Threads vs. Events

SEDA – An Event Model
Cappricio

- Philosophy
  - Thread model is useful
  - Improve implementation to remove barriers to scalability

- Techniques
  - User-level threads
  - Linked stack management
  - Resource aware scheduling

- Tools
  - Compiler-analysis
  - Run-time monitoring
Capriccio – user level threads

- User-level threading with fast context switch
- Cooperative scheduling (via yielding)
- Thread management costs independent of number of threads (except for sleep queue)

- Intercepts and converts blocking I/O into asynchronous I/O
- Does polling to determine I/O completion
Call graph – each node is a procedure annotated with maximum stack size needed to execute that procedure; each edge represents a call

Maximum stack size for thread executing call graph cannot be determined statically

- **Recurr**sion (cycles in graph)
- Sub-optimal allocation (different paths may require substantially different stack sizes)

Insert checkpoints to allocate additional stack space (“chunk”) dynamically

- **On entry** (e.g., C₀)
- **On each back-edge** (e.g. C₁)
- **On each edge where the needed (maximum) stack space to reach a leaf node or the next checkpoints exceeds a given limit (MaxPath)** (e.g., C₂ and C₃ if limit is 1KB)

Checkpoint code added by source-source translation
Linked Stacks

- Thread stack is collection of non-contiguous blocks (‘chunks’)
- MinChunk: smallest stack block allocated
- Stack blocks “linked” by saving stack pointer for “old” block in field of “new” block; frame pointer remains unchanged
- Two kinds of wasted memory
  - Internal (within a block) (yellow)
  - External (in last block) (blue)
- Two controlling parameters
  - MaxPath: tradeoff between amount of instrumentation and run-time overhead vs. internal memory waste
  - MinChunk: tradeoff between internal memory waste and external memory waste
- Memory advantages
  - Avoids pre-allocation of large stacks
  - Improves paging behavior by (1) leveraging LIFO stack usage pattern to share chunks among threads and (2) placing multiple chunks on the same page
Resource-aware scheduling

- Blocking graph
  - Nodes are points where the program blocks
  - Arcs connect successive blocking points
- Blocking graph formed dynamically
  - Appropriate for long-running program (e.g. web servers)
- Scheduling annotations
  - Edge – exponentially weighted average resource usage
  - Node – weighted average of its edge values (average resource usage of next edge)
  - Resources – CPU, memory, stack, sockets
- Resource-aware scheduling:
  - Dynamically prioritize nodes/threads based on whether the thread will increase or decrease its use of each resource
  - When a resource is scarce, schedule threads that release that resource
- Limitations
  - Difficult to determine the maximum capacity of a resource
  - Application-managed resources cannot be seen
  - Applications that do not yield
Performance comparison

- Apache – standard distribution
- Haboob – event-based web server
- Knot – simple, threaded specially developed web server
SEDAN – Staged Event-Driven Architecture

- **Goals**
  - **Massive concurrency**
    - required for heavily used web servers
    - large spikes in load (100x increase in demand)
    - requires efficient, non-blocking I/O
  - **Simplify constructing well-conditioned services**
    - “well conditioned”: behaves like a simple pipeline
    - offers graceful degradation, maintaining high throughput as load exceeds capacity
    - provides modular architecture (defining and interconnecting “stages”)
    - hides resource management details
  - **Introspection**
    - ability to analyze and adapt to the request stream
  - **Self-tuning resource management**
    - thread pool sizing
    - dynamic event scheduling

- **Hybrid model**
  - combines threads (within stages) and events (between stages)
**SEDAN's point of view**

**Thread model and performance**

**Event model and performance**
SEDA - structure

- Event queue – holds incoming requests
- Thread pool
  - takes requests from event queue and invokes event handler
  - Limited number of threads per stage
- Event handler
  - Application defined
  - Performs application processing and possibly generates events for other stages
  - Does not manage thread pool or event queue
- Controller – performs scheduling and thread management
Thread pool controller
- Thread added (up to a maximum) when event queue exceeds threshold
- Thread deleted when idle for a given period

Batching controller
- Adjusts batching factor: the number of events processed at a time
- High batching factor improves throughput
- Low batching factor improves response time
- Goal: find lowest batching factor that sustains high throughput
Asynchronous Socket layer

- Implemented as a set of SEDA stages
- Each asyncSocket stage has two event queues
- Thread in each stage serves each queue alternately based on time-out
- Similar use of stages for file I/O
Apache
- process-per-request design

Flash
- event-driven design
- one process handling most tasks

Haboob
- SEDA-based design

### Performance
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### Fairness

- Measure of number of requests completed per client
- Value of 1 indicates equal treatment of clients
- Value of k/N indicates k clients received equal treatment and n-k clients received no service