

Prolog

- A logic programming language
- Prolog programs consist of collections of statements
- There are only a few kinds of statements in Prolog, but they can be complex
 - Fact statements, rule statements, and goal statements
- All prolog statements are constructed from terms

N. Meng, S. Arthur

1

Fact Statements

- Correspond to **Headless Horn clauses**
- Fact statements are propositions that are assumed to be true, and from which new information can be inferred
- E.g., `female(shelley).`
`female(mary).`
`mother(mary, shelley).`

N. Meng, S. Arthur

2

Rule Statements

- Correspond to **Headed Horn clauses**
- They describe implication rules between propositions, or logical relationship between them: if a set of given conditions are satisfied, what conclusion can be drawn
- The consequent of a statement is a single term, while the antecedent can be either a single term or conjunction

N. Meng, S. Arthur

3

Conjunctions

- The AND operation in conjunctions is implied in Prolog
- The structures that specify atomic propositions in a conjunction are separated by commas
- The commas can be considered as AND operators

N. Meng, S. Arthur

4

Rule Statements

- E.g., $\text{grandparent}(X, Z) \text{ :- } \text{parent}(X, Y), \text{parent}(Y, Z),$
where $X, Y,$ and Z are universal objects
 - It states that if there are instantiations of $X, Y,$ and Z such that $\text{parent}(X, Y)$ is true, and $\text{parent}(Y, Z)$ is true, then for those same instantiations of $X, Y,$ and $Z,$ $\text{grandparent}(X, Z)$ is true

N. Meng, S. Arthur

5

Goal Statements

- Also correspond to **Headless Horn** clauses
- **Goal statements** are propositions describing the theorem that we want the system to either prove or disprove
 - E.g., $\text{man}(\text{fred}).$
- Because goal statements and some nongoal statements have the same form, a Prolog implementation must have some means to distinguish between the two

N. Meng, S. Arthur

6

Goal Statement

```
rainy(seattle).  
rainy(rochester).  
?- rainy(C).
```

The Prolog interpreter would respond with:

```
C = seattle
```

Seattle is returned first, because it comes first in the database

N. Meng, S. Arthur

7

Goal Statement

- If we want to find all possible solutions, we can ask the interpreter to continue by typing a semicolon:

```
C = seattle ;  
C = rochester.
```

N. Meng, S. Arthur

8

Another Example

```
takes(jane_doe, his201).
takes(jane_doe, cs254).
takes(ajit_chandra, art302).
takes(ajit_chandra, cs254).
classmates(X, Y) :- takes(X, Z),
takes(Y, Z).
```

What does the following query return?

```
?- classmates(jane_doe, X).
```

N. Meng, S. Arthur

9

```
X = jane_doe ;
X = jane_doe;
X = ajit_chandra.
```

How should we modify the rule so that the student is not considered as a classmate of himself or herself?

```
classmates(X, Y) :- takes(X, Z),
takes(Y, Z), X\=Y.
```

N. Meng, S. Arthur

10

- Can we define propositions in the following way?
`takes(jane doe, his201).`
- No. The prolog interpreter will complain. Instead, we can define the proposition as below:
`takes('jane doe', his201).`

N. Meng, S. Arthur

11

Prolog Programs

- **ASSERT** (define)
 - FACTS about OBJECTS
 - RULES ("CLAUSES") that inter-relate facts
- Ask QUESTIONS about objects and their relationship
 - GOALS

N. Meng, S. Arthur

12

Some Prolog FACTS

```
| ?- (assert (father (michael, cathy))).
| ?- (assert (father (chuck, michael))).
| ?- (assert (father (chuck, julie))).
| ?- (assert (father (david, chuck))).
| ?- (assert (father (sam, melody))).
| ?- (assert (mother (cathy, melody))).
| ?- (assert (mother (hazel, michael))).
| ?- (assert (mother (hazel, julie))).
| ?- (assert (mother (melody, sandy))).
| ?- (assert (made_of (moon, green_cheese))).
```

N. Meng, S. Arthur

13

Some Prolog RULES

- A person's parent is their mother or father
| ?- (assert ((parent(X, Y) :- father(X, Y); mother (X, Y)))).
- A person's grandfather is the father of one of their parents
| ?- (assert ((grandfather(X, Y) :- father(X, A), parent(A, Y)))).

N. Meng, S. Arthur

14

Some Prolog QUESTIONS

- Is chuck the parent of julie ?
| ?- parent(chuck, julie).
- Is john the father of cathy ?
| ?- father(john, cathy).

Note:

- No “assert”s
- No use of variables

N. Meng, S. Arthur

15

Prolog Notes

- atoms: symbolic values of Prolog
 - father (**bill**, **mike**)
 - Strings of letters, digits, and underscores starting with lower case letter
- variable: unbound entity
 - father (**X**, mike)
 - Strings of letters, digits, and underscores starting with UPPER CASE letter
 - Variables are not bound to type by declaration

N. Meng, S. Arthur

16

Prolog Notes

- FACTS: UNCONDITIONAL ASSERTIONS OF "TRUTH"
(assert(mother(carol, jim))).
 - assumed to be true
 - contains no variables
 - stored in database

N. Meng, S. Arthur

17

Prolog Notes

- RULES: ASSERTIONS from which conclusions can be drawn if given conditions are true
(assert((parent(X, Y) :- father(X, Y); mother(X, Y)))).
 - contains variables for instantiation
 - also stored in database

N. Meng, S. Arthur

18

An Example

FACTS

- | ?- (assert(color(banana, yellow))).
- | ?- (assert(color(squash, yellow))).
- | ?- (assert(color(apple, green))).
- | ?- (assert(color(peas, green))).
- | ?- (assert(vegetable(peas))).
- | ?- (assert(vegetable(squash))).
- | ?- (assert(fruit(apple))).
- | ?- (assert(fruit(banana))).

bob eats green colored vegetables

RULE | ?- (assert((eats(bob, X) :- color(X, green), vegetable(X)))).

N. Meng, S. Arthur

19

An Example

(assert ((eats(bob, X) :-
color(X, green),
vegetable(X)))).

Does bob eat apples ?

| ?- eats(bob, apple).
color(apple, green) => match false
vegetable(apple) => no

Does bob eat squash ?

| ?- eats(bob, squash).
color(squash, green) => no false

What does bob eat ?

| ?- eats(bob, X). therefore X = peas
color(banana, green) => no
color(squash, green) => no
color(apple, green) => yes
vegetable(apple) => no
color(peas, green) => yes
vegetable(peas) => yes

N. Meng, S. Arthur

20