# Expression Evaluation and Control Flow 

## In Text: Chapter 6

## Outline

- Notations of expressions
- Operator evaluation order
- Operand evaluation order
- Overloaded operators
- Type conversions
- Short-circuit evaluation of conditions
- Control structures


## Arithmetic Expressions

- Design issues for arithmetic expressions
- Notation form?
- What are the operator precedence rules?
- What are the operator associativity rules?
- What is the order of operand evaluation?
- Are there restrictions on operand evaluation side effects?
- Does the language allow user-defined operator overloading?


## Operators

- A unary operator has one operand
- A binary operator has two operands
- A ternary operator has three operands
- Functions can be viewed as unary operators with an operand of a simple list


## Operators

- Argument lists (or parameter lists) treat separators (comma, space) as "stacking" or "append" operators
- A keyword in a language statement can be viewed as functions in which the remainder of the statement is the operand


## Notation \& Placement

- Prefix

$$
\text { - op ab op }(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~b}) \quad(\mathrm{op} \text { a b) }
$$

- Infix
-a op b
- Postfix
-a b op


## Notation \& Placement

- Most imperative languages use infix notation for binary and prefix for unary operators
- Lisp: prefix
- (op a b)


## Operator Evaluation Order

- Precedence
- Associativity
- Parentheses


## Operator Precedence

- Define the order in which "adjacent" operators of different precedence levels are evaluated
- Parenthetical groups (...)
- Exponentiation
- Mult \& Div *,/
- Add \& Sub +,
- Assignment :=
- Where to put the parentheses?
$-E . g ., A * B+C * * / E-F$
- Only some languages (e.g., Fortran, Ruby, Visual Basic, and Ada) have the exponentiation operator. In these languages, exponentiation operator has higher precedence than unary operators
- Where to place the parentheses in $-A^{* *} B$ ?
- The precedence of the arithmetic operators of Ruby and the $C$-based languages (e.g., C, C++, Java)

Ruby $C$-Based Languages

| Highest | ${ }^{[1] \text { (element }}$ reference) | [], ->, postfix + , -- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ** | prefix,++- , unary + , |
|  | unary + , - | *, 1, \% |
|  | *,1,\% | binary +,- |
|  | binary + , - | «, > |
| Lowest |  |  |

## Operator Associativity

- Define the order in which adjacent operators with the same precedence level are evaluated:
-Left associative *, / ,+,-
- Right associative ** (exponentiation)
- Where to put the parentheses?
-E.g., $B^{* *}$ C** $D-E+F * G / H$


## Operator Associativity (cont'd)

- Most programming languages evaluate expressions from left to right
- LISP uses parentheses to enforce evaluation order
- APL is strictly RIGHT to LEFT, taking note only of parenthetical groups


## Operator Associativity (cont'd)

- Mathematical associativity
- For some operators, the evaluation order does not matter, i.e., $(A+B)+C=A+(B+C)$
- However, in a computer when floatingpoint numbers are represented approximately, the mathematical "associativity" does not always hold
-E.g., $A=200, B=$ Float.MIN_VALUE, $C=-10$


## Parentheses

- Programmers can alter the precedence and associativity rules by placing parentheses in expressions
- A parenthesized part of an expression has precedence over its adjacent peers without parentheses


## Parentheses (cont'd)

- Advantages
- Allow programmers to specify any desired order of evaluation
- Do not require author or reader of programs to remember any precedence or association rules
- Disadvantages
- Can make writing expressions more tedious
- May seriously compromise code readability
- Although we need parentheses in infix expressions, we don' $\dagger$ need parentheses in prefix and postfix expressions
- The operators are no longer ambiguous with respect to the operands that they work on in prefix and postfix expressions


## Expression Conversion

| Infix Expression | Prefix Expression | Postfix Expression |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $A+B$ | $+A B$ | $A B+$ |
| $A+B^{*} C$ | $?$ | $?$ |
| $(A+B)^{*} C$ | $?$ | $?$ |

## A Motivating Example

- What is the value of the following expression?
$310+45$ - *


## How do you automate the calculation of a postfix expression?

- Assuming operators include: Highest */
Lowest binary + -
- Input: a string of a postfix expression
- Output: a value
- Algorithm?


## Project 1

- Create an expression evaluator for postfix hexidecimal notation
- Assuming operators include: Highest "~" bitwise NOT
">" bitwise shift right 1



## Operand Evaluation Order

- If none of the operands of an operator has side effects, then the operand evaluation order does not matter
- What are side effects?
- Referential transparency and side effects


## Side Effects

- Often discussed in the context of functions
- A side effect is some permanent state change caused by execution of functions
- The subsequent computation is influenced other than by the return value for use
- $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}++$
- $a=10, b=a+$ fun(\&a) (assume the function can change its parameter value)


## Side Effects (cont'd)

- Many imperative languages distinguish between
- expressions, which always produce values, and may or may not have side effects, and
- statements, which are executed solely for their side effects, and return no useful value
- Imperative programming is sometimes called "computing via side effects"


## Side Effects (cont'd)

- Pure functional languages have no side effects
- The value of an expression depends only on the referencing environment in which the expression is evaluated, not the time at which the evaluation occurs
- If an expression yields a certain value at one point in time, it is guaranteed to yield the same value at any point in time


## How to avoid side effects?

- Design the language to disallow functional side effects
- No pass-by-reference parameters in functions
- Disallow global variable access in functions
- Concerns
- Programmers need the flexibility to return more than one value from a function
- Passing parameters is inefficient compared with accessing global variables


## How to avoid side effects? (cont'd)

- Design the language with a strictly fixed evaluation order between operands
- Concerns
- Disallow some optimizations which involve reordering operand evaluations


## Referential Transparency and Side Effects

- A program has the property of referential transparency if any two expressions having the same value can be substituted for one another
E.g., result1 = (fun $(a)+b) /(f u n(a)-c) ; \Leftrightarrow$ temp = fun(a);
result2 $=($ temp $+b) /($ temp $-c)$,
given that the function fun has no side effect


## Key points of referentially transparent programs

- Semantics is much easier to understand
- Being referentially transparent makes a function equivalent to a mathematical function
- Programs written in pure functional languages are referentially transparent
- The value of a referentially transparent function depends on its parameters, and possibly one or more global constants


## Overloaded Operators

- The multiple use of an operator is called operator overloading
- E.g., "+" is used to specify integer addition, floating-point addition, and string catenation
- Do not use the same symbol for two completely unrelated operations, because that can decrease readability
- In C, "\&" can represent a bitwise AND operator, and an address-of operator


## Type Conversion

- Narrowing conversion
- To convert a value to a type that cannot store all values of the original type
-E.g., double->float, float->int
- Widening conversion
- To convert a value to a type that can include all values belong to the original type
-E.g., int->float, float->double


## Narrowing Conversion vs. Widening Conversion

- Narrowing conversion is not always safe - The magnitude of the converted value can be changed
- E.g., float->int with 1.3E25, the converted value is distantly related to the original one
- Widening conversion is always safe
- However, some precision may be los $\dagger$
- E.g., int->float, integers have at least 9 decimal digits of precision, while floats have 7 decimal digits of precision


## Implicit Type Conversion

- A coercion is an implicit type conversion
- Arithmetic expressions with operators that can have differently typed operands are called mixed-mode expressions
- Languages allowing such expressions must define implicit operand type conversions


## Implicit Type Conversion

var $x, y$ : integer;
z: real;
...
$\mathrm{y}:=\mathrm{x} * \mathrm{z} ; \quad \mathrm{I}^{*} \mathrm{x}$ is automatically converted to "real" */

- Implicit type conversion can be achieved by narrowing or widening one or more operators
- It is better to widen when possible
- E.g., $x=3, z=5.9$, what is $y$ 's value if $x$ is widened? How about $z$ narrowed?


## Key Points of Implicit Coercions

- They decrease the type error detection ability of compilers
- Did you really mean to use "mixed-mode expressions" ?
- In most languages, all numeric types are coerced in expressions, using widening conversions


## Explicit Type Conversion

- Also called "casts"
- Ada example

FLOAT(INDEX)-- INDEX is an INTEGER

- C example:
(int) speed /* speed is a float */


## Short-Circuit Evaluation

- A short-circuit evaluation of an expression is one in which the result is determined without evaluating all of the operands and/or operators
-Consider ( $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}$ ) \&\& $(\mathrm{b}<\mathrm{c})$ :
- If $\mathrm{a}>=\mathrm{b}$, there is no point evaluating $\mathrm{b}<\mathrm{c}$ because $(\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}) \& \&(\mathrm{~b}<\mathrm{c})$ is automatically false
- $(x \& \& y) \equiv$ if $x$ then $y$ else false
- $(x \| y) \equiv$ if $x$ then true else $y$


## Short-Circuit Evaluation

- Short-circuit evaluation may lead to unexpected side effects and cause error -E.g., ( $\mathrm{a}>\mathrm{b}$ ) || ( $(\mathrm{b}++$ ) / 3)
- C, C++, and Java:
- Use short-circuit evaluation for Boolean operations (\&\& and ||)
- Also provide bitwise operators that are not short circuit (\& and I)


## Short-Circuit Evaluation

- Ada: programmers can specify either

Non-SC eval SC eval
( $x$ or $y$ ) ( $x$ or else $y$ )
( $x$ and $y$ ) ( $x$ and then $y$ )

## Control Structures

- Selection
- Iteration
- Iterators
- Recursion
- Concurrency \& non-determinism
- Guarded commands


## Iteration Based on Data Structures

- A data-based iteration statement uses a user-defined data structure and a userdefined function to go through the structure's elements
- The function is called an iterator
- The iterator is invoked at the beginning of each iteration
- Each time it is invoked, an element from the data structure is returned
- Elements are returned in a particular order


## A Java Implementation for Iterator

```
class BinTree<T> implements Iterable<T> {
    BinTree<T> left;
    BinTree<T> right;
    T val;
    ..
    // other methods: insert, delete, lookup, ...
    public Iterator<T> iterator() {
        return new TreeIterator(this);
    }
    private class TreeIterator implements Iterator<T> {
        private Stack<BinTree<T>> s = new Stack<BinTree<T>>();
        TreeIterator(BinTree<T> n) {
            if (n.val != null) s.push(n);
        }
        public boolean hasNext() {
            return !s.empty();
        }
        public T next() {
            if (!hasNext()) throw new NoSuchElementException();
            BinTree<T> n = s.pop();
            if (n.right != null) s.push(n.right);
            if (n.left != null) s.push(n.left);
            return n.val;
        }
        public void remove() {
            throw new UnsupportedOperationException();
        }
    }
}
```


## Guarded Commands

- New and quite different forms of selection and loop structures were suggested by Dijkstra (1975)
- We cover guarded commands because they are the basis for two linguistic mechanisms developed later for concurrent programming in two languages: CSP and Ada


## Motivations of Guarded Commands

- To support a program design methodology that ensures correctness during development rather than relying on verification or testing of completed programs afterwards
- Also useful for concurrency
- Increased clarity in reasoning


## Guarded Commands

- Two guarded forms
- Selection (guarded if)
- Iteration (guarded do)


## Guarded Selection

```
if <boolean> -> <statement>
[] <boolean> -> <statement>
[] <boolean> -> <statement>
fi
```

- Sementics
- When this construct is reached
- Evaluate all boolean expressions
- If more than one is true, choose one nondeterministically
- If none is true, it is a runtime error
- Idea: Forces one to consider all possibilities


## An Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } i=0->\text { sum }:=\text { sum }+i \\
& {[] i>j->\text { sum }:=\operatorname{sum}+j} \\
& {[] j>i->\text { sum }:=\operatorname{sum}+i} \\
& \text { fi }
\end{aligned}
$$

- If $i=0$ and $j>i$, the construct chooses nondeterministically between the first and the third assignment statements
- If $i==j$ and $i \neq 0$, none of the conditions is true and a runtime error occurs


## Guarded Selection

- The construction can be an elegant way to state that the order of execution, in some cases, is irrelevant

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } x>=y->\max :=x \\
& \text { [] } y>=x->\max :=y \\
& \text { fi }
\end{aligned}
$$

-E.g., if $x==y$, it does not matter which we assign to max

- This is a form of abstraction provided by the nondeterministic semantics


## Guarded Iteration

$$
\left.\begin{array}{|cc|}
\hline \text { do } & \text { <boolean> }->\text { <statement> } \\
{[]} & \text { <boolean> }->~<s t a t e m e n t>~ \\
\text { [] } & \text { <boolean> }->~<s t a t e m e n t>~
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Semantics:
- For each iteration
- Evaluate all boolean expressions
- If more than one is true, choose one nondeterministically, and then start loop again
- If none is true, exit the loop
- Idea: if the order of evaluation is not important, the program should not specify one


## An Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { do q1 > q2 -> temp }:=q 1 ; ~ q 1:=q 2 ; ~ q 2 ~ \\
& \text { [] q2 }>\text { q3 temp; } \\
& \text { [] q3 > q4 -> temp }:=q 2 ; \text { q2 }:=q 3 ; ~ q 3:=~ t e m p ; ~ \\
& \text { od }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Given four integer variables: q1, q2, q3, and $q 4$, rearrange the values so that $q 1 \leq q 2 \leq q 3 \leq q 4$
- Without guarded iteration, one solution is to put the values into an array, sort the array, and then assigns the value back to the four variables
- While the solution with guarded iteration is not difficult, it requires a good deal of code
- There is considerably increased complexity in the implementation of the guarded commands over their conventional deterministic counterparts

