

Scope

- A term with no free variable is said to be closed
- Closed terms are also called combinators
- The simplest combinator is called the identity function:
 $\text{id} = \lambda x. x$

N. Meng, S. Arthur

1

Operational Semantics

- $(\lambda x. t_1)t_2 \rightarrow (x \mapsto t_2)t_1$
 - Evaluate the term t_1 by replacing every occurrence of x with t_2
 - What is the reduction result of $(\lambda x. x) y$?
 - What is the evaluation result of the term $(\lambda x. x)(\lambda x. x)$?
 - All terms of the form $(\lambda x. t_1)t_2$ is called redex (reducible expression)
 - The operation of rewriting a redex according to the above rule is called beta-reduction

N. Meng, S. Arthur

2

An Example of Reduction

- $(\lambda x. x) ((\lambda x. x)(\lambda z. (\lambda x. x) z))$
→ $(\lambda x. x)(\lambda z. (\lambda x. x) z)$
→ $\lambda z. (\lambda x. x) z$

N. Meng, S. Arthur

3

Programming in the Lambda-Calculus

- Multiple arguments
 - Lambda-calculus provides no built-in support for multi-argument functions
 - But we can use higher-order functions to achieve the same effect

N. Meng, S. Arthur

4

Multiple Arguments

- Suppose
 - s is a term involving two free variables x and y
 - We want to write a function f , such that for each pair of arguments (v, w) , f yields the result of substituting v for x , and w for y
 - $f = \lambda x. \lambda y. s$
 - Applying f to (v, w) : $f v w$

N. Meng, S. Arthur

5

Multiple Arguments

- The transformation of multi-argument functions into higher-order functions is called *currying*

N. Meng, S. Arthur

6

Church Booleans

- Define the terms `tru` and `fls` as follows:

$$\text{tru} = \lambda t. \lambda f. t$$

$$\text{fls} = \lambda t. \lambda f. f$$
- The terms **`tru`** and **`fls`** can be viewed as representing the boolean values "true" and "false"
- They can be used to test the truth of a boolean expression

N. Meng, S. Arthur

7

test function

- $\text{test} = \lambda l. \lambda m. \lambda n. l\ m\ n$
- `test` is a combinator

$$\text{test}\ \text{tru}\ v\ w$$

$$= (\lambda l. \lambda m. \lambda n. l\ m\ n)\ \text{tru}\ v\ w$$

$$\rightarrow (\lambda m. \lambda n. \text{tru}\ m\ n)\ v\ w$$

$$\rightarrow (\lambda n. \text{tru}\ v\ n)\ w$$

$$\rightarrow \text{tru}\ v\ w$$

$$= (\lambda t. \lambda f. t)\ v\ w$$

$$\rightarrow (\lambda f. v)\ w$$

$$\rightarrow v$$

N. Meng, S. Arthur

8

test function

- What is the semantic meaning of $\text{test } b \ v \ w$ function?
- $\text{test } b \ v \ w = b \ v \ w$

N. Meng, S. Arthur

9

and function

- $\text{and} = \lambda b. \lambda c. b \ c \ \text{fls}$
- What is the reduction of $\text{and } \text{tru } \text{tru}$?
- What is the reduction of $\text{and } \text{fls } \text{tru}$?
- Can you define logical or function?

N. Meng, S. Arthur

10

pair function

- $\text{pair} = \lambda f. \lambda s. \lambda b. b f s$
- $\text{fst} = \lambda p. p \text{ tru}$
- $\text{snd} = \lambda p. p \text{ fls}$
- That is, $\text{pair } v w$ is a function that, when applied to a boolean value b , this application yields v if b is tru , and w if b is fls
- Therefore, fst and snd can be implemented by supplying the appropriate boolean

N. Meng, S. Arthur

11

pair function

- What is the reduction of $\text{fst} (\text{pair } v w)$?
- $$\begin{aligned} & \text{fst} (\text{pair } v w) \\ = & \text{fst} ((\lambda f. \lambda s. \lambda b. b f s) v w) \\ \rightarrow & \text{fst} ((\lambda s. \lambda b. b v s) w) \\ \rightarrow & \text{fst} (\lambda b. b v w) \\ = & (\lambda p. p \text{ tru}) (\lambda b. b v w) \\ \rightarrow & (\lambda b. b v w) \text{ tru} \\ \rightarrow & \text{tru } v w \\ \rightarrow &^* v \end{aligned}$$

N. Meng, S. Arthur

12

pair function

- What is the reduction of $\text{snd}(\text{pair } v \ w)$?