

Prolog

In Text: Chapter 16

Prolog

- A logic programming language
- Prolog programs consist of collections of statements
- There are only a few kinds of statements in Prolog, but they can be complex
 - Fact statements, rule statements, and goal statements
- All prolog statements are constructed from terms

N. Meng, S. Arthur

2

Fact Statements

- Correspond to **Headless Horn clauses**
- Fact statements are propositions that are assumed to be true, and from which new information can be inferred
- E.g., `female(shelley).`
`female(mary).`
`mother(mary, shelley).`

N. Meng, S. Arthur

3

Rule Statements

- Correspond to **Headed Horn clauses**
- They describe implication rules between propositions, or logical relationship between them: if a set of given conditions are satisfied, what conclusion can be drawn
- The consequent of a statement is a single term, while the antecedent can be either a single term or conjunction

N. Meng, S. Arthur

4

Conjunctions

- The AND operation in conjunctions is implied in Prolog
- The structures that specify atomic propositions in a conjunction are separated by commas
- The commas can be considered as AND operators

N. Meng, S. Arthur

5

Rule Statements

- E.g., `grandparent(X, Z) :- parent(X, Y), parent(Y, Z),`
where X, Y, and Z are universal objects
 - It states that if there are instantiations of X, Y, and Z such that `parent(X, Y)` is true, and `parent(Y, Z)` is true, then for those same instantiations of X, Y, and Z, `grandparent(X, Z)` is true

N. Meng, S. Arthur

6

Goal Statements

- Also correspond to **Headless Horn** clauses
- **Goal statements** are propositions describing the theorem that we want the system to either prove or disprove
 - E.g., `man(fred)`
- Because goal statements and some nongoal statements have the same form, a Prolog implementation must have some means to distinguish between the two

N. Meng, S. Arthur

7

Goal Statement

```
(assert(rainy(seattle))).
(assert(rainy(rochester))).
?- rainy(C).
```

The Prolog interpreter would respond with:

```
C = seattle
Seattle is returned first, because it
comes first in the database
```

N. Meng, S. Arthur

8

Goal Statement

- If we want to find all possible solutions, we can ask the interpreter to continue by typing a semicolon:


```
C = seattle ;
C = rochester.
```

N. Meng, S. Arthur

9

Another Example

```
takes(jane_doe, his201).
takes(jane_doe, cs254).
takes(ajit_chandra, art302).
takes(ajit_chandra, cs254).
classmates(X, Y) :- takes(X, Z),
takes(Y, Z).
```

What does the following query return?

```
?- classmates(jane_doe, X).
```

N. Meng, S. Arthur

10

```
X = jane_doe ;
X = jane_doe;
X = ajit_chandra.
```

How should we modify the rule so that the student is not considered as a classmate of himself or herself?

```
classmates(X, Y) :- takes(X, Z),
takes(Y, Z), X\=Y.
```

N. Meng, S. Arthur

11

- Can we define propositions in the following way?


```
takes(jane doe, his201).
```
- No. The prolog interpreter will complain. Instead, we can define the proposition as below:


```
takes('jane doe', his201).
```

N. Meng, S. Arthur

12

Prolog Programs

- **ASSERT** (define)
 - **FACTS** about **OBJECTS**
 - **RULES** (“**CLAUSES**”) that inter-relate facts
- Ask **QUESTIONS** about objects and their relationship
 - **GOALS**

N. Meng, S. Arthur

13

Some Prolog FACTS

```
| ?- (assert (father (michael, cathy))).
| ?- (assert (father (chuck, michael))).
| ?- (assert (father (chuck, julie))).
| ?- (assert (father (david, chuck))).
| ?- (assert (father (sam, melody))).
| ?- (assert (mother (cathy, melody))).
| ?- (assert (mother (hazel, michael))).
| ?- (assert (mother (hazel, julie))).
| ?- (assert (mother (melody, sandy))).
| ?- (assert (made_of (moon, green_cheese))).
```

N. Meng, S. Arthur

14

Some Prolog RULES

- A person's parent is their mother or father


```
| ?- (assert ((parent(X, Y) :- father(X, Y); mother(X, Y)))).
```
- A person's grandfather is the father of one of their parents


```
| ?- (assert ((grandfather(X, Y) :- father(X, A), parent(A, Y)))).
```

N. Meng, S. Arthur

15

Some Prolog QUESTIONS

- Is chuck the parent of julie ?


```
| ?- parent(chuck, julie).
```
- Is john the father of cathy ?


```
| ?- father(john, cathy).
```

Note:

- **No “assert”s**
- **No use of variables**

N. Meng, S. Arthur

16

Prolog Notes

- **atoms**: symbolic values of Prolog
 - father (**bill**, **mike**)
 - Strings of letters, digits, and underscores starting with a **lower case** letter
- **variable**: unbound entity
 - father (**X**, mike)
 - Strings of letters, digits, and underscores starting with an **UPPER CASE** letter
 - Variables are **not** bound to type by declaration

N. Meng, S. Arthur

17

Prolog Notes

- **FACTS: UNCONDITIONAL ASSERTIONS OF “TRUTH”**
(assert(mother(carol, jim))).
 - assumed to be true
 - contains no variables
 - **stored in database**

N. Meng, S. Arthur

18

Prolog Notes

- **RULES:** ASSERTIONS from which conclusions can be drawn if given conditions are true
 $(\text{assert}((\text{parent}(X, Y) :- \text{father}(X, Y); \text{mother}(X, Y))))$
 – contains variables for **instantiation**
 – also stored in database

N. Meng, S. Arthur 19

An Example

FACTS

- | ?- (assert(color(banana, yellow))).
- | ?- (assert(color(squash, yellow))).
- | ?- (assert(color(apple, green))).
- | ?- (assert(color(peas, green))).

bob eats green colored vegetables

RULE | ?- (assert((eats(bob, X) :- color(X, green), vegetable(X)))).

N. Meng, S. Arthur 20

An Example

$(\text{assert}((\text{eats}(\text{bob}, X) :- \text{color}(X, \text{green}), \text{vegetable}(X))))$

Does bob eat apples ?
 | ?- eats(bob, apple).
 color(apple, green) => match false
 vegetable(apple) => no

Does bob eat squash ?
 | ?- eats(bob, squash).
 color(squash, green) => no false

What does bob eat ?
 | ?- eats(bob, X). therefore X = peas
 color(banana, green) => no
 color(squash, green) => no
 color(apple, green) => yes
 vegetable(apple) => no
 color(peas, green) => yes
 vegetable(peas) => yes

N. Meng, S. Arthur 21

Prolog Notes

INSTANTIATION: binding of a variable to value (and thus, a type)

UNIFICATION: Process of finding an instantiation of a variable for which "match" is found in database of facts and rules

N. Meng, S. Arthur 22

Instantiation & Unification

FACTS

- (assert (color (apple, red))).
- (assert (color (banana, yellow))).

color (X, yellow).

Ask the question (goal):
Does there exist (or, Give me) an X such that X is the color yellow

X = apple color (apple, yellow)
 instantiation no matching pattern

X = banana color (banana, yellow)
 instantiation match

X = banana results in match of goal with database item

N. Meng, S. Arthur 23

Prolog Notes

- **DISJUNCTIVE RULES:** X if Y or Z
 $(\text{assert}((\text{parent}(X, Y) :- \text{father}(X, Y))))$.
 $(\text{assert}((\text{parent}(X, Y) :- \text{mother}(X, Y))))$.

or

$(\text{assert}((\text{parent}(X, Y) :- \text{father}(X, Y); \text{mother}(X, Y))))$.

N. Meng, S. Arthur 24

Prolog Notes

- **CONJUNCTIVE RULES:** X if Y **AND** Z
`(assert((father(X, Y) :- parent(X, Y), male(X))))).`
- **NEGATION RULES:** X if Not Y
`(assert((good(X) :- not(bad(X))))).`
`(assert((mother(X, Y) :- parent(X, Y), not(male(X))))).`

N. Meng, S. Arthur

25

"Older" Example

```
older(george, john).
older(alice, george).
older(john, mary).
older(X, Z) :- older(X, Y), older(Y, Z).
```

N. Meng, S. Arthur

26

- When we ask a query that will result in TRUE, we get the right answer:
`?- older(george, mary).`
`yes`
- When we ask a query that will result in FALSE, we get into an endless loop
`?- older(mary, john).`

N. Meng, S. Arthur

27

Left Recursion Problem

- The first element in older is the predicate that is repeatedly tried
- To solve the problem, remove the older rule and replace with:
`is_older(X, Y) :- older(X, Y).`
`is_older(X, Z) :- older(X, Y), is_older(Y, Z).`
- Now:
`?- is_older(mary, john).`
`false`

N. Meng, S. Arthur

28

Prolog Notes

- Prolog is more than "LOGIC"
 – Math
 – List manipulation

N. Meng, S. Arthur

29

Consult File Format

[x]. or consult(x).

- File x.pl:
`husband(tommy, claudia).`
`husband(mike, effie).`
`mother(claudia, sannon).`
`mother(effie, jamie).`
`father(X, Y) :- mother(W, Y), husband(X, W).`
`parent(X, Y) :- father(X, Y); mother(X, Y).`
- Note: No assert's, but can still state **Facts and Rules**

N. Meng, S. Arthur

30

Consult File

- Cannot state question/goal in a consult file

| ?- consult(x).

N. Meng, S. Arthur

31

Suggested Approach to Specifying Solution

- Use a consult file to define facts and rules
 - Instantiate prolog
 - "consult" file interactively
 - Interactively ask questions to see if facts/ rules yield expected results
 - Change consult as needed
 - Need to reinitiate prolog and re"consult"

N. Meng, S. Arthur

32

Suggested Approach to Specifying Solution

- Construct I/O redirected file to include
 - Consult file and queries, e.g.,
swipl < input.fle
 - You may use ";" to ask "Is there another answer?"
 - The initial query CANNOT have anything on the line after the ".", and
 - There must be a blank line after ";"

```
input.fle
consult(cnstl).
query1.
;
query2.
```

N. Meng, S. Arthur

33

SWI-Prolog: Access & Nuance

- SWI-Prolog on Rlogin is located in the directory:
 - /home/staff/arthur/bin/swipl
- swipl prints output to STDERR (file descriptor 2). To redirect output to a file you must precede ">" with a "2" :
 - swipl < input.fle 2> output.fle

N. Meng, S. Arthur

34

Prolog - Issues/Limitations

- "Closed World"
 - the only truth is that known to the system
- Efficiency
 - theorem proving can be extremely time consuming
- Resolution order control
 - Prolog always starts with left side of a goal, and always searches database from the top. Have some control by choice of order in the propositions and by structuring database.

N. Meng, S. Arthur

35

Prolog - Issues/Limitations

- Prolog uses backward chaining (start with goal and attempt to find sequence of propositions that leads to facts in the database).
- In some cases forward chaining (start with facts in the database and attempt to find a sequence of propositions that leads to the goal) can be more efficient.
- Prolog always searches depth-first, though breadth-first can work better in some cases.

N. Meng, S. Arthur

36

Prolog - Issues/Limitations

- The Negation Problem -- failure to prove is not equivalent to a logical not
 - $\text{not}(\text{not}(\text{some_goal}))$ is not necessarily equivalent to some_goal