Logic Design

Goal: to become literate in most common concepts and terminology of digital electronics

Important concepts:

- use abstraction and composition to implement complicated functionality with very simple digital electronics
- keep things as simple, regular, and small as possible

Things we will <u>not</u> explore:

- physics
- chip fabrication
- layout
- tools for chip specification and design

Computer Organization II

Motivation

Consider the external view of addition: $x \longrightarrow Adder$ $y \longrightarrow ???$ Error?

What kind of circuitry would go into the "black box" adder to produce the correct results?

How would it be designed? What modular components might be used?

Basic Logic Gates

Fundamental building blocks of circuits; mirror the standard logical operations:



Note the outputs of the AND and OR gates are commutative with respect to the inputs.

Multi-way versions of the AND and OR gates are commonly assumed in design.

CS@VT

Additional Common Logic Gates

🚺~(A | B)

Out

1

0

0

0





CS@VT

Computer Organization II

Combinational and Sequential Circuits

A *combinational circuit* is one with no "memory". That is, its output depends only upon the current state of its inputs, and not at all on the current state of the circuit itself.

A sequential circuit is one whose output depends not only upon the current state of its inputs, but also on the current state of the circuit itself.

For now, we will consider only combinational circuits.

From Function to Combinational Circuit

Given a simple Boolean function, it is relatively easy to design a circuit composed of the basic logic gates to implement the function: $_{-}$ _

 $z: x \cdot y + x \cdot y$



This circuit implements the *exclusive or* (XOR) function, often represented as a single logic gate:



A Boolean expression is said to be in *sum-of-products form* if it is expressed as a sum of terms, each of which is a product of variables and/or their complements:

 $a \cdot b + \overline{a} \cdot \overline{b}$

It's relatively easy to see that every Boolean expression can be written in this form.

Why?

The summands in the sum-of-products form are called *minterms*.

- each minterm contains each of the variables, or its complement, exactly once
- each minterm is unique, and therefore so is the representation (aside from order)

Digital Logic

- 7

Sum-of-Products Form

Given a truth table for a Boolean function, construction of the sum-of-products representation is trivial:

- for each row in which the function value is 1, form a product term involving all the variables, taking the variable if its value is 1 and the complement if the variable's value is 0
- take the sum of all such product terms



Equivalence

$$F(x, y, z) = \overline{x} \cdot y \cdot z + x \cdot \overline{y} \cdot \overline{z} + x \cdot y \cdot \overline{z} + x \cdot y \cdot z}$$
Given

$$= \overline{x} \cdot y \cdot z + x \cdot \overline{y} \cdot \overline{z} + x \cdot y \cdot \overline{z} + x \cdot y \cdot z + x \cdot y \cdot z + x \cdot y \cdot z$$
Idempotence, twice

$$= (\overline{x} \cdot y \cdot z + x \cdot y \cdot z) + (x \cdot \overline{y} \cdot \overline{z} + x \cdot y \cdot z) + (x \cdot y \cdot \overline{z} + x \cdot y \cdot z)$$
Commutativity, Associativity

$$= (\overline{x} + x) \cdot y \cdot z + (\overline{y} + y) \cdot x \cdot z + (\overline{z} + z) \cdot x \cdot y$$
Commutativity, Distributivity

$$= 1 \cdot y \cdot z + 1 \cdot x \cdot z + 1 \cdot x \cdot y$$
Boundedness

$$= x \cdot y + x \cdot z + y \cdot z$$
Boundedness, Commutativity

$$= G(x, y, z)$$

Computer Organization II

Efficiency of Expression

While the sum-of-products form is arguably natural, it is not necessarily the simplest way form, either in:

- number of gates (space)
- depth of circuit (time)

$$F(x, y, z) = \overline{x} \cdot y \cdot z + x \cdot \overline{y} \cdot z$$
$$+ \overline{x} \cdot \overline{y} \cdot \overline{z} + x \cdot \overline{y} \cdot z$$



$$G(x, y, z) = x \cdot y + y \cdot z + x \cdot z$$



CS@VT

Computer Organization II

1-bit Half Adder

Let's make a 1-bit adder (*half adder*)... we can think of it as a Boolean function with two inputs and the following definition to block



Here's the resulting circuit.

It's equivalent to the XOR circuit seen earlier.

But... in the final row of the truth table above, we've ignored the fact that there's a carry-out bit.



Computer Organization II

Dealing with the Carry

However, the result won't be terribly useful unless we also take into account a carry-in.

Α	В	C _{in}	Sum	C _{out}
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1

The resulting sum-of-products expressions are:

$$Sum = \overline{A} \cdot \overline{B} \cdot C_{in} + \overline{A} \cdot B \cdot \overline{C_{in}} + A \cdot \overline{B} \cdot \overline{C_{in}} + A \cdot B \cdot C_{in}$$

$$\begin{split} C_{out} &= \overline{A} \cdot B \cdot C_{in} + A \cdot \overline{B} \cdot C_{in} + A \cdot B \cdot \overline{C_{in}} + A \cdot B \cdot C_{in} \\ &= \overline{A} \cdot B \cdot C_{in} + A \cdot \overline{B} \cdot C_{in} + A \cdot B \cdot \left(\overline{C_{in}} + C_{in}\right) \\ &= \overline{A} \cdot B \cdot C_{in} + A \cdot \overline{B} \cdot C_{in} + A \cdot B \\ &= A \cdot C_{in} + B \cdot C_{in} + A \cdot B \end{split}$$

Computer Organization II

1-bit Full Adder



Computer Organization II

©2005-2020 WD McQuain

Digital Logic

13

When building more complex circuits, it is useful to consider sub-circuits as individual, "black-box" modules. For example:



Computer Organization II

Chaining a 4-bit Adder



CS@VT

Computer Organization II

Carry-Lookahead Adder

Perhaps surprisingly, it's possible to compute all the carry bits before any sum bits are computed... and that leads to a faster adder design:



Why is this faster than the ripple-carry approach?

CS@VT

Computer Organization II

The answer lies in the concept of *gate latency*.

Each logic gate takes a certain amount of time (usually measured in picoseconds) to stabilize on the correct output... we call that the latency of the gate.

For simplicity, we'll assume in this course that all gates (except inverters) have the same latency, and that inverters are so fast they can be igored.

Then, the idea is that the latency of a circuit can be measured by the maximum number of gates a signal passes through within the circuit... called the *depth* of the circuit.

So, the 1-bit full adder we saw earlier has a depth of 2.

Carry-Lookahead Adder Latency



CS@VT

Computer Organization II

A 4-bit ripple-carry design would have 4 1-bit full adders, and we've seen that each of those has a depth of 2 gates.

But those adders fire sequentially, so running one after the other would entail a total depth of 8 gates.

So, the ripple-carry design would be 1.6 times as "deep" and it's not unreasonable to say it would take about 1.6 times as long to compute the result.

Just <u>how</u> you'd implement the computation of those carry bits is an interesting question...

The following slides illustrate an approach used to improve efficiency, versus the ripple-carry design covered earlier.

These may or not be covered, at the discretion of your instructor.

Carry-Lookahead Logic

Let's look at just how the carry bits depend on the summand bits:

C4	c ₃	c ₂	c_1	C ₀	We will allow for a carry-in i the low-order position (c_0) .
	a_3	a_2	a_1	\mathbf{a}_0	
	b_3	b_2	b_1	\mathbf{b}_{0}	
	s ₃	s ₂	${f s}_1$	\mathbf{s}_0	

It's clear that $c_1 = 1$ if and only if at least two of the bits in the previous column are 1.

Since this relationship holds for every carry bit (except c_0), we have the following general Boolean equation for carry bits:

$$c_{i+1} = a_i \cdot b_i + a_i \cdot c_i + b_i \cdot c_i$$

(Note that • represents AND and + represents OR.)

Now, this relationship doesn't seem to help until we look at it a bit more deeply:

$$c_{i+1} = a_i \cdot b_i + a_i \cdot c_i + b_i \cdot c_i = a_i \cdot b_i + (a_i + b_i) \cdot c_i$$

If we define

$$p_i = a_i + b_i$$

 $g_i = a_i \cdot b_i$

then we get the following relationships:

$$c_1 = g_0 + p_0 \cdot c_0$$

$$c_2 = g_1 + p_1 \cdot c_1 = g_1 + p_1 \cdot (g_0 + p_0 \cdot c_0) = g_1 + p_1 \cdot g_0 + p_1 \cdot p_0 \cdot c_0$$

Now, we can calculate all of the g_i and p_i terms at once, from the bits of the two summands, and c_0 will be given, so we can compute c_1 and c_2 before we actually do the addition!

Carry-Lookahead Logic

Finally, here's how we can calculate c_3 and c_4 :

$$c_{3} = g_{2} + p_{2} \cdot c_{2}$$

$$= g_{2} + p_{2} \cdot (g_{1} + p_{1} \cdot g_{0} + p_{1} \cdot p_{0} \cdot c_{0})$$

$$= g_{2} + p_{2} \cdot g_{1} + p_{2} \cdot p_{1} \cdot g_{0} + p_{2} \cdot p_{1} \cdot p_{0} \cdot c_{0}$$

$$c_{4} = g_{3} + p_{3} \cdot c_{3}$$

$$= g_{3} + p_{3} \cdot (g_{2} + p_{2} \cdot g_{1} + p_{2} \cdot p_{1} \cdot g_{0} + p_{2} \cdot p_{1} \cdot p_{0} \cdot c_{0})$$

$$= g_{3} + p_{3} \cdot g_{2} + p_{3} \cdot p_{2} \cdot g_{1} + p_{3} \cdot p_{2} \cdot p_{1} \cdot g_{0} + p_{3} \cdot p_{2} \cdot p_{1} \cdot p_{0} \cdot c_{0}$$

So, we have the necessary logic to implement the 4-bit Carry Lookahead unit for our 4-bit Carry Lookahead Adder 4-bit Carry Lookahead



CS@VT

Computer Organization II

Carry-Lookahead Logic



Computer Organization II

©2005-2020 WD McQuain

Digital Logic 24

Abstraction

The g_i and p_i bits represent an abstract view of how carry bits are generated and propagate during addition:

generate bit for i-th column

adding the summand bits generates a carryout bit iff both summand bits are 1

$$p_i = a_i + b_i$$

 $g_i = a_i \cdot b_i$

propagate bit for i-th column

if $c_i = 1$ (the carry-out bit <u>from</u> the previous column), there's a carry-out into the next column iff at least one of the summand bits is 1

Abstraction

So, here's why the formulas we've derived make sense intuitively:



CS@VT

Computer Organization II

The following slides illustrate how transistors might be used to implement the logic gates introduced earlier.

These may or not be covered, at the discretion of your instructor.

Aside: Transistors

Transistors are a primary building block for electronic circuits.

1953



"Experimental" Transistor



1959

* By Brews ohare - Own work, CC BY-SA 3.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=18796795

Computer Organization II



CS@VT

Computer Organization II

Aside: Implementing Logic Gates



CS@VT

Computer Organization II

©2005-2020 WD McQuain

Digital Logic 30

Aside: Implementing Logic Gates



Aside: Implementing Logic Gates

