

Coordinated Checkpointing

- Checkpoints are orchestrated between processes
- Triggered by application decision
- Simplifies recovery
- Not susceptible to the domino effect
- Only one checkpoint per process on stable storage
- Garbage collection not necessary
- Large latency

Coordinated Checkpointing / Blocking

- No messages can be in transit during checkpointing
- Large overhead



Two-Phase Checkpointing Protocol

- A coordinator takes a checkpoint
- Broadcasts a checkpoint request to all processes
- When a process receives this message, it stops its execution, takes a tentative checkpoint
- Send an acknowledgment back to coordinator
- Coordinator broadcasts a commit message
- Each process removes the old checkpoint and makes the tentative checkpoint permanent



Coordinated/Blocking Notation

Each node maintains:

- a monotonically increasing counter with which each message from that node is labeled.
- records of the last message from/to and the first message to all other nodes.



Note: "sl" denotes a "smallest label" that is < any other label and "ll" denotes a "largest label" that is > any other label

Coordinated/Blocking Algorithm

(1) When must I take a checkpoint?

Virginia

Tech

(2) Who else has to take a checkpoint when I do?



(1) When I (Y) have sent a message to the checkpointing process, X, since my last checkpoint:

 $last_label_rcvd_x[Y] >= first_label_sent_y[X] > sl$

(2) Any other process from whom I have received messages since my last checkpoint.

Coordinated/Blocking Algorithm

(1) When must I rollback?

Virginia

Tech

(2) Who else might have to rollback when I do?



(1) When I ,Y, have received a message from the restarting process,X, since X's last checkpoint.

last_label_rcvd_y(X) > last_label_sent_x(Y)
 (2) Any other process to whom I can send messages.

 $roll_cohort_{y} = \{Z \mid Y \text{ can send message to } Z\}$

Coordinated Checkpointing / Non-Blocking



Figure 8. Non-blocking coordinated checkpointing: (a) checkpoint inconsistency; (b) with FIFO channels; (c) non-FIFO channels (short dashed line represents piggybacked *checkpoint request*).





Questions



