

The examples and discussion in the following slides have been adapted from a variety of sources, including:

Chapter 3 of Computer Systems 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition by Bryant and O'Hallaron  
x86 Assembly/GAS Syntax on WikiBooks

([http://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/X86\\_Assembly/GAS\\_Syntax](http://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/X86_Assembly/GAS_Syntax))

Using Assembly Language in Linux by Phillip ??

(<http://asm.sourceforge.net/articles/linasm.html>)

The C code was compiled to assembly with `gcc` version 4.5.2 on Ubuntu Linux.

Unless noted otherwise, the assembly code was generated using the following command line:

```
gcc -S -m32 -O0 file.c
```

AT&T assembly syntax is used, rather than Intel syntax, since that is what the `gcc` tools use.

The compare instruction facilitates the comparison of operands:

```
    cmpl rightop, leftop
```

The instruction performs a subtraction of its operands, discarding the result.

The instruction sets flags in the *machine status word* register (EFLAGS) that record the results of the comparison:

CF	<i>carry flag</i> ;	indicates overflow for unsigned operations
OF	<i>overflow flag</i> ;	indicates operation caused 2's complement overflow
SF	<i>sign flag</i> ;	indicates operation resulted in a negative value
ZF	<i>zero flag</i> ;	indicates operation resulted in zero

For our purposes, we will most commonly check these codes by using the various jump instructions.

The conditional jump instructions check the relevant EFLAGS flags and jump to the instruction that corresponds to the label if the flag is set:

```
                                # make jump if last result was:
je    label                    # zero
jne   label                    # nonzero
js    label                    # negative
jns   label                    # nonnegative
jg    label                    # positive (signed >)
jge   label                    # nonnegative (signed >=)
jl    label                    # negative (signed <)
jle   label                    # nonnegative (signed <=)
ja    label                    # above (unsigned >)
jae   label                    # above or equal (unsigned >=)
jb    label                    # below (unsigned <)
jbe   label                    # below or equal (unsigned <=).
```

```

. . .
int y = 5;

if ( x >= 0 ) {
    y++;
}
. . .

```

```

. . .
movl    $5, -4(%ebp)    # y = 5

cmpl    $0, 8(%ebp)    # compare x to 0

js      .L2             # goto .L2 if negative

addl    $1, -4(%ebp)    # y++

.L2:
. . .

```

```
gcc -S -m32 -O0 if.c
```

```
. . .  
int y = 5;  
  
if ( x >= 0 )  
    y++;  
else  
    y--;  
. . .
```

```
. . .  
movl    $5, -4(%ebp)    # y = 5  
cmpl    $0, 8(%ebp)    # compare x to 0  
js      .L2            # goto .L2 if negative  
addl    $1, -4(%ebp)    # y++  
jmp     .L3            # goto .L3 after y++  
.L2:  
    subl    $1, -4(%ebp)    # y--  
.L3:  
. . .
```

```
gcc -S -m32 -O0 ifelse.c
```

```
    . . .
    movl    $0, -4(%ebp)    # y = 0

.L2:
    addl    $1, -4(%ebp)    # y++

    subl    $1, 8(%ebp)     # x--

    cmpl    $0, 8(%ebp)    # compare x to 0

    jg     .L2              # goto .L2 if positive

    . . .
```

```
gcc -S -m32 -O0 dowhile.c
```

```
    . . .
    int y = 0;

    do {
        y++;
        x--;
    } while ( x > 0);

    . . .
```

Note that the compiler translated the C while loop to a logically-equivalent do-while loop.

```
. . .
int y = 0;

while ( x > 0 ) {
    y++;
    x--;
}
. . .
```

```
. . .
movl    $0, -4(%ebp)    # y = 0
jmp     .L2             # goto compare x
                        #   entry test

.L3:
addl    $1, -4(%ebp)    # y++
subl    $1, 8(%ebp)     # x--

.L2:
cmpl    $0, 8(%ebp)     # compare x to 0
jg      .L3             # goto loop entry if positive

. . .
```

```
gcc -S -m32 -O0 while.c
```

Let's consider a short assembly function:

```
    . . .  
f:  
    pushl   %ebp  
    movl    %esp, %ebp  
    subl    $16, %esp  
    movl    $1, -4(%ebp)  
    movl    $2, -8(%ebp)  
    jmp     .L2  
  
.L3:  
    movl    -4(%ebp), %eax  
    imull   -8(%ebp), %eax  
    movl    %eax, -4(%ebp)  
    addl    $1, -8(%ebp)  
  
.L2:  
    movl    -8(%ebp), %eax  
    cmpl    8(%ebp), %eax  
    jle     .L3  
    movl    -4(%ebp), %eax  
    leave  
    ret  
    . . .
```

This is stack setup code; the compiler creates this; it is not represented in C.

We're going to reconstruct an equivalent function in C.

The first step will be to identify the things that do not translate to C...

This is cleanup and return code; it corresponds to a return statement in C.



The next step will be to identify variables...

```
    . . .
f:
    . . .
    movl    $1, -4(%ebp)
    movl    $2, -8(%ebp)
    jmp     .L2
.L3:
    movl    -4(%ebp), %eax
    imull   -8(%ebp), %eax
    movl    %eax, -4(%ebp)
    addl    $1, -8(%ebp)
.L2:
    movl    -8(%ebp), %eax
    cmpl   8(%ebp), %eax
    jle    .L3
    movl    -4(%ebp), %eax
    . . .
```

We're going to reconstruct an equivalent function in C.

The next step will be to identify variables...

Variables will be indicated by memory accesses.

Filtering out repeat accesses yields these assembly statements:

```
f:      . . .  
      movl    $1, -4(%ebp)  
      movl    $2, -8(%ebp)  
      . . .  
      cmpl   8(%ebp), %eax  
      . . .
```

There's an access to a variable on the stack at `ebp-4`; this must be a local (auto) variable. Let's call it `Local1`

There's another access to a variable on the stack at `ebp-8`; this must also be a local (auto) variable. Let's call it `Local2`.

There's an access to a variable on the stack at `ebp+8`; this must be a parameter passed to the function. Let's call it `Param1`.

Now we'll assume the variables are all C `ints`, and considering that the first two accesses are initialization statements, so far we can say the function in question looks like:

```
f(int Param1) {  
    int Local1 = 1;  
    int Local2 = 2;  
    . . .  
}
```

And another clue is the statement that stores the value of the variable we're calling `Local1` into the register `eax` right before the function returns.

That indicates what's returned and the return type:

```
int f(int Param1) {  
    int Local1 = 1;  
    int Local2 = 2;  
    . . .  
    return Local1;  
}
```

Now, there are two jump statements, a comparison statement, and two labels, all of which indicate the presence of a loop...

```
f:      . . .  
      jmp     .L2  
.L3:   . . .  
.L2:   . . .  
      movl   -8(%ebp), %eax  
      cmpl   8(%ebp), %eax  
      jle   .L3  
. . .
```

The first jump is unconditional... that looks like a C goto.

So, this skips the loop body the first time through...

The comparison is using the parameter we're calling Param1 (first argument) and we see that the register `eax` is holding the value of the variable we're calling Local2 (second argument).

Moreover, the conditional jump statement that follows the comparison causes a jump back to the label at the top of the loop, if `Local2 <= Param1`.

What we've just discovered is that there is a while loop:

```
f:      . . .  
      . . .  
      jmp     .L2  
.L3:   . . .  
.L2:   . . .  
      movl   -8(%ebp), %eax  
      cmpl   8(%ebp), %eax  
      jle    .L3  
. . .
```

```
int f(int Param1) {  
    int Local1 = 1;  
    int Local2 = 2;  
    . . .  
    while (Local2 <= Param1) {  
        . . .  
    }  
    . . .  
    return Local1;  
}
```

The final step is to construct the body of the loop, and make sure we haven't missed anything else...

Here's what's left, including the loop boundaries for clarity:

```
f:      . . .
      . . .
      jmp     .L2
.L3:
      movl   -4(%ebp), %eax
      imull  -8(%ebp), %eax
      movl   %eax, -4(%ebp)
      addl   $1, -8(%ebp)
.L2:
      movl   -8(%ebp), %eax

      cmpl  8(%ebp), %eax
      jle   .L3
      . . .
```

**eax = Local1**

**eax = Local1 \* Local2**

**Local1 = eax = Local1 \* Local2**

**Local2 = Local2 + 1**

And that will do it...

Here's our function:

```
int f(int Param1) {  
  
    int Local1 = 1;  
    int Local2 = 2;  
  
    while (Local2 <= Param1) {  
        Local1 = Local1 * Local2;  
        Local2++;  
    }  
  
    return Local1;  
}
```

So, what is it computing... really?