

# Prolog

In Text: Chapter 16

# Prolog

- A logic programming language
- Prolog programs consist of collections of statements
- There are only a few kinds of statements in Prolog, but they can be complex
  - Fact statements, rule statements, and goal statements
- All prolog statements are constructed from terms

# Fact Statements

- Correspond to **Headless Horn clauses**
- Fact statements are propositions that are assumed to be true, and from which new information can be inferred
- E.g., `female(shelley).`  
`female(mary).`  
`mother(mary, shelley).`

# Rule Statements

- Correspond to **Headed Horn clauses**
- They describe implication rules between propositions, or logical relationship between them: if a set of given conditions are satisfied, what conclusion can be drawn
- The consequent of a statement is a single term, while the antecedent can be either a single term or conjunction

# Conjunctions

- The AND operation in conjunctions is implied in Prolog
- The structures that specify atomic propositions in a conjunction are separated by commas
- The commas can be considered as AND operators

# Rule Statements

- E.g.,  $\text{grandparent}(X, Z) :- \text{parent}(X, Y), \text{parent}(Y, Z),$   
where  $X, Y,$  and  $Z$  are universal objects
  - It states that if there are instantiations of  $X, Y,$  and  $Z$  such that  $\text{parent}(X, Y)$  is true, and  $\text{parent}(Y, Z)$  is true, then for those same instantiations of  $X, Y,$  and  $Z,$   $\text{grandparent}(X, Z)$  is true

# Goal Statements

- Also correspond to **Headless Horn** clauses
- **Goal statements** are propositions describing the theorem that we want the system to either prove or disprove
  - E.g., `man(fred)`
- Because goal statements and some nongoal statements have the same form, a Prolog implementation must have some means to distinguish between the two

# Goal Statement

```
(assert(rainy(seattle))).  
(assert(rainy(rochester))).  
rainy(C).
```

The Prolog interpreter would respond with:

```
C = seattle
```

Seattle is returned first, because it comes first in the database



# Goal Statement

- If we want to find all possible solutions, we can ask the interpreter to continue by typing a semicolon:

```
C = seattle ;
```

```
C = rochester.
```

# Another Example

```
(assert(takes(jane_doe, his201))).  
(assert(takes(jane_doe, cs254))).  
(assert(takes(ajit_chandra, art302))).  
(assert(takes(ajit_chandra, cs254))).  
(assert((classmates(X, Y) :- takes(X,  
Z), takes(Y, Z)))).
```

What does the following query return?

```
classmates(jane_doe, X).
```

```
X = jane_doe;
```

```
X = jane_doe;
```

```
X = ajit_chandra.
```

How should we modify the rule so that the student is not considered as a classmate of himself or herself?

```
classmates(X, Y) :- takes(X, Z),  
takes(Y, Z), X \= Y.
```

- Can we define propositions in the following way?

```
takes(jane doe, his201).
```

- No. The prolog interpreter will complain. Instead, we can define the proposition as below:

```
takes('jane doe', his201).
```

# Prolog Programs

- **ASSERT** (define)
  - FACTS about OBJECTS
  - RULES("CLAUSES") that inter-relate facts
- Ask QUESTIONS about objects and their relationship
  - GOALS

# Some Prolog FACTS

- | ?- (assert (father (michael, cathy))).
- | ?- (assert (father (chuck, michael))).
- | ?- (assert (father (chuck, julie))).
- | ?- (assert (father (david, chuck))).
- | ?- (assert (father (sam, melody))).
- | ?- (assert (mother (cathy, melody))).
- | ?- (assert (mother (hazel, michael))).
- | ?- (assert (mother (hazel, julie))).
- | ?- (assert (mother (melody, sandy))).
- | ?- (assert (made\_of (moon, green\_cheese))).

# Some Prolog RULES

- A person's parent is their mother or father  
| ?- (assert ((parent(X, Y) :- father(X, Y); mother (X, Y)))).
- A person's grandfather is the father of one of their parents  
| ?- (assert ((grandfather(X, Y) :- father(X, A), parent(A, Y)))).

# Some Prolog QUESTIONS

- Is chuck the parent of julie ?  
| ?- parent(chuck, julie).
- Is john the father of cathy ?  
| ?- father(john, cathy).

## **Note:**

- **No “assert”s**
- **No use of variables**



# Prolog Notes

- atoms: symbolic values of Prolog
  - father ( bill, mike)
  - Strings of letters, digits, and underscores starting with a lower case letter
- variable: unbound entity
  - father (X, mike)
  - Strings of letters, digits, and underscores starting with an UPPER CASE letter
  - Variables are not bound to type by declaration

# Prolog Notes

- FACTS: UNCONDITIONAL ASSERTIONS OF "TRUTH"  
*(assert(mother(carol, jim)))*.
  - assumed to be true
  - contains no variables
  - stored in database

# Prolog Notes

- RULES: ASSERTIONS from which conclusions can be drawn if given conditions are true  
*(assert((parent(X, Y) :- father(X, Y);  
mother (X, Y))))).*
  - contains variables for **instantiation**
  - **also stored in database**

# An Example

FACTS

| ?- (assert(color(banana, yellow))).  
| ?- (assert(color(squash, yellow))).  
| ?- (assert(color(apple, green))).  
| ?- (assert(color(peas, green))).  
  
| ?- (assert(fruit(banana))).  
| ?- (assert(fruit(apple))).  
| ?- (assert(vegetable(squash))).  
| ?- (assert(vegetable(peas))).

bob eats green colored vegetables

RULE | ?- (assert((eats(bob, X) :- color(X, green), vegetable(X)))).

# An Example

```
(assert ((eats(bob, X) :-  
         color(X, green),  
         vegetable(X)))).
```

**Does bob eat apples ?**

| ?- eats(bob, apple).

color(apple, green) => match

false

vegetable(apple) => no

**Does bob eat squash ?**

| ?- eats(bob, squash).

color(squash, green) => no

false

**What does bob eat ?**

| ?- eats(bob, X).

color(banana, green) => no

color(squash, green) => no

color(apple, green) => yes

vegetable(apple) => no

color(peas, green) => yes

vegetable(peas) => yes

therefore X = peas

# Prolog Notes

INSTANTIATION: binding of a variable to value (and thus, a type)

UNIFICATION: Process of finding an instantiation of a variable for which "match" is found in the database of facts and rules

# Instantiation & Unification

**FACTS** { (assert (color (apple, red))).  
(assert (color (banana, yellow))).

**color (X, yellow).**

Ask the question (goal):

Does there exist (or, Give me) an X such that X is the color yellow

**X = apple      color (apple, yellow)**

**instantiation      no matching pattern**

**X = banana      color (banana, yellow)**

**instantiation      match**

**X = banana      results in match of goal with database item**

# Prolog Notes

- DISJUNCTIVE RULES: X if Y or Z  
(assert ((parent(X, Y) :- father(X, Y)))).  
(assert ((parent(X, Y) :- mother(X, Y)))).  
or  
(assert ((parent(X, Y) :- father(X, Y);  
mother(X, Y)))).



# Prolog Notes

- CONJUNCTIVE RULES: X if Y AND Z  
(assert((father(X, Y) :- parent(X, Y),  
male(X)))).
- NEGATION RULES: X if Not Y  
(assert((good(X) :- not(bad(X)))).  
(assert((mother(X, Y) :- parent(X, Y),  
not(male(X)))).

# "Older" Example

older(george, john).

older(alice, george).

older(john, mary).

older(X, Z) :- older(X, Y), older(Y, Z).

- When we ask a query that will result in **TRUE**, we get the right answer:  
?- older(george, mary).
- When we ask a query that will result in **FALSE**, we get into an endless loop  
?- older(mary, john).

# Left Recursion Problem

- The first element in older is the predicate that is repeatedly tried
- To solve the problem, remove the older rule and replace with:  
    is\_older(X, Y) :- older(X, Y).  
    is\_older(X, Z) :- older(X, Y),  
    is\_older(Y, Z).
- Now:  
    ?- is\_older(mary, john).  
    false

# Prolog Notes

- Prolog is more than "LOGIC"
  - Math
  - List manipulation

# Consult File Format

[x]. or consult(x).

- File x.pl:  
husband(tommy, claudia).  
husband(mike, effie).  
mother(claudia, sannon).  
mother(effie, jamie).  
father(X, Y) :- mother(W, Y), husband(X, W).  
parent(X, Y) :- father(X, Y); mother(X, Y).
- Note: No assert's, but can still state  
**Facts and Rules**

# Consult File

- Cannot state question/goal in a consult file

| ?- **consult(x).**

# Suggested Approach to Specifying Solution

- Use a consult file to define facts and rules
  - Instantiate prolog
  - “consult” file interactively
  - Interactively ask questions to see if facts/ rules yield expected results
  - Change consult as needed
    - Need to reinitiate prolog and re“consult”



# Suggested Approach to Specifying Solution (cont'd)

- Construct I/O redirected file to include

- Consult file and queries, e.g.,  
`swipl < input.file`

- You may use ";" to ask "Is there another answer?"

- The initial query CANNOT have anything on the line after the ".", and
    - There must be a blank line after ";"

**input.file**

```
consult(cnslt).  
query1.  
;  
  
query2.
```

# SWI-Prolog: Access & Nuance

- SWI-Prolog on Rlogin is located in the directory:
  - /home/staff/arthur/bin/swipl
- swipl prints output to STDERR (file descriptor 2). To redirect output to a file you must precede ">" with a "2" :
  - swipl < input.file 2> output.file

# Prolog - Issues/Limitations

- "Closed World"
  - the only truth is that known to the system
- Efficiency
  - theorem proving can be extremely time consuming
- Resolution order control
  - Prolog always starts with left side of a goal, and always searches database from the top. You have some control by choice of order in the propositions and by structuring database.

# Prolog - Issues/Limitations

- Prolog uses backward chaining (start with goal and attempt to find sequence of propositions that leads to facts in the database).
- In some cases forward chaining (start with facts in the database and attempt to find a sequence of propositions that leads to the goal) can be more efficient.
- Prolog always searches depth-first, though breadth-first can work better in some cases.