



xkcd.com

1. [18 points] Perform the indicated conversions. You must show work in order to receive credit!

a) Convert from unsigned form to base-10: 1010 0101

This is a straight base-2 representation, so it's $2^0 + 2^2 + 2^5 + 2^7 = 1 + 4 + 32 + 128 = 165$.

b) Convert from 8-bit 2's complement (i.e., signed) form to base-10: 1001 0111

This is a negative value (high-order bit is 1). The corresponding positive value is 0110 1001 (flip the bits and add 1).

That represents $2^0 + 2^3 + 2^5 + 2^6 = 1 + 8 + 32 + 64 = 105$.

So the original bit-sequence is equivalent to the base-10 representation -105.

c) Convert from base-10 to 8-bit unsigned binary: 29

Successively divide by 2 and record remainders:

2	29	
	14	1
	7	0
	3	1
	1	1
	0	1

So, in base-2 this is represented as 11101.

2. Recall that C supports both signed and unsigned integer types. For example, in C we have the signed type `int16_t` and the unsigned type `uint16_t`.
- a) [8 points] What is the difference between the way an `int16_t` value and a `uint16_t` value would be represented in memory? Be precise.

Signed integer values, like `int16_t`, are represented in 2's complement.

Unsigned integer values, like `uint16_t`, are represented in pure base-2.

Both types would be represented using 16 bits.

- b) [8 points] Briefly describe a programming situation in which having the `uint16_t` type available would lead to a better solution than if you only had the signed integer types available, and explain why.

The key difference is that `uint16_t` variables can store substantially larger values than `int16_t` variables; both types have the same size range, but the maximum for unsigned types is larger.

So, any scenario in which we need to represent only non-negative values, but the largest ones will exceed the maximum for an `int16_t` type, would illustrate the point.

One example would be in storing scores for a game (if the maximum likely score was no larger than 65000 or so).

Another example would be in numbering records for a small club or school; negative values would be irrelevant, and the upper limit for the `uint16_t` might be sufficient to handle records for a considerable length of time.

3. [10 points] Circle the strings below that would match the following regular expression:

$(abc) + . [0-9] ? (z | x | f)$

a) abcKt

b) abcH8z

c) %6x

d) abc.2x

e) abc12f

4. [14 points] Formulate a `grep` regular expression that will match a small subset of possible web addresses. The regular expression should match all, and only, those strings described below. A web address must satisfy the following conditions:

- The web address may or may not start with "http://"
- Immediately after the "http://" the string may or may not continue with "www."
- If the string does not contain "http://" the string may or may not start with "www."
- The web address must contain a domain name, after "http://" and "www." if they exist, which in this case only consists of one or more letters and numbers
- The web address must end with either ".com", ".org", or ".net"

So for example this regular expression should match:

```
http://www.facebook.com
http://www.example1.net
http://slashdot.org
www.google.com
google.com
www.npr.org
```

We have `http://`, or not: $(http://) ?$

We have `www.`, or not: $(www.) ?$

We have a nonempty, alphanumeric domain name: $[a-zA-z0-9] +$

We have a domain category: $(.com | .org | .net)$

So, this will do it:

$(http://) ? (www.) ? [a-zA-z0-9] + (.com | .org | .net)$

5. [12 points] Formulate a `grep` regular expression that would match all, and only, three-digit integers between 200 and 345. So, for example, it would match 243 and 322 but not 364.

$2 [0-9] \{2\}$ matches 200 - 199

$3 [0-3] [0-9]$ matches 300 - 339

$34 [0-5]$ matches 340-345

So, this will do it:

$2 [0-9] \{2\} | 3 [0-3] [0-9] | 34 [0-5]$

6. [16 points] Write an implementation of the C function that is specified below. Pay attention to the pre- and post-conditions, and the specified return value.

```
// Pre:
//      A[] contains AUsage values
// Post:
//      Barring an error, each element of A[] has replaced its
//      immediate successor, IF it divided its successor
//      evenly.
// Returns:
//      The number of elements that were replaced.
//
int Q6(int A[], int AUsage);
```

For example, given the arrays below, the function should respond as indicated:

A = {3, 9, 9, 2, 6, 12} → A = {3, 3, 9, 2, 2, 6} and returns 4

Here's one solution:

```
int Q6(int A[], int AUsage) {
    int numReplaced = 0; // number of replacements that have been made

    // Trivial array traversal, EXCEPT that you must avoid looking for
    // a successor to the last element in the array, and you don't want
    // "propagate" changes upward:
    //
    for (int idx = AUsage - 1; idx > 0; idx--) {
        // Subtlety: you have to avoid dividing by zero:
        if ( A[idx - 1] != 0 && A[idx] % A[idx - 1] == 0 ) {
            A[idx] = A[idx - 1];
            numReplaced++;
        }
    }

    return numReplaced;
}
```

You can also do an "upward" traversal of the array, starting at index 0, but you have to use a temporary variable to hold the "old" value of the successor so you use that when you take your next step.

7. Consider the following short C function. The given code, with appropriate include directives, compiles with no errors or warnings.

```
// Copies the contents of the array A[] into the arrays B[] and C[],
// alternating the elements.
//
// Example:
//
//      A[]: 1  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  9 11 12 -->
//
//      B[]: 1  4  6  8  9 12
//      C[]: 3  5  7  9 11
//
// Pre:      A[] contains ASZ elements in non-descending order.
//           B[] is large enough to hold ASZ/2 + 1 elements.
//           C[] is large enough to hold ASZ/2 + 1 elements.
// Post:     A[] is unchanged.
//           B[] and C[] contain alternating elements of A[],
//           as shown above.
//
void Q7(int32_t A[], uint32_t ASZ, int32_t B[], int32_t C[]) {

    uint32_t Apos = 0, Bpos = 0, Cpos = 0;

    while ( Apos < ASZ ) {          // walk A[] and copy...

        B[Bpos] = A[Apos];
        C[Cpos] = A[Apos + 1];

        Apos = Apos + 2;
        Bpos++;
        Cpos++;
    }
}
```

- a) [6 points] The implementer of the C function shown above has assumed something that is not guaranteed by the preconditions. What is that?

The implementation copies pairs of elements from A[] into B[] and C[].

That only makes sense if the number of elements in A[], ASZ, is even.

There were lots of incorrect answers here, including (but not limited to):

- **A[] might be too large for its size to be specified by a uint32_t value; if so, you've got bigger problems, since an array that large will require 16GB of memory.**
- **One of the parameters passed to the function might be of the wrong type; e.g., the actual parameter corresponding to ASZ might be a signed int; if so, you've got the "caller is an idiot" error, but that will not automatically cause an error, since the caller's value will simply be mapped into the range of a uint32_t when it's passed.**
- **B[] or C[] might not be empty; nothing in the function specification says that any previous contents are supposed to be preserved, in fact the second post-condition and the example should make it clear that any previous contents will be over-written.**
- **The implementation assumes the values in A[] are in ascending order, not non-descending order; that's simply false, although the example is in ascending order.**

- b) [8 points] Assuming all of the given preconditions are satisfied, how might this assumption affect the execution of the given function?

The preconditions say nothing about whether **ASZ** is even or odd; if **ASZ** is odd, then on the last pass through the loop, the code will attempt to access a memory location just past the end of the array.

The actual effect at runtime depends on ownership of that memory location; but, if there's not a runtime error, then the code will copy an unknown value into **C []**.

The common issue here was that three things need to be said:

- an array-out-of-bounds error will occur if **ASZ** is odd
- that could lead to a segmentation fault at runtime
- if not, it will lead to the copying of a value into **C[]** that should not go there

And remember, there is no such thing as automatic bounds checking for arrays in **C**, nor is there any such thing as an "array index out of bounds" exception (or any other exceptions) in **C**.