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## Divide and Conquer Algorithms

- Study three divide and conquer algorithms:
- Counting inversions.
- Finding the closest pair of points.
- Integer multiplication.
- First two problems use clever conquer strategies.
- Third problem uses a clever divide strategy.


## Motivation

- Collaborative filtering: match one user's preferences to those of other users.
- Meta-search engines: merge results of multiple search engines to into a better search result.


## Motivation

- Collaborative filtering: match one user's preferences to those of other users.
- Meta-search engines: merge results of multiple search engines to into a better search result.
- Fundamental question: how do we compare a pair of rankings?


## Motivation

- Collaborative filtering: match one user's preferences to those of other users.
- Meta-search engines: merge results of multiple search engines to into a better search result.
- Fundamental question: how do we compare a pair of rankings?
- Suggestion: two rankings are very similar if they have few inversions.


## Motivation

- Collaborative filtering: match one user's preferences to those of other users.
- Meta-search engines: merge results of multiple search engines to into a better search result.
- Fundamental question: how do we compare a pair of rankings?
- Suggestion: two rankings are very similar if they have few inversions.
- Assume one ranking is the ordered list of integers from 1 to $n$.
- The other ranking is a permutation $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ of the integers from 1 to $n$.
- The second ranking has an inversion if there exist $i, j$ such that $i<j$ but $a_{i}>a_{j}$.
- The number of inversions $s$ is a measure of the difference between the rankings.
- Question also arises in statistics: Kendall's rank correlation of two lists of numbers is $1-2 s /(n(n-1))$.


## Counting Inversions

Count Inversions
INSTANCE: A list $L=x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of distinct integers between
1 and $n$.
SOLUTION: The number of pairs $(i, j), 1 \leq i<j \leq n$ such
$a_{i}>a_{j}$.

## Counting Inversions

Count Inversions
INSTANCE: A list $L=x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of distinct integers between
1 and $n$.
SOLUTION: The number of pairs $(i, j), 1 \leq i<j \leq n$ such
$a_{i}>a_{j}$.


Figure 5.4 Counting the number of inversions in the sequence $2,4,1,3,5$. Each crossing pair of line segments corresponds to one pair that is in the opposite order in the input list and the ascending list-in other words, an inversion.
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## Counting Inversions: Algorithm

- How many inversions can be there in a list of $n$ numbers? $\Omega\left(n^{2}\right)$. We cannot afford to compute each inversion explicitly.
- Sorting removes all inversions in $O(n \log n)$ time. Can we modify the Mergesort algorithm to count inversions?
- Candidate algorithm:

1. Partition $L$ into two lists $A$ and $B$ of size $n / 2$ each.
2. Recursively count the number of inversions in $A$.
3. Recursively count the number of inversions in $B$.
4. Count the number of inversions involving one element in $A$ and one element in $B$.
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- Given lists $A=a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}$ and $B=b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots b_{m}$, compute the number of pairs $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ such $a_{i}>b_{j}$.
- Key idea: problem is much easier if $A$ and $B$ are sorted!
- Merge-and-Count procedure:

Maintain a current pointer for each list.
Maintain a variable count initialised to 0 .
Initialise each pointer to the front of the list.
While both lists are nonempty:
Let $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ be the elements pointed to by the current pointers.
Append the smaller of the two to the output list.
If $b_{j}$ is the smaller, increment count by the number of elements remaining in $A$.
Advance the current pointer in the list that the smaller element belonged to.
EndWhile
Append the rest of the non-empty list to the output.
Return count and the merged list.

## Counting Inversions: Conquer Step

- Given lists $A=a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}$ and $B=b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots b_{m}$, compute the number of pairs $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ such $a_{i}>b_{j}$.
- Key idea: problem is much easier if $A$ and $B$ are sorted!
- Merge-and-Count procedure:

Maintain a current pointer for each list.
Maintain a variable count initialised to 0 .
Initialise each pointer to the front of the list.
While both lists are nonempty:
Let $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ be the elements pointed to by the current pointers. Append the smaller of the two to the output list. If $b_{j}$ is the smaller, increment count by the number of elements remaining in $A$.
Advance the current pointer in the list that the smaller element belonged to.
EndWhile
Append the rest of the non-empty list to the output.
Return count and the merged list.

- Running time of this algorithm is $O(m)$.


## Counting Inversions: Final Algorithm

```
Sort-and-Count(L)
    If the list has one element then
    there are no inversions
    Else
        Divide the list into two halves:
        A contains the first \lceiln/2\rceil elements
        B contains the remaining \lfloorn/2\rfloor elements
        (rA,A) = Sort-and-Count (A)
        (r, B) = Sort-and-Count (B)
        (r,L) = Merge-and-Count (A,B)
```

    Endif
    Return \(r=r_{A}+r_{B}+r\), and the sorted list \(L\)
    
## Counting Inversions: Final Algorithm

```
Sort-and-Count(L)
    If the list has one element then
    there are no inversions
    Else
        Divide the list into two halves:
        A contains the first \lceiln/2\rceil elements
        B contains the remaining \lfloorn/2\rfloor elements
        (rA,A) = Sort-and-Count (A)
        (r, B) = Sort-and-Count(B)
        (r,L) = Merge-and-Count (A,B)
```

    Endif
    Return \(r=r_{A}+r_{B}+r\), and the sorted list \(L\)
    - Running time $T(n)$ of the algorithm is $O(n \log n)$ because $T(n) \leq 2 T(n / 2)+O(n)$.
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- Algorithms for geometric objects: points, lines, segments, triangles, spheres, polyhedra, Idots.
- Started in 1975 by Shamos and Hoey.
- Problems studied have applications in a vast number of fields: ecology, molecular biology, statistics, computational finance, computer graphics, computer vision, ...

Closest Pair of Points
INSTANCE: A set $P$ of $n$ points in the plane
SOLUTION: The pair of points in $P$ that are the closest to each other.

- At first glance, it seems any algorithm must take $\Omega\left(n^{2}\right)$ time.
- Shamos and Hoey figured out an ingenious $O(n \log n)$ divide and conquer algorithm.


## Closest Pair: Set-up

- Let $P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ with $p_{i}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$.
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- Let $P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ with $p_{i}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$.
- Use $d\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)$ to denote the Euclidean distance between $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$.
- Goal: find the pair of points $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$ that minimise $d\left(p_{i}, p_{j}\right)$.
- How do we solve the problem in 1D? Sort: closest pair must be adjacent in the sorted order.
- The idea does not work in 2D.
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1. Divide $P$ into two sets $Q$ and $R$ of $n / 2$ points such that each point in $Q$ has $x$-coordinate less than any point in $R$.
2. Recursively compute closest pair in $Q$ and in $R$, respectively.
3. Let $\delta_{1}$ be the distance computed for $Q, \delta_{2}$ be the distance computed for $R$, and $\delta=\min \left(\delta_{1}, \delta 2\right)$.
4. Compute pair $(q, r)$ of points such that $q \in Q, r \in R, d(q, r)<\delta$ and $d(q, r)$ is the smallest possible.

- How do we implement this step in $O(n)$ time?
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Figure 5.6 The first level of recursion: The point set $P$ is divided evenly into $Q$ and $R$ by the line $L$, and the closest pair is found on each side recursively.
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Figure 5.6 The first level of recursion: The point set $P$ is divided evenly into $Q$ and $R$ by the line $L$, and the closest pair is found on each side recursively.

- Line $L$ passes through right-most point in $Q$.
- Claim: If there exist $q \in Q, r \in R$ such that $d(q, r)<\delta$, then $q$ and $r$ are both within distance $\delta$ of $L$.
- Let $S$ be the set of points within distance $\delta$ of $L$ and let $S_{y}$ denote these points sorted by increasing $y$-coordinate.
- Claim: There exist $q \in Q, r \in R$ such that $d(q, r)<\delta$ if and only if there exist $s, s^{\prime} \in S$ such that $d\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)<\delta$.
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## Closest Pair: Packing Argument

- Intuition: if there are "too many" points in $S$ that are closer than $\delta$ to each other, then there must be a pair in $Q$ or in $R$ that are less than $\delta$ apart.
- Claim: If there exist $s, s^{\prime} \in S$ such that $d\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)<\delta$ then $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ are at most 15 indices apart in $S_{y}$.
- For a point $s \in S$, let $s_{y}$ denote its $y$-coordinate.
- Converse of the claim: If there exist $s, s^{\prime} \in S$ such that $s^{\prime}$ appears 16 or more indices after $s$ in $S_{y}$, then $s_{y}^{\prime}-s_{y} \geq \delta$.
- Idea behind the proof: pack the plane with squares, argue that each square contains at most one point.


Figure 5.7 The portion of the plane close to the dividing line $L$, as analyzed in the proof of (5.10).

## Closest Pair: Final Algorithm

```
Closest-Pair(P)
    Construct }\mp@subsup{P}{x}{}\mathrm{ and }\mp@subsup{P}{y}{}\quad(O(n\operatorname{log}n) time
    (P
```

Closest-Pair-Rec $\left(P_{x}, P_{y}\right)$
If $|P| \leq 3$ then
find closest pair by measuring all pairwise distances
Endif
Construct $Q_{x}, Q_{y}, R_{x}, R_{y}(O(n)$ time)
$\left(q_{0}^{*}, q_{1}^{*}\right)=$ Closest-Pair-Rec $\left(Q_{x}, Q_{y}\right)$
$\left(r_{0}^{*}, r_{1}^{*}\right)=$ Closest-Pair-Rec $\left(R_{r}, R_{v}\right)$
$\delta=\min \left(d\left(q_{0}^{*}, q_{1}^{*}\right), d\left(r_{0}^{*}, r_{1}^{*}\right)\right)$
$x^{*}=$ maximum $x$-coordinate of a point in set $Q$
$L=\left\{(x, y): x=x^{*}\right\}$
$S=$ points in $P$ within distance $\delta$ of $L$.

Construct $S_{y}$ ( $O(n)$ time)
For each point $s \in S_{y}$, compute distance from $s$
to each of next 15 points in $S_{y}$
Let $s, s^{\prime}$ be pair achieving minimum of these distances ( $O(n)$ time)

If $d\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)<\delta$ then
Return ( $s, s^{\prime}$ )
Else if $d\left(q_{0}^{*}, q_{1}^{*}\right)<d\left(r_{0}^{*}, r_{1}^{*}\right)$ then Return $\left(q_{0}^{*}, q_{1}^{*}\right)$
Else
Return ( $r_{0}^{*}, r_{1}^{*}$ )
Endif
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$\delta=\min \left(d\left(q_{0}^{*}, q_{1}^{*}\right), d\left(r_{0}^{*}, r_{1}^{*}\right)\right)$
$x^{*}=$ maximum $x$-coordinate of a point in set $Q$
$L=\left\{(x, y): x=x^{*}\right\}$
$S=$ points in $P$ within distance $\delta$ of $L$.

Construct $S_{y}$ ( $O(n)$ time)
For each point $s \in S_{y}$, compute distance from $s$
to each of next 15 points in $S_{y}$
Let $s, s^{\prime}$ be pair achieving minimum of these distances
( $O(n)$ time)

If $d\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)<\delta$ then
Return ( $s, s^{\prime}$ )
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## Integer Multiplication

Multiply Integers
INSTANCE: Two $n$-digit binary integers $x$ and $y$ SOLUTION: The product xy

- Multiply two $n$-digit integers.
- Result has at most $2 n$ digits.
- Algorithm we learnt in school takes

|  | 1100 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\times 1101$ |
| 12 | 0000 |
| $\times 13$ |  |
| 36 | 1100 |
| $\frac{12}{156}$ | $\frac{1100}{10011100}$ |
| (a) | (b) |

Figure 5.8 The elementary-school algorithm for multiplying two integers, in (a) decimal and (b) binary representation.

## Integer Multiplication

Multiply Integers
INSTANCE: Two $n$-digit binary integers $x$ and $y$ SOLUTION: The product $x y$

- Multiply two $n$-digit integers.
- Result has at most $2 n$ digits.
- Algorithm we learnt in school takes $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ operations. Size of the input is not 2 but $2 n$,

|  | 1100 <br> $\times 1101$ <br> 12 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1100 |  |
| $\times 13$ |  |
| 36 | 0000 |
| $\frac{12}{156}$ | $\frac{1100}{10011100}$ |

(a)
(b)

Figure 5.8 The elementary-school algorithm for multiplying two integers, in (a) decimal and (b) binary representation.
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- Assume integers are binary.
- Let us use divide and conquer by splitting each number into first $n / 2$ bits and last $n / 2$ bits.
- Let $x$ be split into $x_{0}$ (lower-order bits) and $x_{1}$ (higher-order bits) and $y$ into $y_{0}$ (lower-order bits) and $y_{1}$ (higher-order bits).
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## Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm

- Assume integers are binary.
- Let us use divide and conquer by splitting each number into first $n / 2$ bits and last $n / 2$ bits.
- Let $x$ be split into $x_{0}$ (lower-order bits) and $x_{1}$ (higher-order bits) and $y$ into $y_{0}$ (lower-order bits) and $y_{1}$ (higher-order bits).

$$
\begin{aligned}
x y & =\left(x_{1} 2^{n / 2}+x_{0}\right)\left(y_{1} 2^{n / 2}+y_{0}\right) \\
& =x_{1} y_{1} 2^{n}+\left(x_{1} y_{0}+x_{0} y_{1}\right) 2^{n / 2}+x_{0} y_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm

- Assume integers are binary.
- Let us use divide and conquer by splitting each number into first $n / 2$ bits and last $n / 2$ bits.
- Let $x$ be split into $x_{0}$ (lower-order bits) and $x_{1}$ (higher-order bits) and $y$ into $y_{0}$ (lower-order bits) and $y_{1}$ (higher-order bits).

$$
\begin{aligned}
x y & =\left(x_{1} 2^{n / 2}+x_{0}\right)\left(y_{1} 2^{n / 2}+y_{0}\right) \\
& =x_{1} y_{1} 2^{n}+\left(x_{1} y_{0}+x_{0} y_{1}\right) 2^{n / 2}+x_{0} y_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Each of $x_{1}, x_{0}, y_{1}, y_{0}$ has $n / 2$ bits, so we can compute $x_{1} y_{1}, x_{1} y_{0}$, $x_{0} y_{1}$, and $x_{0} y_{0}$ recursively, and merge the answers in $O(n)$ time.


## Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm

- Assume integers are binary.
- Let us use divide and conquer by splitting each number into first $n / 2$ bits and last $n / 2$ bits.
- Let $x$ be split into $x_{0}$ (lower-order bits) and $x_{1}$ (higher-order bits) and $y$ into $y_{0}$ (lower-order bits) and $y_{1}$ (higher-order bits).

$$
\begin{aligned}
x y & =\left(x_{1} 2^{n / 2}+x_{0}\right)\left(y_{1} 2^{n / 2}+y_{0}\right) \\
& =x_{1} y_{1} 2^{n}+\left(x_{1} y_{0}+x_{0} y_{1}\right) 2^{n / 2}+x_{0} y_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Each of $x_{1}, x_{0}, y_{1}, y_{0}$ has $n / 2$ bits, so we can compute $x_{1} y_{1}, x_{1} y_{0}$, $x_{0} y_{1}$, and $x_{0} y_{0}$ recursively, and merge the answers in $O(n)$ time.
- What is the running time $T(n)$ ?


## Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm

- Assume integers are binary.
- Let us use divide and conquer by splitting each number into first $n / 2$ bits and last $n / 2$ bits.
- Let $x$ be split into $x_{0}$ (lower-order bits) and $x_{1}$ (higher-order bits) and $y$ into $y_{0}$ (lower-order bits) and $y_{1}$ (higher-order bits).

$$
\begin{aligned}
x y & =\left(x_{1} 2^{n / 2}+x_{0}\right)\left(y_{1} 2^{n / 2}+y_{0}\right) \\
& =x_{1} y_{1} 2^{n}+\left(x_{1} y_{0}+x_{0} y_{1}\right) 2^{n / 2}+x_{0} y_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Each of $x_{1}, x_{0}, y_{1}, y_{0}$ has $n / 2$ bits, so we can compute $x_{1} y_{1}, x_{1} y_{0}$, $x_{0} y_{1}$, and $x_{0} y_{0}$ recursively, and merge the answers in $O(n)$ time.
- What is the running time $T(n)$ ?

$$
T(n) \leq 4 T(n / 2)+c n
$$

## Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm

- Assume integers are binary.
- Let us use divide and conquer by splitting each number into first $n / 2$ bits and last $n / 2$ bits.
- Let $x$ be split into $x_{0}$ (lower-order bits) and $x_{1}$ (higher-order bits) and $y$ into $y_{0}$ (lower-order bits) and $y_{1}$ (higher-order bits).

$$
\begin{aligned}
x y & =\left(x_{1} 2^{n / 2}+x_{0}\right)\left(y_{1} 2^{n / 2}+y_{0}\right) \\
& =x_{1} y_{1} 2^{n}+\left(x_{1} y_{0}+x_{0} y_{1}\right) 2^{n / 2}+x_{0} y_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Each of $x_{1}, x_{0}, y_{1}, y_{0}$ has $n / 2$ bits, so we can compute $x_{1} y_{1}, x_{1} y_{0}$, $x_{0} y_{1}$, and $x_{0} y_{0}$ recursively, and merge the answers in $O(n)$ time.
- What is the running time $T(n)$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & \leq 4 T(n / 2)+c n \\
& \leq O\left(n^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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- Four sub-problems lead to an $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ algorithm.
- How can we reduce the number of sub-problems?
- We do not need to compute $x_{1} y_{0}$ and $x_{0} y_{1}$ independently; we just need their sum.
- $x_{1} y_{1}+\left(x_{1} y_{0}+x_{0} y_{1}\right)+x_{0} y_{0}=\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right)\left(y_{0}+y_{1}\right)$
- Compute $x_{1} y_{1}, x_{0} y_{0}$ and $\left(x_{0}+x_{1}\right)\left(y_{0}+y_{1}\right)$ recursively and then compute $\left(x_{1} y_{0}+x_{0} y_{1}\right)$ by subtraction.
- We have three sub-problems of size $n / 2$.
- What is the running time $T(n)$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & \leq 3 T(n / 2)+c n \\
& \leq O\left(n^{\log _{2} 3}\right)=O\left(n^{1.59}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Final Algorithm

```
Recursive-Multiply(x,y):
    Write \(x=x_{1} \cdot 2^{n / 2}+x_{0}\)
        \(y=y_{1} \cdot 2^{n / 2}+y_{0}\)
    Compute \(x_{1}+x_{0}\) and \(y_{1}+y_{0}\)
    \(p=\) Recursive-Multiply \(\left(x_{1}+x_{0}, \quad y_{1}+y_{0}\right)\)
    \(x_{1} y_{1}=\) Recursive-Multiply \(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\)
    \(x_{0} y_{0}=\operatorname{Recursive-Multiply}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\)
    Return \(x_{1} y_{1} \cdot 2^{n}+\left(p-x_{1} y_{1}-x_{0} y_{0}\right) \cdot 2^{n / 2}+x_{0} y_{0}\)
```

