

Testing Approaches

1

Overview

- What is a "Good" test?
- How to design tests?
 - White-box testing
 - Black-box testing

N. Meng, B. Ryder

2

2

What Is a "Good" Test?

- A good test
 - has a high probability of finding an error
 - Developers must understand the software
 - is not redundant
 - Every test should have a different purpose
 - should be "best of breed"
 - Prioritize tests that have the highest likelihood of uncovering errors
 - should be neither too simple nor too complex
 - Don't try to combine different tests together

N. Meng, B. Ryder

3

3

Internal and External Views

- Any engineered product can be tested in two ways:
 - Knowing the internal working of a product, test whether "all gears mesh" and every component has been adequately exercised
 - Knowing the specification, test whether the product conforms to specification

N. Meng, B. Ryder

4

4

Software Testing Methods


- White-box methods
 - Internal-view approach
- Black-box methods
 - External-view approach

N. Meng, B. Ryder

5

5

White-Box Testing



... our goal is to ensure that all statements and conditions have been executed at least once ...

N. Meng, B. Ryder

6

6

Why Cover?

- Logic errors and incorrect assumptions are inversely proportional to a path's execution probability
- We often **believe** that a path is not likely to be executed; in fact, reality is often counter intuitive


N. Meng, B. Ryder

7

7

Control Flow Graph

- A representation, using graph notation, of all paths that might be traversed through a program during its execution
 - Node: statement or block
 - Edge: control flow




N. Meng, B. Ryder

8

8

Data Flow Graph

- A representation of the "flow" of data through a system




N. Meng, B. Ryder

9

9

Naïve Approach: Exhaustive Testing

- Enumerate all possible execution paths



N. Meng, B. Ryder

10

10

How Many Paths When iteration == 1?

- 5 paths
 - 1,2,3,4,6
 - 1,2,3,4,7
 - 1,2,3,5,8
 - 1,2,3,5,9
 - 1,2,10

N. Meng, B. Ryder

11

11

How Many Paths When iteration == 20?

- $5^{20} \approx 10^{14}$
- **If we execute one test per millisecond, it would take 3,170 years to test this program!!**

N. Meng, B. Ryder

12

12

Efficient Approach: Selective Testing

- Control flow-based testing
 - Basis path testing
 - Condition testing
 - Loop testing
- Data flow-based testing

N. Meng, B. Ryder

13

13

Selective Regression Testing

- Only need to rerun tests which might be affected by program changes
- Idea: do parallel traversal of $CFG(P)$ and $CFG(P')$: when targets of like-labeled edges differed, then use coverage matrix to find tests that will exercise that edge

N. Meng, B. Ryder

14

14

Basis Path Testing

- **Independent Path**
 - Any path through the program that produces at least one new set of processing statements or a new condition
- To guarantee every statement is executed at least once
 - **Statement coverage**

N. Meng, B. Ryder

15

15

Basis Path Testing

- Cyclomatic complexity $V(G)$
 - number of simple decisions + 1
 - number of enclosed areas + 1
- A number of industry studies have indicated that the higher $V(G)$, the higher the probability of errors.


N. Meng, B. Ryder

16

16

Basis Path Testing

- What is the cyclomatic complexity?
 - $V(G) = 6$
- Design $V(G)$ test cases that cover all statements
 - 1,2,3,4,6
 - 1,2,3,4,7
 - 1,2,3,5,8
 - 1,2,3,5,9
 - 1,2,10
 - 1,2,10,1,2,10



N. Meng, B. Ryder

17

17

Condition Testing

- To guarantee every branch of the predicate nodes are covered
 - **Branch coverage**
 - True and false branches of each IF
 - The two branches of a loop condition
 - All alternatives in a SWITCH


N. Meng, B. Ryder

18

18

Condition Testing

- Design test cases to cover all branches
 - 1,2,3,4,6
 - 1,2,3,4,7
 - 1,2,3,5,8
 - 1,2,3,5,9
 - 1,2,10
 - 1,2,10,1,2,10



N. Meng, B. Ryder

19

19

Statement Coverage vs. Branch Coverage

- Branch coverage => Statement coverage, but **not** vice versa
 - E.g., if (c) then s;
 - By executing only with c=true, we will achieve statement coverage, but not branch coverage

N. Meng, B. Ryder

20

20

Loop Testing

- Test cases only focus on the validity of various loop constructs
 - Simple loops
 - Nested loops
 - Concatenated loops
 - Unstructured loops


N. Meng, B. Ryder

21

21

Test Cases for Simple Loops

- Suppose n is the maximum number of allowable passes through the loop
 - Skip the loop entirely
 - Only one pass through the loop
 - m passes through the loop where $m < n$
 - $n-1, n, n+1$ passes through the loop




N. Meng, B. Ryder

22

22

Test Cases for Nested Loops

- Suppose the iteration parameter i for outer loop is in $[n1, n2]$ range, while the parameter j for inner loop is in $[m1, m2]$
 - Set $i=n1$, test inner loop
 - Set $j=\text{typical value} \in [m1, m2]$, test outer loop



N. Meng, B. Ryder

23

23

Test Cases for Concatenated Loops


if (the loops are independent of each other)

then

 treat each as a simple loop

else

 treat them as nested loop




N. Meng, B. Ryder

24

24

Unstructured Loops?

- Whenever possible, redesign!



N. Meng, B. Ryder

25

25

Homework 3: Testing

- Withdraw money from ATM
 - Draw a CFG to cover all scenarios shown by the communication diagram and alternative descriptions
 - Devise test cases based on that
 - Feel free to define new operations if necessary

N. Meng, B. Ryder

26

26

Requirements of Test Cases

- Cover all scenarios (successful + failing)
 - basis path testing (assume limit = 3)
 - loop testing
 - for an n-iteration loop, test scenarios: 0, 1, n-1, n
 - for an infinite loop, test scenarios: 0, 1, m ($m > 1$)
- List test cases for each technique
 - Briefly explain why these test cases are selected

N. Meng, B. Ryder

27

27

Data-Flow Based Testing

- Test connections between variable definitions(D) and variable uses(U)
 - i.e., write and read
- Terms
 - DU pair: A pair of definition and use for some variable
 - DU path: a definition-clear path on the CFG starting from a D to a U of a same variable
 - Definition clear: value is not redefined on path


N. Meng, B. Ryder

28

28

Ways to Design Test Cases

- All DU pairs (All-uses)
 - Each DU pair is executed by at least one test case
 - 1, 2, 3, 5, 6
 - 1, 2, 4, 5, 7




N. Meng, B. Ryder

29

29

Ways to Design Test Cases

- All DU paths
 - Each simple (non looping) DU path is executed by at least one test case
 - 1, 2, 3, 5, 6
 - 1, 2, 3, 5, 7
 - 1, 2, 4, 5, 6
 - 1, 2, 4, 5, 7




N. Meng, B. Ryder

30

30

Ways to Design Test Cases

- All definitions
 - For each definition, there is at least one test case which exercises a DU pair containing it
 - 1, 2, 3, 5, 6



N. Meng, B. Ryder

31

31

Relationship between All-Def, All-Use, and All-DU-Paths?

All definition < All DU pairs < All DU paths


N. Meng, B. Ryder

32

32

Black-box Testing

- Black-box testing focuses on the software functional requirements
- Testers devise various input conditions to fully exercise all functional requirements



N. Meng, B. Ryder

33

33

Black-Box vs. White-Box

- Black-box is a complementary approach instead of an alternative to white-box techniques

check "doing the right thing"

check "doing things rightly"

applied during later stages of testing

performed early in the testing process

input-oriented

structure-oriented

34

Black-Box Methods

- Equivalence partition
- Boundary value analysis

N. Meng, B. Ryder

35

35

Equivalence Partition

- Divide the input domain of a program into equivalence classes
 - For different values from the same class, the software should behave equivalently
- Test with values from different classes to find errors

N. Meng, B. Ryder

36

36

How to Define Equivalence Classes?

- An input condition specifies a range
 - Define one valid and two invalid equivalence classes
 - E.g., for input range $[2, 5]$, the equivalent classes are $[-\infty, 2)$, $[2, 5]$, $(5, +\infty)$
- An input condition specifies a specific value
 - Define one valid and two invalid equivalence classes

N. Meng, B. Ryder

37

37

How to Define Equivalence Classes?

- An input condition specifies a member of a set
 - Define one valid and one invalid equivalence class
- An input condition is Boolean
 - Classes "true" and "false"

N. Meng, B. Ryder

38

38

Boundary Value Analysis

- It complements equivalence partition technique by
 - focusing on boundary values of each equivalent class,
 - deriving test cases from the output domain as well

N. Meng, B. Ryder

39

39

How to Pick Values to Test?

- If an input condition specifies a range $[a,b]$
 - Design test cases with values a and b and just above and just below a and b
- If an input condition specifies a number of values
 - Design test cases with values \min and \max and surrounding values
- Apply the above guidelines to output conditions

N. Meng, B. Ryder

40

40

How to Pick Values to Test?

- If internal program data structures have prescribed boundaries, be certain to design test cases to exercise the data structure at its boundary
 - e.g., a table has a defined limit of 100 entries

N. Meng, B. Ryder

41

41

Example: Search for a Value in an Array

- Input: an array and a value
- Output: return the index of some occurrence of the value, or -1 if the value does not exist
- One partition: size of the array
 - 0, 1, n ($n > 1$)
- Another partition: location of the value
 - 0, m ($m > 0$ && $m < n$), $n-1$ (last), -1

N. Meng, B. Ryder

42

42

Example: Test Inputs

<u>Array</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>Output</u>
empty	5	-1
[7]	7	0
[7]	2	-1
[1,6,4,7,2]	1	0
[1,6,4,7,2]	4	2
[1,6,4,7,2]	2	4
[1,6,4,7,2]	3	-1

N. Meng, B. Ryder

43